

**Town of Hooksett
Town Council Meeting Minutes
Wednesday, November 17, 2021**

1
2 The Hooksett Town Council met on Wednesday, November 17, 2021, at 6:05 in the Hooksett Municipal
3 Building.

4
5 **CALL TO ORDER**

6 Chair Sullivan called the meeting of 17 Nov 2021 to order at (6:05) pm.
7

8 **PROOF OF POSTING**

9 Human Resource Coordinator Donna Fitzpatrick provided proof of posting.
10

11 **ROLL CALL**

12 **In Attendance:** Councilor James Sullivan, Councilor John Durand, Councilor Randall Lapierre (arrived
13 at 7:21), Councilor Roger Duhaime (arrived at 6:07), Councilor David Boutin, Councilor Timothy
14 Tsantoulis, Councilor Clark Karolian, and Councilor Alex Walczyk.

15
16 **Absent:** Councilor Clifford Jones
17

18 **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

19 Chair Sullivan offered a moment of silence for Henry Roy who was a Town Councilor for 6 years, a
20 member of the Police Commission, Trustee of the Trust Funds and among many other things Treasure
21 of Hooksett School District.
22

23 **AGENDA OVERVIEW**

24 Chair Sullivan gave an overview of the proposed agenda. Announced that 6.2 and 6.3 have been
25 postponed due to issues with posting.
26

27 **PUBLIC HEARINGS**

28 6.2 and 6.3 are canceled due to issues with posting.
29

30
31 **Public Hearing (per Town Council Rules of Procedure #18) - Expanded 3-day Old Home Day
32 (2022 only)**
33

34 Chairman Sullivan opened the Public Hearing at 6:10 stating that under the council rules of procedure
35 #18 this a courtesy public hearing and does not require public notice a possible 3-day weekend for the
36 Bicentennial in 2022.
37

38 Andy Janos 39 Main Street- I took a survey around the neighborhood, I did not go to everyone in the
39 neighborhood I just did the immediate houses around Town Hall that would be affected the most. In
40 2018 a 3-day OHD was discussed and rejected, a 3-day dock dog event was discussed and rejected,
41 there was rumors of Friday night movies. In 2017 we asked for a neighborhood notice to notify us in
42 advance of upcoming events so we could give input and vice our concerns before decisions were
43 made. Here we are again and nothing has changed I attended a Bicontinental meeting earlier this year
44 and suggested that they ask the neighborhood for input. It was not even put in the minutes that I
45 attended the meeting. Once again, the neighborhood is here discussing the same thing. Donati park is
46 not a good choice for some of these events. It is a residential neighborhood with narrow streets. My
47 mailbox has been hit 6 times and I cannot turn south out of my driveway without going into the opposite
48 lane. Fun in the sun was finally moved to a better location. I can think of three location in Hooksett
49 better suited to hold these events, not in congested neighborhoods, would have easier access in and
50 out and would have no traffic impacts. When I reached out to those in the neighborhood they all
51 answered yes that this area is to congested and this is not a good area to hold 3-day events.

52

53 Candy Murphy 36 Main Street- I am very excited that we are going to be adding more activities in the
54 Town of Hooksett, but like Andy says I would mind if we spread it around a little bit. We have a new
55 pavilion that could be utilized, we have other fields that would be accessible to a lot of people. We also
56 have Donati Park which is also very viable but is not the only spot to use. I am hoping that we will be
57 informed in advance and that the neighbors should be told ahead of time as a courtesy.

58

59 David Ross 56 Sherwood Drive- I find it very troubling to hear that this has been going on every year for
60 four years now to plan these events without notifying people that are most directly affected by it. You
61 can't just willy nilly do thing without thinking a little deeper into it. To hear that every year we have to go
62 through this and they have to go through their own initiative to bring it to your attention that they do not
63 want this and that nobody asked them. I think you need to put a little extra weigh on the fact that you do
64 have a citizen going through all this trouble to bring something to your attention.

65

66 **Mark Nevo 42 Main Street**- I just want to add my voice to those other citizens, I also find it strange that
67 the citizens that are directly impacted by these activities especially this major one the Bicentennial a 3-
68 day event that our voices are not being considered. Sometimes the people who are serving the citizens
69 forget who they are serving. I'm not against the event, I am against the notices and I think serious
70 consideration should be given how can we try to mitigate this to put this in a different place. I think the
71 wall should go to Peters Brook. I remember when we were talking about the pavilion it had to go to
72 Donati, and it ended up in Lambert because the citizens said no we don't want another facility in Donati
73 Park. I ask that you mitigate during discussions how can we make this different to not impact the
74 general area that you are going.

75

76 C. Karolian- I'd like to know what the cost factor is. The staff report says TBD, I don't know how we can
77 vote on something that we don't know what the cost is going to be to the taxpayers. Seems those
78 impacted the most do not want it.

79

80 T. Tsantoulis- as a responsible adult you need to be aware of what is going on around you. I don't think
81 we need to be a nanny state where we come and tell you directly that we are going to be doing this. We
82 have all sorts of media available including the agenda. If you are not aware of something perhaps you
83 need to go out there and find out what's going on around you. The council is here and has a
84 responsibility to serve the group of people in the Town of Hooksett not just a small group. You live next
85 door to the Town Hall and Town property, most probably bought property after this building was here
86 and it was a school at one point in time.

87

88 R. Duhaime- I live on Hackett Hill Road, I went to school here, this is where my heart is, I played on
89 Donati field out there this is where I want events. This is where I feel the heart of Hooksett is. I don't
90 want it somewhere else, I like it here, this is where I believe it should be, I don't know where you want
91 to put the center of Hooksett but this is where I feel it is. I live on Hackett Hill Road and I notice it is
92 getting busier and busier and there is nothing that I can do about it. That is just part of it. Nobody wants
93 a cell tower in their backyard but everyone wants to use their cell phones. There are somethings that
94 you have to realize in town that you are going to have to deal with. I understand that this is something
95 else you don't want to see in this neighborhood. But all neighborhoods deal with similar issues. When
96 you live next to Town Hall I think you would expect to have something like that and I am looking forward
97 to more things at town hall and the town coming together. I know that they are talking about bike paths
98 and more sidewalks, we are looking at having more people out in this town and we are going to have
99 more activities, and that's what I'd like to see in this town.

