CALL TO ORDER

Mr. Haste, Chairman of the Board, called the meeting to order at 10:09 a.m.
MOMENT OF SILENCE

Everyone observed a moment of silence.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Everyone stood for the Pledge of Allegiance.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

It was moved by Mr. Pries and seconded by Mr. Haste that the Board approve the February 4, 2015 Workshop Meeting Minutes and the February 11, 2015 Legislative Meeting Minutes; motion carried.

It was moved by Ms. Rebuck and seconded by Mr. Pries that the Board approve the February 4, 2015 and the February 11, 2015 Salary Board Meeting Minutes; motion carried.

EXECUTIVE SESSIONS HELD BETWEEN MEETINGS

Mr. Saylor reported that there was one Executive Session between meetings. It was held this morning (February 25, 2015) to discuss matters of litigation and personnel.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

There was none.

DEPARTMENT DIRECTORS/GUESTS

A. Stephen Libhart, Director of EMA

1. Presentation on 9-1-1 Funding

Mr. Libhart stated that the wireless portion of 9-1-1 revenues that administered by the State, it has worn itself out to be essentially a crisis that affects, not only folks in Dauphin County, but every PSAP throughout the Commonwealth. From historical perspective, as the Board is well aware, originally 9-1-1 service was almost entirely conducted over landline. Landline fees are tied to a physical address. The provider of landline service collects in Dauphin County $1.25 monthly for each line and then remits that $1.25 in its entirety to the host county. What has been seen, particularly in the last ten years, is a very rapid move away from existing landline services. In fact most telephone companies are no longer even installing the infrastructure necessary for it. They are moving to either voice over IP phone systems or wireless phone systems. For example, Comcast Xfinity, Verizon FIOS, while they appear to be landlines within your home, they are actually voice over IP. That creates a systemic deficiency in the revenue received by counties, because a landline is $1.25. If that is replaced by voice
over IP or wireless that is an instant 20% reduction to $1.00 a month as the law stands currently. It is further complicated by the fact that overwhelmingly replacement of landlines have been done with wireless services as opposed to voice over IP. Voice over IP is at least collected and remitted directly to the county it its entirety. Wireless funds are collected and remitted to the State, which through the Pennsylvania Emergency Management Agency (PEMA) distributes those funds in the form of an annual competitive grant process. There is a list of eligible expenditures for those funds, which does not match the list of eligible expenditures for landline funds. That creates another issue. The eligible expenditures and landline funds include such things as public safety radio systems. That particular eligibility funding is not including in the wireless provision. The County moved more to wireless funds and less on landline, not only does that decrease the amount of revenue, but it also further limits what revenue it has available to eligible expenditures. The other problem is that it is a competitive grant process. You submit for review every spring to PEMA a list of eligible expenditures from the preceding year. In the four years that he has been the EMA Director, the County has not had an application where he submitted anywhere less than $5 million annually in eligible expenditures. There is no guarantee that the County will receive $500,000 or even the entirety of that eligibility, which has been seen time again makes the County’s budgeting process on a calendar year that much more difficult, because he only knows, at that point in time, the six months of funding that the County has from the previous year’s grant. Generally, over the years, the County was okay. They realized that wireless funds were typically in the range of $3 to $3.4 million received by the County annually. Two years ago, the County received its grant back without any forewarning that is was only going to be in the amount of $1.8 million. Last year that went up to about $2.5 million. The list of eligible expenditures hasn’t changed much, because until recently the County hasn’t had the need to replace any of its core systems, due to end of useful life or reaching a period of being obsolete. One of the inherent problems that all PSAPs are facing now is almost every platform run is based on an operating system, which sooner or later that operating system may become obsolete. When that happens, the entire platform is no longer supported in terms of warranties, maintenances or whatever else and just from a need to have a safety net of somebody able to repair something if it breaks, PSAPs are basically being forced with, on average of five year useful life cycle of major components, be it a phone system, a computer-aided dispatch platform. Those are significant capital expenditures, which now some of those vendors are at least open to discussing leasing agreements, which would provide options for necessary upgrades moving forward.

