INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF CANVASSERS
MEETING OF MARCH 11, 2020
BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS ROOM
MASON HISTORICAL COURTHOUSE
MASON, MICHIGAN

CANVASSERS PRESENT: Rebecca Bahar-Cook
                      Joe Groff
                      Ted Lawson
                      Jude Wells

CANVASSERS ABSENT: None

OTHERS PRESENT: Barb Byrum, Ingham County Clerk
               Richard J. Garcia, Chief Circuit and Probate Judge
               Liz Noel, Elections/Clerk Coordinator
               Michael Tanis, Recording Secretary
               Adrienne Monahan, Clerk’s Office Employee

Meeting Called to Order

Chairperson Groff called the March 11, 2020 meeting of the Board of Canvassers to order at 1:00 p.m.

Approval of Agenda

Moved by Canvasser Lawson, supported by Canvasser Bahar-Cook, to approve the agenda as presented.
Motion carried unanimously.

Approval of January 30, 2020 Minutes

Moved by Canvasser Lawson, supported by Canvasser Bahar-Cook, to approve the January 30, 2020 minutes.

The January 30, 2020 minutes were amended as follows:

The Board of Canvassers noted for the record that the County Clerk’s staff was given the authority to
verify signatures and seal Poll Books once election inspectors fixed the errors after this meeting was
adjourned.

Motion to approve the minutes, as amended, carried unanimously.

Hon. Richard Garcia, Chief Circuit and Probate Judge, delivered the envelopes from the March 10, 2020
Presidential Primary Election to the Board of Canvassers. He stated that he wanted to note that Meridian
Township was last to deliver their Poll Books to the Mason Courthouse at 3:00 a.m., and Meridian
Township retained their record of being the last to arrive.
Judge Garcia stated that the City of Lansing delivered their Poll Books at 12:20 a.m., and the City of East Lansing delivered their Poll Books at 2:20 a.m.

**Remarks from Clerk Byrum**

Clerk Barb Byrum read a statement into the minutes, which is included as Attachment A.

Chairperson Groff asked if 125 ballots at East Lansing, Precinct 17 that were issued without the Ingham Intermediate School District (ISD) Ballot Proposal should be spoiled.

Clerk Byrum stated that in East Lansing, Precinct 17, the Ingham ISD question went into Clinton County there were some school districts in the precinct that were located within the Ingham ISD. She further stated that those voters were issued a ballot without the Ingham ISD question, so when it was brought to her attention, she and the East Lansing City Clerk immediately contacted the State of Michigan and followed all procedures.

Clerk Byrum stated that those voters were contacted and told of the situation with a State of Michigan-approved letter, and given the opportunity to spoil their ballot and vote a new ballot. She further stated that if the voter did not do that, then their originally-voted ballot would be counted, as outlined in State procedures.

Chairperson Groff asked if there was no problem of people coming in and stating that they wanted to spoil their ballot.

Clerk Byrum stated no. She further stated that the East Lansing City Clerk had those ballots at the end of the night, and had identified in the Qualified Voter File (QVF) those voters who had not yet spoiled their ballot to offer them the opportunity to do so on Election Day.

Liz Noel, Elections/Clerk Coordinator, stated that the East Lansing City Clerk did report to the Ingham County Clerk’s Office that their Absent Voter Counting Board (AVCB) balanced at the end of the night.

Clerk Byrum stated that one thing she thought was remarkable, with all of the opportunities voters had in Ingham County and the City of East Lansing with same-day voter registration, was that their AVCB balanced at the end of the night because the East Lansing City Clerk was thorough and paid attention to detail. She further stated that there might be opportunities with the East Lansing precincts because the workers were not able to call into her like they were used to.

Canvasser Bahar-Cook asked Clerk Byrum if she had received feedback from the local clerks on the AV ballots and any issues with how long it took to feed the ballots into the tabulators. She further stated that she was surprised that the East Lansing City Clerk’s Office issued their last ballot at 10:45 p.m.

Clerk Byrum stated that the reason the East Lansing City Clerk’s Office issued their last ballot at 10:45 p.m. was because those voters were in-line by 8:00 p.m.
Clerk Byrum stated that the City of East Lansing, the City of Lansing, Meridian Township, and Delhi Charter Township had high-speed tabulators. She further stated that the Michigan Association of County Clerks (MACC) and the Michigan Association of Municipal Clerks (MAMC) have both supported legislation to allow early processing of ballots the day before an election, not tabulation, and that it was for the County’s smaller jurisdictions who could not afford a high-speed tabulator.