100

101 J. Sullivan- we knew that the bicentennial was coming we started meetings over 1.5 years ago.
102 Meetings and minutes were posted and shared. Notices have been posted, events have been posted,
103 encouraging others to participate. I know 3 years ago Mr. Janos is correct, the first suggestion by the
104 committee to expand the OHD weekend occurred 3 years ago, at that point the council decided no

105 because the timing was too close to the event. In 2020 and 2021 discussion was not had for expanding
106 the event as OHD was cancelled. If you go to the Hooksett website under electronic alerts you can
107 check off as many alerts that you want to receive. Anything that is posted under that particular activity
108 you will be alerted to. The committee did discuss the best location for the memorial wall, and that has
109 been well posted for May 5th thru the 8th, that is going to be a 4-day event in honor of our 200
110 Anniversary. We did scrutinize for security reason. For future events we can discuss other locations.
111 The area around Town Hall has been the location for many events. We have also used the old airport,
112 and Mount St. Mary's. We are now 10-months out before the event is even going to occur. OHD, and
113 the July 2nd event and the moving wall. There are events at Lilac crossing. We felt that having the 200
114 celebrations here near Town Hall. Take the time to reach out and find the information. The newsletter
115 does also include events that are occurring. We are not going to reconsider the July 2 and the moving
116 wall will remain as it is already planned and set.

117
118 C. Karolian- in response to my colleagues I agree we do represent everyone, not just the ones who live
119 around Town Hall. I also feel we should spread it around instead of having it in one spot. It's only fair
120 that other citizens get to have the enjoyment of having it in their neighborhood, that we should spread it
121 around as opposed to having it in one spot. We have a lot of places in the town of Hooksett that we
122 spend money on. We just spent over \$100,000 for a new Pavilion, there's plenty of places that we can
123 do this. In response to that, yeah, we do serve everyone, so let's spread it around to everybody.

124
125 ***D. Boutin- this memo that is in our packet is this for next year? Motioned to set up a Saturday***
126 ***meeting in the gym so that the neighbors can discuss their concerns seconded by A. Walczyk.***
127

128 A. Garron- if that were to happen do you want to invite the OHD Committee?
129

130 D. Boutin- maybe those in the neighborhood want to be involved in the OHD committee. I am thinking
131 after the new year on a Saturday, and invite all the neighbors to come in.
132

133 J. Sullivan- if we do vote for that to happen, if this is for concerns for a 3-day weekend, we will still have
134 the Saturday event. The Friday evening would be just an outdoor movie, and the other one would have
135 been Sunday.

136
137 J. Durand- were we to vote on this tonight?
138

139 J. Sullivan- we don't have to vote on this tonight, I was not planning on asking for a motion to plan a 3-
140 day event.
141

142 J. Durand- I am confused as I would think that a public hearing is the same thing that D. Boutin is
143 asking for tonight.
144

145 **Roll Call Vote #2**

146 ***R. Duhaime Nay***

147 ***J Durand Nay***

148 ***C. Jones NP***

149 ***R. Lapierre NP***

150 ***A. Walczyk Aye***

151 ***D. Boutin Aye***

152 ***C. Karolian Nay***

153 ***T. Tsantoulis Nay***

154 ***J. Sullivan Nay***

155

156 ***Vote failed 2-5 not in favor***

157
158 D. Boutin- this is part of my district. What kind of forum are you going to give these people to speak.
159
160 J. Sullivan- your request to have another forum was voted down. The only opportunity we are having is
161 tonight.
162
163 D. Boutin- so we are not going to listen to the neighborhood?
164
165 J. Sullivan- we are not going to listen to the neighborhood at another forum, tonight was the opportunity
166 for the neighbors who have come in to discuss that. We are listening to them tonight, that is why we
167 have scheduled it. Tonight, is to gain information from them.
168
169 A. Walczyk- you mentioned the e-alerts. Bicentennial and OHD is the best way to sign up for alerts?
170
171 J. Sullivan- if they signed up for the e-alerts then yes that would be the best way to be notified.
172
173 C. Karolian- for point of order and for clarification this Public Hearing was schedule and published for
174 OHD and the expansion of it. Why are we talking about other things?
175
176 J. Sullivan- it was alluded because this was a special 3-day weekend in honor of the bicentennial we
177 did Segway into the other events.
178
179 Marc Miville 42 Main Street- I am aware of the email notifications. I served the town for 13 years. I am
180 wondering even if I set up an email notification alert for the bicentennial committee, what would change
181 this vote tonight? If I attended those meeting or signed up for a e-alert, it still would not have changed
182 the vote or discussion tonight.
183
184 Mrs. Chenette 40 Main Street- it was assumed from what I heard that we were ill informed, and that we
185 don't look at the website and that we have no clue of what is going on, and that is erroneous. We have
186 quite a bit of knowledge of what's going on, not only that I am a newbie and I moved in when it was a
187 school here, all my neighbors have been here after me. I love having Town Hall here, and I love this
188 park, I don't think anyone is oppose to OHD, we are just asking that it gets spread around the town.
189 Someone mentioned, living on Hackett Hill Road, but how many events are in your area. To have every
190 event in our background is hard. My mailbox is always getting knocked off and our lawn torn up and we
191 have to reseed every year because people drive over our lawn. I have to check my mail when there is
192 no traffic. I am thrilled about OHD we go to it every year. This community has so much land why can't
193 we put different thing in different place so that it is not affecting the same people over and over, we are
194 not newbies. This is an older neighborhood, please take that into consideration.
195
196 J. Durand- for clarification this area is my district not Mr. Boutin's.
197
198 Andy Janosz 39 Main Street- the alerts are just a heads up and doesn't help if you have already made
199 a decision. Does us no good coming if you have already made up your mind.
200
201 Chair Sullivan closed the Public Hearing at 6:50pm. At our next December 8th meeting we will vote on
202 the 3-day event for OHD.
203
204 R. Duhaime- there is no park on the westside of the river, it was voted down. We don't have a park on
205 the westside, so we have to come over to this side of town.
206 C. Karolian- why is it not being handled tonight and why is it being moved into December?
207
208 J. Sullivan- if you want to make a decision there is nothing stopping it.

209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261

C. Karolian motioned that we celebrate OHD as a 1-day event in September 2022, seconded by J. Durand.

Roll Call Vote #3

D. Boutin Nay
C. Jones NP
A. Walczyk Nay
J. Durand Aye
R. Duhaime Nay
T. Tsantoulis Nay
R. Lapierre NP
C. Karolian Aye
J. Sullivan Aye

Vote 3-4 motion failed

R. Duhaime motioned that we celebrate OHD as a 3-day event in September 2022, seconded by A. Walczyk.

Roll Call Vote #4

A. Walczyk Aye
R. Lapierre NP
C. Jones NP
R. Duhaime Aye
J. Durand Nay
C. Karolian Nay
T. Tsantoulis Aye
D. Boutin Nay
J. Sullivan Aye

Vote 4-3 motion passed

C. Karolian- so it is going to be a 3-day event?

J. Sullivan- it will be based on the original request it will be a Friday night movie, full day event on Saturday and half day on Sunday.