Mr. Saylor asked if Mr. Libhart could explain PSAPs.

Mr. Libhart indicated that PSAPs are the designated Public Safety Answering Points. Each county is required by law to have one. Two others are in existence that belongs to municipalities that was grandfathered in at the time of the Act so there are 69 amongst the 67 counties in the Commonwealth. They are required to answer the 9-1-1 calls and that is where the calls are required to be routed.

Mr. Saylor stated so it is the call center, which is the one out on Gibson Blvd.
Mr. Libhart stated that the way all these things tie together is landline revenues have gone down. Wireless revenues do not come directly to the counties. It is estimated that for the amount of money that is collected from Dauphin County, the County sees less than 10% on each dollar annually. The remainder goes to other counties throughout the Commonwealth. Two-percent of that entire fund is retained off the top by PEMA for administrative purposes. A comprehensive remedy to this issue is a requirement. He first really started talking to members of the House and Senate last year. There was not an “appetite” to take any action on it. The existing provisions were extended. There is a draft, co-authored by CCAP, and the two professional telecommunicator organizations (APCO and NENA) have worked collectively on a draft that was sent to the House for consideration. The House held an informational hearing on it two weeks ago. At that point in time, one of the chief recommendations of that is increasing the tariff fee to $2. It was made quite clear by multiple members of the Committee that they had no appetite for $2 and at best saw $1.25 being raised to $1.50 or $1.75. He is not necessarily convinced that alone is an adequate remedy to the crisis at hand. In a short amount of time, in the very near future, there will be no landline revenues available to anyone, because landlines will essentially cease to exist. If they continue this arduous competitive closed door granting process, which in and of itself, eats up an inordinate amount of effort and manpower just to conform with, and if it is administered in its current manner, he doesn’t know that they are fixing anything. He is also not convinced that they won’t be back at the table in the next six to seven years with yet another shortfall. To put into perspective, the impact the wireless technologies have had – ten years ago less than 20% of the calls received at Dauphin County 9-1-1 were placed from a wireless device. In 2014, 84.3% of all calls received were received from a wireless device. It is quite obvious where the technology is going and it may even further change as more expansion into broadband use is advocated and implemented by the telephone companies in the coming years.

Mr. Saylor stated just to clarify that number of calls when a cellphone call comes in the fees for that cellphone call instead of coming to Mr. Libhart are instead going to PEMA for PEMA to hand out in grants; wherever they see fit.

Mr. Libhart stated yes, it is dedicated to the wireless funding applications submitted by the 69 PSAPs. PEMA takes 2% of the fund balance off the top. There is a provision that allows them to take additional monies and distribute them into projects, but counties are never shared that information until after the fact. For instance, a Legislative Budget & Finance Committee Report that was compiled two years ago, in one year in particular he believes that it was 2009 or 2010, PEMA unilaterally took an additional $6 million off the top for a project that wasn’t clearly identified, but was said to have been of benefit to the entire 9-1-1 system. The County has been at odds as to who should make that call, considering the State, in this case PEMA, does not operate or administer any core component of the 9-1-1 system in use throughout the Commonwealth. That is exclusively the responsibility of the counties. It is somewhat regulated by PEMA, but it is difficult for him to believe that with a limited staff PEMA has the ability to make that kind of determination without consulting the counties.
Mr. Saylor stated so basically 9-1-1 is essentially becoming an unfunded State mandate.

Mr. Libhart stated very much so. Where it is now, it is partially funded and unless something comprehensive and systemic is done to fix the system as is, it will eventually just trail into a completely unfunded mandate, borne by the taxpayers of the counties.

Mr. Pries indicated that Mr. Libhart did a thorough job going over the entire topic. He asked what the stance is from the telephone companies. They are the ones that are very much involved in this entire process. Obviously they have users that they have to answer to.