Clerk Byrum stated that Meridian Township might have a challenging time in August or November for last to report. She further stated that, similar to what Judge Garcia had indicated, when papers were late to be brought in, that meant an hour and a half to two hours later before her staff could go home because her staff was updating election results on the website and to the State of Michigan.

Canvasser Wells stated her concern that Clerk Byrum had indicated that Meridian Township had absentee ballots where they were unsure of the numbers, which said to her that they were pulled from their sleeves beforehand. She further stated that Clerk Byrum later reported that the City of East Lansing was right on because they went back and made sure who re-voted and who did not. She asked Clerk Byrum if the City of East Lansing had pulled their absentee ballots from their sleeves during the chaos of the day.

Clerk Byrum stated no. She further stated that the City of East Lansing had AVCBs and had started processing at 7:00 a.m. on Election Day.

Liz Noel stated that the opportunity for a voter to spoil their absentee voter ballot was 4:00 p.m. the Monday before Election Day, so any ballots that were not returned before that time would be counted.

Discussion ensued about the spoiling of absentee ballots in the City of East Lansing.

Chairperson Groff asked, hypothetically, if he went to the polls on Election Day, if he could ask for his absentee ballot to be pulled.

Clerk Byrum stated absolutely not. She further stated that if local clerks had the ability to start processing absentee ballots earlier, the 4:00 p.m. the Monday before Election Day requirement would have to be changed since local clerks would have to download the Electronic Poll Book to know who had or had not voted.

Discussion ensued about the spoiling of absentee ballots in the State of Michigan.

Chairperson Groff asked Clerk Byrum if she could notify the Board of Canvassers when she conducted a training for election inspectors.

Clerk Byrum stated yes.

Canvasser Wells asked Clerk Byrum if spouses could serve on the Board of Canvassers together.

Clerk Byrum stated that, according to the Michigan Bureau of Elections, spouses may serve on the Board of Canvassers together.

**Canvass of the March 10, 2020 Presidential Primary Election**

The Board of Canvassers commenced canvassing the March 10, 2020 Presidential Primary Election.
The Board of Canvassers noted for the record that White Oak Township, Precinct 1 had tape on envelope that was torn and could not be recounted if contested. The issue was resolved when the Board of Canvassers indicated to let the Township Clerk know.

The Board of Canvassers noted for the record that Bunker Hill Township, Precinct 1 did not have a ballot summary, the total votes were not entered, and a provisional ballot was reported but comments said that one was not issued. It was also noted that the total ballots scanned was one greater than the number of voters. Bunker Hill Township Clerk Carrie Zeitz provided the ballot summary, and provided an email that stated no provisional ballots were issued in the precinct, which is included as Attachment B. Liz Noel explained that she spoke to the Township Clerk, who indicated that after a ballot jam, the ballot counter had increased by one more than the number of voters, and at the end of the night, the number of physical ballots matched up with the number of applications to vote.

The Board of Canvassers noted for the record that Meridian Township, Precinct 15 had 2 results tapes in the binder and should assume there was nothing in the Judge’s envelope.

The Board of Canvassers noted for the record that Meridian Township, Precinct 11 needed to have the poll workers put the voter number with the remarks on the challenge page.

The Board of Canvassers noted for the record that Meridian Township, Precinct 5 had not completed the oath of inspectors’ page. The issue was resolved when the person came in and signed.

The Board of Canvassers noted for the record that Meridian Township, Precinct 12 had missing signatures of the person who administered the oath. The issue was resolved when the person came in and signed.

The Board of Canvassers noted for the record that Meridian Township, Precinct 9 had 3 absentee ballots and 3 voter registration receipts in the Poll Book. The issue was resolved when Meridian Township Clerk Brett Dreyfus took the materials with him.

The Board of Canvassers noted for the record that Meridian Township, Precinct 2 had not completed the oath page. The issue was resolved when Clerk Dreyfus dated the oath page.

The Board of Canvassers noted for the record that Meridian Township, Precinct 20 needed to explain the ballot summary difference. The issue was resolved when it was found that 4 spoiled ballots did not get recorded on the ballot summary.

The Board of Canvassers noted for the record that Lansing Township, AVCB and Precinct 4 envelopes had not sealed well and could not be recounted if contested.