A. Walczyk- for clarification it does not say where these events are going to be just that it will be over 3-days.

J. Sullivan- based on there request it does not say its hold at any particular location.

SPECIAL RECOGNITION

A. Garron- for new hires we have a new Firefighter Paramedic Jonathan Duford. We have 3 employees leaving. Stephanie Costello leaving fire rescue, Tobey Gamache is retiring from Fire and rescue and Peter Miller will be leaving the recycling Transfer Center, and Brittany Overton was hired at the library.

PUBLIC INPUT - 15 MINUTES

262 Joanne McHugh 14 Jefferson Drive- I am here about your next item 9.1 for the YMCA. I was originally
263 involved when we had fun in the sun, I am happy that they continued with it, as there is a need for it.
264 Last year was the first year it went over to the memorial school, and I hear that it went well. I brought up
265 last year and I was told that it was impossible to do at that time as the program had already been set in
266 motion, and that was bringing the kids to swim lesson over to the Y or at Livingston pool. I think that
267 allowing the kids to do the swim lessons is a good idea. I would ask that you consider that as it seems
268 that you are in the planning stages. This camp has been going on for a long time, and we should be
269 proud that we take care of the kids. I ask that you consider adding swimming lessons.
270

271 Paul Scarpetti 73 Falcone Lane- I agree we should work towards a private and public agreement, but
272 why rush this they are still doing test boring, the engineering is not completed, the easements still need
273 to be acquired. At the state level they have their own permits to take care of, they have improvements
274 to do at the toll house and road improvements that have not been finalized. If you rush to sign it, it is
275 going to go into a folder and sit for 6 months before they get all this stuff in place. The president just
276 signed a trillion-dollar infrastructure bill. What will you do if they come and offer 7 to 9 million dollars
277 and were locked into this agreement, make sure you have an out to get out of this with them. This is a
278 loan any way that you cut it. Do we get a discount if we pay it off early? I'm on the Planning Board and I
279 had made the comment that let's get this thing paid off in 5-years give them all the money right away,
280 put a cap on it. Everyone is going to be affected by this. The terms as written now could have the
281 developer realize a 20-30 million dollar return on a 7-9-million-dollar loan to the town. I appreciate them
282 coming forward with a proposal, but it is a guaranteed loan whether the building fails or succeeds were
283 still giving them that money back, and that money comes out of our pocket. They should not be making
284 a huge profit off loaning us the money; a cap should be established. Even if you gave them the money
285 back and 10% that's still a great deal and if we choose to pay it off sooner, we get a better discount.
286 Port one should be making money off the rent of the building not off the taxpayers. They should be
287 thrilled we are paying them back for the sewer and water improvements brought to their site. The state
288 will not be reimbursing them back for the Improvements they do to the roadways. I as a developer have
289 always had to pay for the improvements, I never got any money back from any deal. When they came
290 to the planning board this was not discussed we approved it to help them. The ZBA, Planning Board
291 and this Council have worked very hard and long hours to make this happen and many individuals are
292 helping and still helping at the state level to help these guys to get where they need to be. I find it
293 disappointing that port one keeps threatening to cancel if we don't rush to sign it.
294

295 David Ross -I am still waiting to have an answer, or maybe a motion needs to be made. We need to
296 have local storage of these meetings that happen in this room, not cloud storage. We need local
297 storage here so that when the internet does not work if there is a need to recall meeting minutes and
298 what happened in this room that it can be retrieved, that we are not dependent on someone else to give
299 it to us. My concerns with the Underwood Engineering agreement that is going to be considered. Where
300 are the guarantees. Bond securities, and TIF financing generally originates in the town. The town floats
301 the bond, the town negates the rates and terms of the bond such as early payments, interest everything
302 else about the bond, that's out job we don't farm that responsibility off to someone else. Bids for the
303 work should not be handed over to someone else with the town being on the hook for the money I can't
304 believe you'd even be considering that. This is our taxpayer's liability that you are talking about here
305 and its also the towns future what happens with this property, again don't be rushing into this, I think it
306 is an awful idea. Has anyone even passed this by the DRA. I find it hard that the Town is going to
307 guarantee a bond that someone else is going to take out and we are going to be held harmless. There's
308 no getting out of the deal once you are in it. 93 is just finished so leave it alone. That is prime property
309 on the highway. You won't find anything else on 93 like it.
310

311 SCHEDULED APPOINTMENTS

313 **Karen Provost, Camp Counselor, YMCA - Summer Camp - Review this past summer's camp and**
314 **discuss plan for next year's program.**

315
316 Karen Provost, Camp Counselor, YMCA- we had a successful summer last year. We had 162 campers
317 representing 114 families. About 81 campers per week. We operated for 9 weeks, and we averaged 4.5
318 weeks per camper. We are coming back from covid. Hooksett was well represented at 78%, attendance
319 with Manchester at 12%, Auburn at 4% other 8%. We held weekly themes. We had a talent week
320 where campers could show off their special talents and support other campers. During cultural week we
321 also celebrated kindness week, participated in a food drive, made letters to Veterans, and participated
322 in different activities centered around different cultures around the world. Kids get to participate in
323 several activities such as archery, gaga ball, dancing and more. Character development is a big
324 component of the YMCA camp. The kids work on character development such as caring, honesty,
325 respect, and responsibility. The Fire Department came and discussed fire safety and showed the kids
326 the trucks and equipment and were able to meet the firefighters. This summer the Kiwanis club and the

327 food pantry supplied snacks for the kids to have this summer as supplemental to their snacks that they
328 bring with them. Kiwanis Club also supplied the kids with books. Kids were able to take home 2 to 3
329 books each. We did have challenges this year as everyone is having, staffing was an issue and we are
330 working on heading off that issue for next year. The weather was also an issue it was rainy and hot. We
331 do a survey at the end of the year and 27% of parents did participate in the survey making comments
332 such as: "my son was so happy and tired when he left", "great place for kids", "loved all of the outdoor
333 time", "Loved the bracelets for the 4 colors of character building", "everyone was nice, super friendly
334 and helpful, and made the kids feel welcomed". We are looking to bring back fieldtrips in the future, as
335 during covid we were unable to have them. We would also like to bring back swimming lessons. This
336 kids also like water activities, and we try and spray them down with the hoses, but the water pressure is
337 really low and not strong enough. The issue is finding time to get them in. We are hoping to have camp
338 on June 20th, 2022, depending on when the schools close and can allow us into the building.