Mr. Libhart stated as probably any for profit business would be, he doesn’t necessarily know that they were in complete agreement from the onset of either the landline tariff or the subsequent voice over IP and wireless tariffs of them having the responsibility to collect it and then remit it. At times different telephone companies have advocated moving away from that, taking the tariffs off the phone bills and they seem to be more in favor of removing the tariffs on technology, assigning a specified tariff or in this case tax to be administered by counties that is based on location. For businesses, he thinks there would be some kind of provision that accounts for certain number of employees. That would be remitted quarterly to the host counties. Is that essentially trading one tariff for the next – yes. From the phone company providers and the telephone companies they would view it and advocate on behalf of, in nearly all instances, if you live or do business in a county, your monies are funding the PSAP responsible for getting first responders to you. It is not going anywhere else. He doesn’t know if the Senate or the House is completely in favor of that idea. It remains to be seen what the Senate is going to do. He has only been able to attend the House draft review.

Mr. Pries stated that this is not just a Dauphin County issue. This is a statewide issue. The numbers - $600 back in 2007 up to close to $3 million now. That is a 400,000% increase, which is astronomical.

Mr. Libhart stated that it was reported, for instance, at the House hearing two weeks ago that adjusting for inflation, the time the landline provision was enacted in 1991 $1.00 would be roughly $1.17. There is no provision to adjust that amount at any time, whether it was every five years. It is not tied to an index. It is essentially a frozen number that as everything else increases that remains constant. That again is a systemic failure to not address that.

Mr. Pries stated similar to when he was growing up, going up to the TV and changing manually. Kids today wouldn’t even consider that as they are blowing through 30 channels within a minute. The outdated legislation has not kept up with changing technologies.
Mr. Libhart stated on either end. From the citizens end of how they would be able to access 9-1-1 or what is required to even answer some of those calls or get help to where it needs to go in an orderly and efficient manner. There has been a lot of talk of consolidating PSAPs. Essentially you have 69 consolidated PSAPs now, because in almost all instances those counties have taken on, not only what is statutorily required in answering 9-1-1 calls, they are also dispatching nearly all fire, police and EMS within their jurisdiction. That is an eligible landline expenditure. It is not an eligible expenditure under wireless. If you look at the monies that are saved by economies of scale by the County’s operation providing that service as opposed to passing it on to each municipal entity, be it a fire, police or EMS provider, he thinks that is a perfect example of wise consolidation. Maybe some other counties could consolidate into one PSAP. You can share technologies, but the bottom line is with the number of calls that come in this County and every other county simultaneously you are essentially going to need the same number of people to answer and process those calls.

Mr. Pries stated that again this is not just a Dauphin County issue. That astronomical 400,000% increase in the cost to Dauphin County; he asked what neighboring counties seeing.

Mr. Libhart stated that he does not know what they were paying in. That would depend upon how much of a cushion they built up on their landline fees over the years, because you are allowed to carry those balances over. It was recently reported that Cumberland County is looking at a $3 million contribution just to maintain current level of services for next year. He thinks that for most third class counties similar in size and demographics to Dauphin County, you are seeing that same kind of buy in being required. It is rapidly decreasing the amount of revenue that is able to be used.

Mr. Pries thanked the County Commissioners Association of Pennsylvania for making this topic their number one legislative impact topic for 2015. He thanked Commissioner Haste for his leadership last year as CCAP President and bringing it to this point. The Board is looking at a Resolution, as is some other counties.