339

340 J. Sullivan- thank you for the update. It looks like an eclectic group.

341

342 T. Tsantoulis- thank you for the program, I have grandchildren who have participated in the program. I
343 will suggest that the TA see if he can have a conversation with facilities can get a way to boost the
344 water pressure up.

345

346 K- Provost- that would be great thank you.

347

348 J. Sullivan- thank you, you will be back so we can sign for year four.

349

350
351
352 Kiwanis, Bob Willey & David Scarpetti - "Welcome to Hooksett" sign proposal update (last on
353 Council Agenda 09/23/2020)

354

355 Bob Willey- this has been an arduous task getting these signs, because of state regulations and state
356 Right of Ways. We learned a lot over the last 6-8 months. We have Rep Tom Walsh working with the
357 state to get these signs in place with the original plan which was to have welcome to Hooksett and any
358 of the sponsors that are non-profits in town have their logos on the sign. The issue is if it is on state
359 property then we cannot have anything other than "welcome to Hooksett". Everybody has driven around
360 the state and seen similar signs, but it is a moot point to them. We are continuing to pursue that, but we
361 do not know where that will go. One of the things that we did in addition to the new signs was to
362 refurbish a current one on route 3. It has been there for over 30 years. The original sign proposal was
363 to have the welcome to Hooksett and several non-profit logos underneath it. If a new sign is going to be
364 put up on the state ROW, then it only can say "Welcome to Hooksett". An option we have is putting the
365 sign on private property. The original group that wanted to participate was Lions Club, Kiwanis Club,
366 Greater Rotary, Chamber of Commerce, Boy Scouts, and the Girl Scouts. Then we tentatively came up
367 with 2 alternative sites. The state will not allow granite signs. Then as I mentioned we looked at private
368 land but that is more costly. So, we went back to the original group, and since they are limited in funds
369 they did not want to go through the extra expense. At this point we have the Kiwanis and the Chamber
370 of Commerce and possibly the Rotary, but it is all contingent on what the Town wants to do. We want to
371 put it on state road because it will be less costly. We are proposing that we just have the welcome to
372 Hooksett sign on the state road without the logos, provided that we can get a nice splash saying that
373 the Kiwanis and the Chamber of Commerce and possibly the Rotary donated this, out it on your
374 website, have a press release, a splash in the newspaper, and give a permanent shout out to those that
375 remained involved up on the website for recognition.

376

377 J. Sullivan – can you show a picture of the original sign. I'll ask the TA to show a picture of that new
378 sign would be great in the TA report.

379

380 Bob Willey- the green was the original proposal, but we changed it to red. One thing that we want to
381 add in terms of further refurbishing this is Matt Barrett who is a wood crafter, said that he could replace
382 the wooden sign behind the actual sign.

383
384 David Scarpetti- we put a really good coat of paint on it just to keep it there, Matt said he would do that
385 through the winter and put it back up in the Spring, and then straighten the pole out as it looks like it
386 has shifted over the years, we did a lot of grinding and priming and painting. It was a lot more work than
387 anticipated.

388
389 C. Karolian- so there are a few organizations that are willing to pony up some money to get the signs
390 on state property, but they want to get recognition that they have done that through donations, right?
391 So, I am thinking that we can make it part of the permanent record to accept the donation from them for
392 the cost of the sign or whatever they are paying. I think under the RSA we have to do that. If on the
393 record it will be there in perpetuity. As well as if we wanted to put something on the website or do a
394 press release and that will coincide with the organizations. Are these organizations going to cover the
395 total cost?

396
397 David Scarpetti- no we will cover the whole cost.

398
399 C. Karolian- so you are not looking for anything from the town? So that sounds like a no brainer.

400
401 David Scarpetti- it could be on the town's website; we are looking to do 2 this year and 2 next year. A.
402 Garron even suggested sending something out in the newsletters.

403
404
405 ***J. Sullivan motioned that we direct the TA to continue working with the necessary groups***
406 ***regarding the welcome to Hooksett sign seconded by C. Karolian.***

407
408 T. Tsantoulis- the question I have is regarding the posting on the website. The way I understood it was
409 that we would make some notation that the signs were in part sponsored by the organizations that
410 would show up on the website that how long will the recognition take place on the website? I feel that if
411 it is on there for a long period time it will look more like an advertisement. Then more business will want
412 to do the same thing. We want to give recognition that is deserved.

413
414 J. Sullivan- based on the motion, that consideration needs to be discussed and how it is continued to
415 indicate that. Any pictures that we use with the sign would continue to say who the sponsors were.

416
417 A. Garron- I would need the policy makers to give guidance on. If the council is not in favor of having a
418 perpetual advertisement on the website, then I will need guidance on that.

419
420 ***Vote in favor 8-0.***

421
422 David Scarpetti- if you look at the sign there are a few hooks, I am thinking if you want to have a sign
423 up for your bicentennial that will be a good place for it.

424
425 J. Sullivan- can we talk about when the hearing will be rescheduled. We just want to clarify based on
426 the staff report the motion was to adjust the wording of the MOU, Mr. Karolian mentioned that motion to
427 have that hearing did not reflect to have the expansion of the district boundaries. Councilors should we
428 direct the TA to include that as part of the public hearing to? because we really did not officially do that.
429 We just mentioned the MOU change in the wording. I just want to make sure that we are correct as we
430 did not specifically include the initial motion to approve this the boundary expansion.

431 A. Garron- it was advertised that way, not only the amended to the district plan, the wording of the plan
432 which will include the authority of the council to consider funding from private sources. But it does also
433 address the actual physical expansion of the district.

434
435 J. Sullivan- which is what you put in but the original motion we just discussed it we didn't direct that the
436 hearing should be conducted for the expansion of the district plus the wording to allow for private
437 funding. I think if it is possible to make a new motion.

438
439 ***D. Boutin motioned that we schedule a public hearing to increase the boundary of the TIF***
440 ***district as well as amend the TIF plan seconded by J. Sullivan.***

441
442 C. Karolian- this new motion is under what part of our agenda? 9.2 and 9.3 have been taken off so we
443 are not discussing any of that, so why are we making a new motion. My question is how can we be
444 discussing TIF business when the TIF business has been taken off of the agenda and we are going to
445 entertain a motion to include this business of the TIF district so I think the point of order is that we are
446 not allowed to do that at this point, it can be done at the next public hearing and when it is on the
447 agenda we can discuss adding or making a motion but I don't think we can do it right now.

448
449 J. Sullivan – there is nothing in our rules and procedures that restricts any motion to be offered at any
450 time even if it is not directly reflected on the agenda. There was no direct reference that we would be
451 voting on expanding OHD. It was indirectly motioned. There is nothing stopping a councilor from
452 making a motion. All we are doing is scheduling a hearing. If a tree falls down in the morning and I
453 move that the administrator chop down the tree, based on your premonition it was not mentioned in the
454 agenda and therefore I cannot bring it up.

455
456 C. Karolian- when we talked about OHD it was on the agenda there was a Public Hearing, we were
457 discussing it and we were taking input on it, that was completely legitimate to discuss because it was
458 on the agenda. You say that there is nothing that prevents it is there something that allows it?

459
460 J. Sullivan- we do have subcommittee reports, we do have a TIF committee, if the subcommittee report
461 brings back report that the committee met and asked the council to do that, we could do that. So that
462 motion that has been offered and seconded I want to ask the council are we within our rules to entertain
463 that motion tonight. I will ask for a reconfirmation on that tonight. Is that motion allowable at this point
464 and if yes I will ask for a roll call on that tonight. Please vote on whether the chairman's decision is
465 correct. If he is not vote no, and if you vote yes then we will vote on the motion made.

466
467 ***J. Sullivan asked if that motion that he made above is allowable tonight as it was not on the***
468 ***agenda per C. Karolian's suggestion.***

469
470 **Roll Call Vote #5**

471 ***T. Tsantoulis Abstained was not in the room for full conversation***

472 ***C. Jones NP***

473 ***R. Duhaime NP***

474 ***A. Walczyk Aye***

475 ***R. Lapierre Nay***

476 ***C. Karolian Nay***

477 ***J. Durand Nay***

478 ***D. Boutin Aye***

479 ***J. Sullivan Aye***

480

481 ***Vote 3-3-1 motion failed.***

482

483 J. Sullivan- gentleman for now on until we change our rules any motion that is offered that is not directly
484 on the agenda I will not allow to happen.

485
486 R. Lapierre- that's not just what happened.

487
488 J. Sullivan – so no motion can be made at any time?

489
490 A. Garron – then how would you like me to proceed.

491
492 J. Sullivan- well I would suggest this, then you can bring it up in the TA report and ask that of us then.
493 We are going around the barn here. That means that anytime the administrator has something that is
494 not mentioned on the agenda, we don't have the authority to vote on it. Based on the rules and what we
495 just voted on we cannot take up matters that are not on the agenda.

496
497 R. Lapierre- that's not what your ruling was about and that's not what that vote was about. So you keep
498 saying that, correct me if I am wrong, I voted nay and so did Karolian and Durand but in discussion I
499 don't believe that any of us were saying that no motion on the agenda cannot be entertained.

500
501 J. Sullivan- from now on then based on that, if a new motion is brought up that is not on the agenda I will
502 ask the council if that motion is in order. If it is we will vote on it.

503
504 **J. Sullivan called for a break taken at 8:08**

505
506 D. Boutin left at 8:10 then D. Boutin returned at 8:20.

507
508 Council back in session 8:18.

509
510 **CONSENT AGENDA**

511
512 **T. Tsantoulis motioned to move the consent agenda as presented seconded by A. Walczyk.**

513
514 T. Tsantoulis read the names of the contributors of the donations as followed:
515 Michael Taylor c/o Admix, Richard & Alex Murtagh c/o Hooksett Kiwanis, Dave Dickson c/o Hooksett
516 Kiwanis, Judy Barrett c/o Hooksett Kiwanis, Sandra Mack c/o Hooksett Kiwanis, Amy Mellow c/o
517 Hooksett Kiwanis, Susan Stein c/o Hooksett Kiwanis, Above & Beyond Childcare c/o Hooksett Kiwanis.

518
519 **Donation of checks totaling \$600.00 (\$400.00 from Kenneth & Joanne McHugh and two \$100.00**
520 **from George & Daniel Bureau) to the Town of Hooksett for the Family Services Department to**
521 **benefit Hooksett children and families for the 2021 holiday season and for any additional**
522 **monetary donations for this holiday season not to exceed \$10,000 per RSA 31:95-b, III (b).**

523
524 *Accept donation of checks totaling \$600.00 (\$400.00 from Kenneth & Joanne McHugh and two*
525 *\$100.00 from George & Daniel Bureau) to the Town of Hooksett for the Family Services*
526 *Department to benefit Hooksett children and families for the 2021 holiday season and for any*
527 *additional monetary donations for this holiday season not to exceed \$10,000 per RSA 31:95-b, III*
528 *(b).*

529
530
531 **Donation of \$2,300 in outdoor winter clothing items to the Town of Hooksett for the Family**
532 **Services Department 2021 Holiday assistance program per RSA 31:95-e, II.**

533
534
535 *Accept donation of \$2,300 in outdoor winter clothing items to the Town of Hooksett for the*
536 *Family Services Department 2021 Holiday assistance program per RSA 31:95-e, II.*

537

538 C. Karolian- who made the donation in 10.1 of \$600 and \$200 dollars?
539
540 Family Services Director- as stated in the staff report \$400.00 from Kenneth & Joanne McHugh and two
541 \$100.00 from George & Daniel Bureau.

542
543 **Vote in favor 8-0.**
544

545 **TOWN ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT**

546
547 A. Garron- covid update numbers have gone up significantly to 122 and 1767 total. We will continue to
548 monitor the numbers and stay on top of any changes and increases. Overall, for vaccinated with 1 shot
549 really has not changed it is only moving .10th of a point.

550
551 R. Duhaime- do we know the rate of infection in the schools?
552

553 A. Garron- I do not have that information. From what I hear they are seeing larger clusters in the
554 schools. I know it is having an impact I just don't know how much of an impact.
555

556 J. Sullivan- if you go to the school Boards website, they show all that information.
557

558 A. Garron- Trimbur- the equipment has been removed and the check for the reduced time restricted
559 time frame, we are satisfied, and it is complete.