Mr. Haste stated that this is the number one issue in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for counties. It quickly rose to the top last year and again this year it was made the number one topic. This will financially strap quite a few counties in this Commonwealth. This was discussed at the NACo Legislative Conference. Commissioner Hartwick is still at the conference today. He had a conversation about the hearing. He wishes he would have been there, because he probably would have lost his cool a few times. What the Legislature knows, but doesn’t have the hutzpah to deal with. In fact it was told to the Board last year that the reason they didn’t deal with it last year is they already did one heavy lifting for the year and they were not going to do any more heavy lifting, which is just bull crap. Their response that $2 is too much also is bull crap. This was enacted 25 years ago. They have set on their hands and have done nothing for 25 years when they knew it was an issue. They put the cell in, but they knew that was outdated. When they had a chance to do it this last year, they decided to push it down a little, those legislators don’t want to do heavy lifting. The only thing they
are good at is punting. That is all they are doing with this and all they have done is punt this to someone else to deal with. In this case, what he would say to those legislators by not addressing this issue and addressing it properly, because technology has changed and they are not even paying attention to that, they are purposely making a shift to take it from a user fee to a personal property tax. So, what they have decided and the irony is that some of those very same legislators will preach that they are for tax reform. They will say that on one side of their mouth and then right out of the other side of their mouth they are going to put more taxes onto the property owner, because that is exactly what they will do with this. Everyone knows that you can’t shut down EMA services. God forbid if someone would make a phone call and a recording would say that we ran out of funds and can’t take your phone call right now. Back on the landline system, when an accident occurred, you probably got one or two phone calls for that accident. Now, every car that goes by with a cellphone reports that accident. For one accident when you use to get one or two calls, you are probably now getting 15 to 20 phone calls. This system has not taken into account technology changes. Right now there are systems that use the County’s services that don’t pay a penny to it. Some of those systems are in those legislators’ offices. They don’t think about that. They think because it is a phone that is plugged in somewhere it is a landline. That shows how ignorant they are. Because of their lack of action, the County is subsidizing this program. As the landline shifted to the cellphone, the revenues were cut. Who made up those differences in the past – the property taxpayers. The County has been subsidizing because of their lack of ability to lift anything. They need to wake up and quit punting the ball and pick up a ball and run with it. The local legislators get it. He is not criticizing the local legislators, because they get it. There are those in other parts of the State who are doing a disservice to this County and the people that they serve and the counties that work with them. This will continue to be a top issue for CCAP. For those who say find another way, because the telephone companies don’t want to have to pay for this, he would be willing to bet that if they passed new legislation that shifted funding, you would not see a decrease in the bills, because they will not give that money back. All of a sudden that will be a little extra money, unless the Legislature mandates that, which none of them have the coconuts to do it. It will be a win fall for telephone companies. This is a very hot issue this year. The quicker the Legislature wakes up and decides to do something about it, counties will be better off, otherwise, all they are doing is shifting the taxes to the personal property tax and that is an unfunded mandate. If someone makes that vote, they shouldn’t stand up and say that they are for property tax reform.

Mr. Pries asked if it is fair to say that the telephone companies’ lobbyists are working just as hard as CCAP lobbying legislators on the hill not to add any additional costs.

Mr. Libhart stated that fair would be charitable. That lobbying arm of any of the telecommunications’ firms is a significant and very effective group of advocates.

Mr. Haste stated that they have a weapon in their arsenal that the county doesn’t have called a political action committee.
Mr. Libhart stated that it is also a significant asset. One of the complex problems with this issue is there are more than two or three main stakeholders and they all have their own perspective as to how to “fix” this. Mr. Libhart, along with his counterparts particularly from the South Central Area, has been ringing the bell on this issue for the past two and a half years. While they were disappointed with the extension last year, he is more disappointed that more activity hasn’t taken place. It is almost March and the sunset date is June, and again they are waiting until the last minute.

Mr. Haste stated that last year they said that they were going to take it up right away.

Mr. Libhart stated when is right away.

Mr. Haste stated that in June the Board will still be talking about this.

Mr. Libhart stated that even if there is a resolution, you are going to have 69 opinions as to whether it is really a resolution or not.

Mr. Pries stated that in terms of the funding mechanisms, into the future, he asked where that is at today.

Mr. Libhart stated that it would essentially do away with silos. It would make the tariff the same on any telecommunication device able to access 9-1-1 services. That would all go into a single fund to be administered by a formula-like distribution so that it at least gives counties the ability to somewhat reasonably budget moving forward, which is a major liability. It would also give roughly 25% of that fund, 2% off the top for administration to PEMA, but another 13% and 10% to be administered in other grants for system-wide architecture. Again, his issue with that is that the State does not have any of this system architecture. If the State is looking to connect the existing 9-1-1 centers, he can see that, but really counties, particularly for EMA 9-1-1 and first response services, counties is where the “rubber meets the road”. Counties should have more of a say in how to “improve” existing systems.

Mr. Pries stated that there is talk about adding a new board or committee to the layer, made up of commissioners, telephone companies, state representatives and PEMA representatives. He asked Mr. Libhart if it is true that no matter what that board would recommend, PEMA, above that, has the opportunity and ability to do whatever they want.

Mr. Libhart stated that the wording in the draft legislation would create that board and the board is to provide advice to PEMA. It is very clear from the draft that final decision-making would rest with PEMA. There is a board in existence right now in E9-1-1 that is used for appeals filed by counties for funding decisions on their grants. He doesn’t know if they are consulted on anything before the grants go out the door and creating another board is simply another avenue or opportunity for foot dragging on an issue that can be resolved by coming up with a formula to adequately distribute these funds. It takes a lot of subjectivity out of it. He does think there has to be some kind of
accountability on the recipients of these funds for making wise purchasing and manpower decisions with the funds that are available, not being able to systemically replace existing systems just to access more amounts of money.

Mr. Pries stated what is just as frustrating as the 400,000% increase was hearing a State Senator address CCAP after a State Representative told them that they had done the big lift for the year last year with the transportation bill, they shouldn’t be expected to do such a thing again last year. We are now into 2015 and the State Senator addressed CCAP and told the attendees that this topic is not sexy enough, it does not bring in any type of phone calls to their legislative offices and until the residents wake up and make those phone calls, because these calls are going to continue being put back onto counties until residents start making those phone calls. He doesn’t believe that CCAP’s voice is being listened to by the County’s elected representatives. He believes that they want to hear it from the residents themselves. If and when this legislation doesn’t change, if and when the costs start going back to the taxpayers in a higher rate than they are today, then they will start making phone calls. The County is giving everybody a heads up, way in advance, the action time is now. The residents need to make the phone calls to the State representatives and senators today, because that is what they act upon. They act upon calls to their local offices.

Mr. Pries presented Resolution #5-2015 which will be adopted today. It is a Resolution of the Board of Commissioners of Dauphin County strongly supporting the adoption of a new comprehensive public safety emergency telephone act along with improvements to and the consolidation of funding streams necessary thereto, which takes outdated legislation, updates it to keep up with advanced technologies as they are today and will be in the future. The Board is asking the State Legislature to act on this immediately.

Mr. Haste noted that the Resolution is listed as Item GG on the Agenda.

Mr. Pries thanked Mr. Libhart for his leadership and that of his colleagues across the State in continuing to push this matter to the forefront.

**SALARY BOARD**

A complete set of Salary Board Meeting Minutes are on file in the Commissioners’ Office.

**HUMAN RESOURCES**

Ms. Lengle asked if the Board has any questions on the Personnel Packet. (There was none.)

Mr. Haste asked if there were any other changes other than the ones taken at last week’s meeting.
Ms. Lengle indicated that she did add something yesterday. She sent an email regarding the addition. It was to add a position in the Human Resources Department. There is a vacancy.

**It was moved by Mr. Pries and seconded by Mr. Haste that the Board approve the Personnel Packet as presented.**

**Question:** Mr. Haste – Aye and Mr. Pries – Aye; motion carried.

**PURCHASE ORDERS**

Mr. Baratucci indicated that last week an item was added to the Packet. That is listed on Page 22. It is for the District Attorney’s Office. Since then, also on Page 7 for the Register of Wills, an item was added. Commissioner Haste, as oversight, agreed to add that to the packet. All budget adjustments were made.

**It was moved by Mr. Pries and seconded by Mr. Haste that the Board approve the Purchase Order Packet as presented.**

**Question:** Mr. Haste – Aye and Mr. Pries – Aye; motion carried.

**REPORT FROM BUDGET & FINANCE – MIKE YOHE, BUDGET DIRECTOR**

Mr. Yohe provided the Commissioners with the following Investment Report.