560 I did receive a letter from Central Water Precinct in regard to a chlorination program that Manchester
561 Water Works will be performing a chlorine conversion starting December 1 to spring. A. Garron read
562 the letter sent by Central and Manchester Water works if residents want to see a copy of the letter this
563 will also be on their website.
564

565 D. Fitzpatrick- the BlueCross and BlueShield there was an antitrust lawsuit going on. Basically, what it
566 comes down to is a monopoly. The BlueCross BlueShield Antitrust litigation MDL2406 is actually the
567 lawsuit. We checked with our attorney and our broker said that individuals and employers whose
568 offering this insurance we can tag onto that lawsuit. There is no harm in attaching to the settlement and
569 no cost. It is just alleging if the courts approve there will be a settlement fund in the amount of 2.6 billion
570 dollars. It is a settlement fund to agree to make changes in the way they do business. The plaintiff who
571 are incurring this suit are saying that they want to have better opportunities for competition in the
572 marketplace for health insurance. We filed a claim, and we will see what happens. We were
573 participating in 2016 and this is just now coming out now.
574

575 C. Karolian- you submitted a claim to who?
576

577 D. Fitzpatrick- for the BlueCross and BlueShield there was an antitrust lawsuit online website to file the
578 claim. I went online on behalf of the town and filed the claim.
579

580 C. Karolian- Do we need town counsel approval to be involved in a lawsuit?
581

582 D. Fitzpatrick- I am not aware of that, I did reach out to town council. They said as an employer there
583 would be nothing to cause us harm. He did not indicate that we needed the governing bodies approval.
584

585 C. Karolian- should we ask if it is ok that we are allowed to be part of this lawsuit.
586

587 D. Fitzpatrick- I will go get it to read further through his response, to read through.
588

589 A. Garron- NHDOT 10-year plan reinstatement of Rt. 3A/Hackett Hill Road. I broached that subject
590 where with regard to attempt to reinstate that program. I indicated that I attended a September 28th
591 meeting. We do have an existing project on the current 10-year plan for Alice Road to Whitehall Road.
592 In the 2016-2026 plan the Hackett Hill Road was on there. It is not on this one, and what I attempted to
593 do was get it reinstated on this plan to get funding through the DOT's program to supplement the
594 funding we have through impact fees and through a possible improvement fee. There is money in there
595 and now we want to get that reinstated. What would be helpful if the Board is amendable is a vote of
596 support for reinstating that project in the current 10-year plan.
597

598 **R. Duhaime motioned that we support the Town Administrator in getting the Rt. 3A/Hackett Hill**
599 **Road program reinstated in the State current 10-year plan. Seconded by A. Walczyk.**

600
601 **Vote in favor 8-0**
602
603 C. Karolian- I think you were going to contact Municipal Association to find out about non public
604 sessions under 91:a about harming someone's reputation vs a corporation.
605
606 A. Garron- I did not do that, I will follow up.
607
608 A. Garron- we received a NHDES approval plan for the restoration of the Lilac Bridge over the
609 Merrimack.
610
611 D. Fitzpatrick- he doesn't reference in here weather we need it. He does say it is a class action lawsuit
612 brought against BlueCross BlueShield that alleged that the company engaged in anti-competitive
613 practices. In essence the plaintiff alleges that they will not allow competition that resulted in higher
614 medical costs. BC/BC has denied any wrongdoing but has put in excess of 2 billion in a trust fund to be
615 distributed to those that have been impacted. They don't want to go into further litigation because that
616 will be more costly. Any employer who participated between 2015 and 2016 are eligible to file as
617 claimants and eligible to receive some portion of the settlement amount.
618
619 C. Karolian- we would not be engaged in a lawsuit or litigation against them, we would be putting a
620 claim on the trust to receive money back and that would be decided outside of litigation, so we wouldn't
621 be involved in any lawsuits.
622
623 D. Fitzpatrick- the litigation has already been completed. BC/BC isn't saying they did anything wrong
624 but they don't want to do any more litigation.
625
626 A. Garron- how should I proceed with amending the TIF plan.
627
628 J. Sullivan- I would put it on the agenda for December asking the board to redirect you to reestablish a
629 hearing that will deal with the 2 issues in extending the boundaries and changing the MOU agreement.
630 And that at that point of we are so inclined we can direct you to hold a public hearing.
631
632 A. Garron- the main hearing is to one amend the plan with the wording suggested by legal. 2nd to
633 expand the district to include the parcels on the east and west side of the river and then for
634 consideration is the MOU, because you can't do one without the other.
635
636 J. Sullivan- we will schedule 2 PH on the same day for different changes.
637
638
639 A. Garron- So, Dec 8th will be to ask the question and reformat what we are going to be looking for. In
640 January will be the public hearings like we were going to be having here tonight.
641
642 C. Karolian- I think there is a time element involved about how long, I think it is 15 days, how long after
643 a motion is made to expand to have a public hearing under 16:2 k.
644
645 J. Sullivan – on December 8th we are just discussing having a new hearing.
646
647 A. Garron – from what I understand the December 8th meeting will be to amend the vote as to what we
648 are going to be having the public hearing on. Then in January whatever that vote is we will make sure
649 we get the proper notices. Then you will have a meeting to adopt.
650

651 **OLD BUSINESS**
652

653 **Juneteenth Holiday (tabled at Council 11/03/2021 Meeting)**
654

655 **R. Lapierre moved that we remove 14.2 that was tabled at the 11/03/2021 meeting from the table**
656 **seconded by J. Sullivan**
657

658 **All in favor 8-0.**
659

660
661 A. Garron- the Finance Director directed me that the cost of adding this extra holiday for fire and police
662 union it will be \$22,418.43 due to contract requirements of paying police and fire for the holiday
663
664

665 because they work it regardless. Non-union employees will be \$11,270.15 and middle management will
666 be \$3,516.01, DPW union cost would be \$4,584.47 combined \$41,789.06.

667
668 T. Tsantoulis- so that would be repeated every year. This is a new created federal holiday, and the cost
669 will be \$41,789.06 for adding an additional holiday.

670
671 C. Karolina- that number does not even include raises.

672
673 J. Durand- I took the liberty and did some research on this. I called the following towns: Auburn, Candia
674 Pembroke, Bow, Bedford, Merrimack, Litchfield, Londonderry, Derry, Raymond, Amherst, Milford,
675 Epping, Exeter, Epsom, Manchester, Concord, Rochester, Goffstown. All of them are not considering it
676 and are not going to give the day off. As a taxpayer I think this is too much money and

677
678 C. Karolian- I want to make a point that we are talking about opening contracts that are existing,
679 correct? To add a holiday without negotiating something in or out. Whos' idea is this? Was this the
680 administrations presentation that we add Juneteenth as a paid holiday?

681
682 A. Garron- Yes it was.

683
684 T. Tsantoulis- this holiday could be a bargaining chip for union negotiations.

685
686 J. Durand- if anything this should be 2 separate votes. They can ask for it when the contract is open for
687 negotiations do not give it to them as a freebie now.

688
689 J. Durand- there is nothing that says we must follow federal holidays.

690
691 J. Sullivan- A. Garron is saying that he is bringing it up as it was named as a federal holiday.

692
693 J. Durand- and I am doing my due diligence and calling 20 other towns and they all said no and they
694 were not even pursuing it.

695
696 J. Sullivan- if other towns are picking and choosing. If it is a federal holiday, then I think we should
697 follow suite.

698
699 J. Durand- that's easy to do, just look on their website, it will tell you how may holiday's they are giving.

700
701 **Roll Call Vote #6**

702 ***J. Durand Nay***

703 ***R. Lapierre Aye***

704 ***C. Karolian Nay***

705 ***D. Boutin Nay***

706 ***C. Jones NP***

707 ***T. Tsantoulis Nay***

708 ***A. Walczyk Aye***

709 ***R. Duhaime Nay***

710 ***J. Sullivan Aye***

711
712 ***Vote 3-5 motion failed.***

~~713~~

716 **Town Administrator Further Discussion with Town Council on Use of Local Fiscal Recovery**
717 **Funds (ARPA) the Town Accepted on 7/7/2021 in the amount of \$1,522,397.00 (last on Council**
718 **Agenda 09/22/2021)**

720
721 A. Garron- this is a list of possible projects, recommendations and already voted on projects.
722 \$1,522,396.80 is what we were awarded with half this year and half being awarded next year. 2
723 projects that the board has already approved was the comcast Edgewater broadband expansion and
724 agreed to move forward with the feasibility study for farmer road. \$400,00 was the estimate for Farmer
725 Road, we decided to move forward with the study first. A request for \$700,000 for the route 3 TIF
726 project, and the police vehicle request was taken out and to be paid by ARPA funds. Since that time 2
727 vehicles have been taken out, but I still show the one. So far, the total projects that have been
728 approved and recommended total \$774,500.

729
730 C. Karolian- those discussion not all of them were approved by the council. When it comes to the TIF
731 that was proposed by one councilor. When we talked about the Farmer Road project, I thought the
732 discussion was approved by the majority of the council to engage in that discussion to put it on that list.
733

734 R. Lapierre- it says here \$50,00 for the police vehicle, but in the PD line budget for police vehicle it says
735 \$51,000.

736
737 A. Garron- it does, I just rounded it out.

738
739 T. Tsantoulis- then we only have \$12,500 that has been committed and \$12,000.

740
741 A. Garron- that's why I didn't want to expend all the moneys because we have until 2024 to obligate
742 these funds.

743
744 J. Sullivan- we do have until December. I don't want to rush to spend it. I also don't want to delay it. I
745 think it is proper for us to have discussions on the funds. There will be unanticipated projects coming
746 down. If there are projects that we need to fund now, then why don't we do that.

747
748 **R. Lapierre- move to allocate \$51,000 from the ARPA funds to the police department for the**
749 **purchase of a new police vehicle seconded by J. Sullivan.**

750
751 J. Sullivan- can you explain why \$51,000 and not the \$50,000 as recommended.

752
753 R. Lapierre- because \$51,000 is the number that is in the budget book it's what that they plan to spend
754 on a new cruiser in 2022, and I think that is the going rate. As a council we cut a car out of their budget
755 last year due to fear of loss of revenue. To make it right and to get back on track for the vehicle
756 replacement plan which is an issue of safety and service seemed like a good use of funds from the
757 American rescue plan.

758
759 Tsantoulis- we also voted to spend \$12,500 for the expansion of broadband on Edgewater Drive and
760 since then we found out that consolidated Communications is expanding into the area and will shake up
761 the competition for Comcast, that being said we may not want to push forward with this to fast without
762 seeing what their plans are and what they are going to offer.

763
764 J. Durand- I want to point out at the last meeting that we voted to spend \$75,000 to purchase a Tahoe
765 for the PD for the K9 unit, other towns are getting by using an explorer so we probably spent more
766 money than we need to.

767
768 C. Karolian- we do not need to spend the money till 2024. I think due caution and think about what we
769 truly want to spend the money on.

770
771 R. Lapierre- I want to point out that this was a vehicle that was taken out of their budget last year and
772 adding this vehicle will bridge that gap and make it a year late.

773
774 A. Garron – I think we could even consider creating a sub committee to discuss the uses for this
775 money. Since we started talking about this, when I attended the IMCA conference we had 2 separate
776 discussions on this and how to use the funds still were not discussed. I think a subcommittee will be
777 appropriate on how to spend these funds. There are other funding sources that are out there and
778 coming into play. I think the TIF district needs to present on the project and explain where they are at
779 and discuss what is going well and what is not.

780
781

782 **Roll Call Vote #7**

783 **C. Jones NP**

784 **C. Karolian Nay**

785 **R. Lapierre Aye**

786 **R. Duhaime Nay**

787 **A. Walczyk Aye**

788 **J. Durand Nay**

789 **T. Tsantoulis Nay**

790 **D. Boutin Nay**

791 **J. Sullivan Aye**

792 **Voted in favor (3-5 motion failed)**

793

794 **J. Sullivan motioned to form a subcommittee to review, research and suggest appropriate**
795 **projects to be funded from the ARPA funds seconded by C. Karolian.**

796

797 **Vote all in favor 8-0**

798

799 R. Lapierre, D. Boutin and T. Tsantoulis will head that subcommittee.

800

801 **NEW BUSINESS**

802

803 **J. Durand motioned that we vacate councilor C. Jones seat due to excessive absence.**

804 **Seconded by C. Karolian**

805

806 J. Durand- if you look at the charter 3.2 part 3 he's exceeded the number of absences, it does not say
807 in there why, just that you were absent.

808

809 J. Sullivan- to be clear I'd like to know how many meetings he has missed and if he is over.

810

811 J. Durand- 6 out of 22 regular meetings and 9 out of 28 total meetings.

812

813 C. Karolian- if the numbers are true I don't think it is fair to the constituents that they don't get fair
814 representation.