**Report from the Office of Budget & Finance**

**February 25, 2015**

- **February 13, 2015** transferred $2,186,149.45 to the **Payables** account from the County’s Concentration account for checks issued that week.

- **February 20, 2015** transferred $1,941,643.44 to the **Payables** account and $1,790,289.33 to the **Payroll** account from the County’s Concentration account for checks issued that week.

- **Wire Payments since last report:** $9,317,712.93

- **Debt Service Payments since last report:** $0.00

- **Term Investments**
  - 10/25/14 - **$1,000,000.00** 5-month CD – Centric Bank – 0.25% - matures 3/25/15

- **Balance today in PA INVEST account #2100017144860:** $1,380.76 rate 0.050%

- **Balance today in Susquehanna Bank investment account #10013172621:** $6,906,304.26 rate 0.250%
• Balance today in Susquehanna Bank investment account #119002023: $1,068.94 rate 0.050%

• Balance today in First National Bank investment account #97014743: $9,586,064.83 rate 0.300%

• Balance today in Integrity Bank Money Market Checking account #2206001209: $2,403,656.72 rate 0.260%

• Balance today in Santander Bank investment account #9551017714: $15,042,372.78 rate 0.300%

• Balance today in Metro Bank investment account #539014068: $5,004,220.35 rate 0.350%


It was moved by Mr. Pries and seconded by Mr. Haste that the Board accept the Investment Report submitted by Mr. Yohe.

Question: Mr. Haste – Aye and Mr. Pries – Aye; motion carried.

REPORT FROM CHIEF CLERK/CHIEF OF STAFF – CHAD SAYLOR

Mr. Saylor reported on Item OO on the Agenda, which is the Training Packet, that Item #7 is being pulled. Other than that, he has nothing to report unless there are questions of him. (There was none.)

SOLICITOR’S REPORT – JOSEPH A. CURCILLO, III, ESQ.

Mr. Curcillo reported that the items on the list are ready. The only item that he is asking to have pulled is Item KK, for additional legal review.

MATTERS REQUIRING BOARD ACTION

A. Disclosure Dissemination Agent Agreement between Dauphin County and Susquehanna Group Advisors, Inc. at an annual rate of $1,500.

B. Function Agreement between Dauphin County and Hilton Harrisburg for the May 18, 2015 Judicial Sale.

C. Agreement between Dauphin County (EMA) and North American Video for CCTV Work – Additional Keyboard Control for EMA.

D. Repository Bids received from Tri-County HDC, Ltd. for the following properties:

1. Parcel #02-031-001 (1501 Swatara Street) - $250.00
2. Parcel #02-031-003 (1514 Swatara Street) - $250.00
3. Parcel #02-031-004 (1507 Swatara Street) - $250.00
4. Parcel #02-031-002 (1503 Swatara Street) - $250.00

E. DEP Grant Agreement between Dauphin County (Parks & Recreation) and PA Department of Environmental Protection for the Spring Creek Capital Area Greenbelt Watershed Restoration project.

F. Satisfaction Piece for Myron Bordner on the property located at 37 Ridgewood Drive, Millersburg, PA 17061 - $3,483.00.

G. Submission of the annual Emergency Management Performance Grant Application.

H. Municipal Automobile Theft/Motor Vehicle Insurance Fraud Intergovernmental Agreement between Dauphin County and the PA State Police.

I. Performance Agreement between Dauphin County (Parks & Recreation) and Debra Susan Naha for an Outdoor Skills Program on May 23, 2015 - $100.00.

J. Performance Contract between Dauphin County (Parks & Recreation) and Otis Taylor Band – Dauphin County Music & Wine Festival (June 13, 2015) - $6,500.00.

K. Performance Agreement between Dauphin County (Parks & Recreation) and James I. Pritchard – Dauphin County Music & Wine Festival (June 13, 2015) - $1,500.00.