815

816 J. Sullivan- we have to go back to January 1st to check to see if he has missed meetings.

817

818 C. Karolian- we can look back at the minutes to check attendance.

819

820 **R. Lapierre motioned to table the discussion to vacate C. Jones seat until we get clarification of**
821 **the attendance record seconded by D. Boutin.**

822

823 **Vote in Favor 8-0**

824

825 R. Duhaime- should we contact Mr. Jones to check in and see if everything is ok.

826

827 J. Durand- it is not in the charter that the reason why needs to be made.

828

829 **C. Karolian motioned to extend the meeting past 9:30 seconded by R. Lapierre.**

830

831 **Vote 5-3 in favor**

832

833 **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

834
836 **T. Tsantoulis motioned to approve the public minutes of the October 13, 2021, meeting.**
837 **Seconded by D. Boutin.**
838
839 Line 74: delete "late" replace with "later"
840 Line 95: Delete "choose" replace with "chose to"
841 Line 140: add "?"
842 Line 144: add "?"
843 Line 260: after the word "about" add "?"
844 Line 261: add "?"
845 Line 298: add "?"
846 Line 305: add "?"
847 Line 362: Delete "all" add "vote"
848 Line 633: Delete "that drafts" replace with "draft"
849 Line 647: Delete "Majority all in favor" replace with "vote in favor"
850 Line 901: Delete "duties that we see now" Add: The department head wanted to strike the word
851 "authorize" from the definition of the committee's duties. We talked about the possibilities of solar
852 panels on the closed landfill but there are some serious hurdles with that. It might be better suited to
853 have the Town Engineer look into it, if that's ok with the Town Administrator. There is some concern at
854 the water treatment facility about clearing grass and vegetation underneath their solar panels and the
855 lifespan of the solar panels. They are short staffed. The new equipment came in. They are having a
856 hard time getting people with CDLs to apply even at entry level to apply due to the low pay.
857 Line 917: attributed to R. Lapierre but was R. Duhaime.
858 Line 744 "Vote in favor 8-0" the vote should be 7-1
859
860 **T. Tsantoulis motioned to approve the public minutes of the October 13, 2021, meeting as**
861 **amended Seconded by D. Boutin.**
862
863 **Vote in favor 8-0**
864
~~865~~
867 **D. Boutin motioned to approve the public minutes of the October 27, 2021, meeting. Seconded**
868 **by J. Sullivan.**
869
870 **Vote in favor 8-0**
871
~~872~~
874 **D. Boutin motioned to approve the public minutes of the November 03, 2021, meeting. Seconded**
875 **by J. Sullivan.**

876 line 463 should read "absolute minimum recommended"
877 Line 732: After word official, insert/add "you have to do it"
878 Should read: "I believe the judgment indicated if you wanted to remove an elected official you have to
879 do it at the ballot box."
880 Line 839: roll call vote doesn't show who voted in 6 favor, who voted 2 against **(RL: this is Roll Call**
881 **#9)**
882 Line 840: I requested it be recorded / noted in public minutes that I objected to what was being
883 discussed in Non-Public session in accordance to NH RSA 91-A:2 II,a. No record request noted in
884 minutes prior to adjournment.
885 Line 841: No mention of who seconded the motion to adjourn or list of roll call vote by Councilors. **(RL:**
886 **this is on the Roll Call sheet as well)**

887 ***D. Boutin motioned to approve the public minutes of the November 03, 2021, meeting as***
888 ***amended. Seconded by J. Sullivan.***

889
890 ***Vote in favor 8-0***

891
892 ***J. Sullivan motioned to approve the non-public minutes of the October 13, 2021, meeting***
893 ***Seconded by D. Boutin.***

894
895 ***Vote in favor 8-0***

896
897 ***J. Sullivan motioned to approve the 1st non-public minutes of the October 27, 2021, meeting***
898 ***from 5:33pm-6:13pm Seconded by D. Boutin.***

899
900 ***Vote in favor 8-0***

901
902 ***D. Boutin motioned to approve the 2nd non-public minutes of the October 27, 2021, meeting from***
903 ***9:39pm-10:06pm Seconded by J. Sullivan.***

904
905 ***Vote in favor 8-0***

906
907 ***J. Sullivan motioned to approve the non-public minutes of the November 3, 2021, meeting as***
908 ***amended Seconded by D. Boutin.***

909 "Karolian objected on the record, citing NH RSA 91-A:2 II-a, about the Town Council discussing GMI in
910 non-public. Roll call votes were not recorded indicating who voted 2 Aye, 4 Nay and which 2 abstained
911 and reasons for abstaining.

912 ***Vote in favor 8-0***

913 **PUBLIC INPUT**

914
915 Joanne McHugh 14 Jefferson Drive- when you put together the subcommittee to take input for the
916 ARPA funds now you are pouting Farmer Road back into jeopardy. We have already expressed the
917 need, now we are going to go through this exercise again.

918
919 David Ross 56 Sherwood Drive- the committee I think is a good idea, there are some things going on
920 here that are legitimate ideas. TIF funding I feel should be coming from the district, that's the way it was
921 set up. . There is a lot of drainage issues. Look at what's going on at Silver Ave. The broadband issue I
922 don't see is our issue the people that are going to make the money from the services providing the
923 broadband should be the ones responsible for paying. Question again on the grant money, did we
924 actually receive the funds? Do we actually have possession of the 1.5 million dollars? Or is this
925 something that is just promised to us? The minutes I understand don't have to be complete sentences
926 but anything that I said was not a complete sentence, and did not make any sense.

927
928 **NON-PUBLIC SESSION NH RSA 91-A:3 II**

929
930 ***J. Sullivan motioned to enter non-public session of November 17, 2021, at 9:44 pm in***
931 ***accordance with NH RSA 91-A:3 II a and c The motion was seconded by D. Boutin.***

932
933 (a) The dismissal, promotion, or compensation of any public employee or the disciplining of such
934 employee, or the investigation of any charges against him or her, unless the employee affected (1) has
935 a right to a meeting and (2) requests that the meeting be open, in which case the request shall be
936 granted.

937 (c) Matters which, if discussed in public, would likely affect adversely the reputation of any person,
938 other than a member of the public body itself, unless such person requests an open meeting. This

939 exemption shall extend to any application for assistance or tax abatement or waiver of a fee, fine, or
940 other levy, if based on inability to pay or poverty of the applicant.

941

942 ***T. Tsantoulis motioned to leave non- public session of November 17, 2021, at 10:14 pm. J.***
943 ***Sullivan seconded the motion.***

944

945 ***Voted unanimously in favor (8-0)***

946 ***J. Sullivan motioned to seal the non-public minutes of 11/17/2021; seconded by D. Boutin.***

947 ***Vote in favor 8-0***

948

949

950 **ADJOURNMENT**

951

952 ***Chair Sullivan motioned to adjourn at 10:15 pm. R. Lapierre seconded the motion.***

953

954 ***Voted in favor (8-0)***

955

956 Respectfully submitted,

959 Alicia Jipson

960

961 Alicia Jipson

962 Recording Clerk

963

964 Please see subsequent meeting minutes for any amendments to these minutes