L. Engagement Agreement between Dauphin County (Parks & Recreation) and Boogie Wonderland – Dauphin County Music & Wine Festival (June 14, 2015) - $7,000.00.

M. Agreement between Dauphin County (Parks & Recreation) and Erich Cawalla (The Uptown Band) – Dauphin County Music & Wine Festival (June 14, 2015) - $1,500.00.

N. Performance Agreement between Dauphin County (Parks & Recreation) and Olivia Ann Farabaugh – Dauphin County Brew Fest (July 18, 2015) - $125.00.

O. Performance Agreement between Dauphin County (Parks & Recreation) and On the Edge Productions LLC for Nick Colionne – Dauphin County Jazz & Wine Festival (September 13, 2015) - $8,500.00.

P. Agreement between Dauphin County (Parks & Recreation) and EDclectic Entertainment f/s/o Denise White pka Avery Sunshine – Dauphin County Jazz & Wine Festival (September 13, 2015) - $4,950.00.

Q. Agreement between Dauphin County (Parks & Recreation) and IEG Marketing.com – Glenn White for services at the Music & Wine Festival, Brew Festival, Cultural Festival and Jazz Festival - $3,650.00.

R. Agreement between Dauphin County (Parks & Recreation) and Sound Advantage, Inc. for the Sunset Movie Series as a vendor for the year 2015 (June 5, 12, 19, 20 & 26, 2015) - $4,500.00.
S. Performance Agreement between Dauphin County (Parks & Recreation) and Jeff Bradshaw – Dauphin County Jazz & Wine Festival (September 12, 2015) - $4,500.00.

T. Performance Agreement between Dauphin County (Parks & Recreation) and Philly The Kid Music for Jazz in Pink – Dauphin County Jazz & Wine Festival (September 12, 2015) - $3,500.00.

U. Proposal from In-Synch Systems to develop an interface with the CAD system.

V. Purchase of Service Agreements between Dauphin County (AAA) and:
   1. Keystone Guardianship Services, Inc.
   2. Norma J. Murphy

W. Purchase of Service Agreements between Dauphin County (Children & Youth) and:
   1. Youth Services Agency, Inc.
   2. Pressley Ridge, Inc.
   3. Harrisburg Area Community College

X. Amendment #1 to Purchase of Service Agreement between Dauphin County (Children & Youth) and Aa to Zz Child Care, Inc.

Y. Adoption Assistance Agreement #2015-05.

Z. Amendments to Adoption Assistance Agreements:
   1. #2014-29 – Amendment #1
   2. #2009-19 – Amendment #1

AA. Child Welfare Education for Leadership (CWEL) Program Agreement between Dauphin County, the University of Pittsburgh and Angela V. Parker-Quarles.

BB. Purchase of Service Agreement between Dauphin County and Youth Excel, Inc.

CC. Rental Agreement between Dauphin County and the Wiconisco Fire Company.

DD. Satisfaction Piece for Brenda Reyes on the property located at 746 Girard Street, Harrisburg, PA 17104. (This is one of the original mortgages from 1996 that has a forgiveness clause.

EE. Partial Refund of 2014 Real Estate Taxes – Parcel #04-022-003 (208 Walnut Street) – Walnut Court Associates - $333.59.

FF. Stipulation of Settlement – James M. Pagliaro – Parcel #07-051-001 (1017 Maclay Street) – Docket #2012-CV-9049-TX.

GG. Resolution #5-2015 – 9-1-1 Funding.
HH. Motorola Radio System Maintenance Contract between Dauphin County (Probation Services) and K & C Communications for the 10 mobile and 154 portable radios used by Probation Services.

II. Performance Agreement between Dauphin County (Parks & Recreation) and Nathan J. McKelvie – Wildwood Park Wetlands Festival (April 25, 2015) - $200.00.

JJ. Performance Agreement between Dauphin County (Parks & Recreation) and Nathan J. McKelvie – Wildwood Day Camp Wildlife Up Close Program (June 17, 2015) - $100.00.

KK. Contract between Dauphin County and Republic Services t/b/a York Waste Disposal. (Pulled)

LL. Approval of acceptance of Deed to Dauphin County from New Birth of Freedom Council, Inc.

MM. Intergovernmental Cooperation Grant Program Application – Dauphin County – development of an up-to-date Comprehensive Plan for the County.

NN. Appointment of Floyd Stokes to the Dauphin County Library Board. Mr. Stokes’ term will expire December 31, 2015.

OO. Training Packet.

It was moved by Mr. Pries and seconded by Mr. Haste that the Board approve Items A through OO listed above, noting that Item #7 under Item OO and Item KK has been pulled. Item KK is for additional legal review.

Question: Mr. Haste – Aye; and Mr. Pries – Aye; motion carried.

FORMER BUSINESS

There was none.

NEW BUSINESS

There was none.

COMMISSIONERS’ DISCUSSION & ACTIONS

Mr. Haste noted that Commissioner Hartwick is still at NACo. The National Association of Counties Legislative Conference met this weekend. It is very similar to CCAP, but at the national level. There are criteria that are voted on and then are taken before the Federal Legislators. The top priority was the issue with mental health individuals in county jails. It has been noted that county jails have become the new house for those with mental illness. NACo is asking that something be done at the Federal level to address that issue. If they are not going to do something and separate those out, the Federal government needs to give counties the opportunity to deal with that issue in a
more humane way. Followed closely behind that was with FEMA, the flood mapping and the classification of waters in the United States. One Senator said that under the new proposed regulations, under EPA and FEMA, if you would have high water issues in the spring, meaning just runoff waters, they are classifying those as seasonal streams as waters of the US and the Senator’s comment was that if you can’t put a canoe in it, it shouldn’t be regulated. That is not where FEMA and EPA are headed, which will cause quite a bit of problems with the housing market. There is a proposal out there to have new standards where buildings would have to be built at the 500 year flood plain level. A question of retroactivity or being grandfathered wasn’t addressed. If you talk to someone in the building industry they will tell you what that cost would be. There were a number of other issues, but those were the top priorities.

Mr. Pries stated that he was in Pennsauken, New Jersey on Sunday for a hockey game. He was talking to some parents down there while waiting for the game to start. Some of the parents indicated that they were in Harrisburg for the Outdoor Show. Folks are coming all the way from New Jersey to spend money and support that event, which was a tremendous asset to the revenue of the local economy. He commended Mr. Haste for taking the lead in getting the show returned to the area.

He also acknowledged Jennifer Lynn Abraham, a Lower Dauphin High School Junior, who is shadowing him throughout the day. He thanked Jennifer for being here. He hopes she is learning a lot. She has been here before as a member of the State championship Lower Dauphin Field Hockey Team. He looks forward to hearing about her report from today’s activities.

Mr. Haste stated that he ran into the Commissioners from Franklin County, Ohio. Last year they heard about Dauphin County establishing an Infrastructure Bank. Dauphin County was the first county in the Nation to create an Infrastructure Bank. Franklin County, Ohio followed Dauphin County’s lead and created one. This week they are opening up their first round of applicants. He thanked Skip for staffing that and making sure that the County’s program is top quality.

CORRESPONDENCE

The following correspondence was received in the Commissioners’ Office and will be handled by the staff appropriately.

A. Notification from Penn E&R advising that PPL Electric Utilities is applying to DEP for a General Permit-5 for the Rockville Reconductor Project located in Middle Paxton Township.

B. Notification from McIlvried, DiDiano & Mox, LLC advising that AutoZone Development, LLC is applying to DEP for a NPDES Permit for stormwater discharges associated with construction activities for the construction of a new building, parking area (including handicap accessible spaces), landscaping, utilities and associated site improvements at East Main Street, Middletown Borough.
PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

There was none.

Mr. Haste reminded everyone that the Retirement Board will convene at 11:00 a.m.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, it was moved by Mr. Pries and seconded by Mr. Haste that the Board adjourn; motion carried.

Transcribed by: Richie-Ann Martz