The Board of Canvassers noted for the record that Lansing Township, Precinct 2 had not signed the seal verification by a Democrat or a Republican inspector. The issue was later resolved.

The Board of Canvassers noted for the record that Delhi Charter Township, AVCB Precinct 7 had indicated that 291 ballots were issued, but the absent voter list had 270 voters with 100 percent ballots returned. Delhi Township Clerk Evan Hope sent the full absent voter sheet.
The Board of Canvassers noted for the record that Delhi Charter Township, AVCB Precinct 8 had indicated that 511 ballots were issued, but the absent voter list had 481 voters with 100 percent ballots returned. Clerk Hope sent the full absent voter sheet.

The Board of Canvassers noted for the record that Delhi Charter Township, AVCB Precinct 9 had indicated that 524 ballots were issued, but the absent voter list had 487 voters with 100 percent ballots returned. Clerk Hope sent the full absent voter sheet.

The Board of Canvassers noted for the record that Delhi Charter Township, Precinct 9 needed to explain why the Chairperson signature was not completed in the Poll Book. The issue was resolved when the signature was found in the section below.

The Board of Canvassers noted for the record that Williamstown Township, Precinct 2 had an AV count error. The issue was resolved when it was determined that an AV ballot had been spoiled and should not be counted in the list of AV voters.

The Board of Canvassers noted for the record that Delhi Charter Township, AVCB Precinct 1 had indicated that 504 ballots were issued, but the absent voter list had 464 voters with 100 percent ballots returned. Clerk Hope sent the full absent voter sheet.

The Board of Canvassers noted for the record that Delhi Charter Township, AVCB Precinct 2 had indicated that 478 ballots were issued, but the absent voter list had 436 voters with 100 percent ballots returned. Clerk Hope sent the full absent voter sheet.

The Board of Canvassers noted for the record that Delhi Charter Township, AVCB Precinct 3 had indicated that 673 ballots were issued, but the absent voter list had 618 voters with 100 percent ballots returned. Clerk Hope sent the full absent voter sheet and explained in an email that the issue with 617 ballots cast and 618 voters, as had been noted in Clerk Byrum’s remarks, which is included as Attachment C.

The Board of Canvassers noted for the record that Meridian Township, Precinct 14 needed to explain the difference of 2 on the ballot summary. The issue was resolved when Clerk Dreyfus came in and explained that there were issues reading the laptop screen and the starting number sequence was determined to be incorrect.

The Board of Canvassers noted for the record that Leslie Township, Precinct 1 had AV and Precinct totals that did not match the total votes cast tape. Leslie Township Deputy Clerk Deb Parmelee sent an email, included copies of applications, and explained the errors, which is included as Attachment D.

The Board of Canvassers noted for the record that Williamstown Township, Precinct 1 had not completed the oath page, and the AV List of Voters was missing. The issues were resolved when the person administering the oath came in and signed, and the Williamstown Township Clerk sent the AV List of Voters.

Lansing City Clerk Chris Swope sent the certification of the results for one provisional ballot for the City of Lansing, Ward 1 Precinct 6. Those results would be added to the total for that precinct.
Recess

The meeting was recessed on March 11, 2020 at 5:10 p.m.
INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF CANVASSERS
MEETING OF MARCH 12, 2020
BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS ROOM
MASON HISTORICAL COURTHOUSE
MASON, MICHIGAN

CANVASSERS PRESENT:  Rebecca Bahar-Cook
                       Joe Groff
                       Ted Lawson
                       Jude Wells

CANVASSERS ABSENT:    None

OTHERS PRESENT:       Liz Noel, Elections/Clerk Coordinator
                       Michael Tanis, Recording Secretary
                       Adrienne Monahan, Clerk’s Office Employee

Meeting Called to Order
Chairperson Groff called the March 12, 2020 meeting of the Board of Canvassers to order at 10:00 a.m.

Canvass of the March 10, 2020 Presidential Primary Election

The Board of Canvassers continued canvassing the March 10, 2020 Presidential Primary Election.

The Board of Canvassers noted to include the resolved issues with emails in the minutes.

The Board of Canvassers noted for the record that Stockbridge Township, Precinct 2 had one less voter
than ballots tabulated, and the Poll Book had a discrepancy between the spoiled ballot numbers 50711 v.
50708. Stockbridge Township Deputy Clerk Mandy Urquhart sent emails and explained the errors, which
are included as Attachments E and F.

The Board of Canvassers noted for the record that Onondaga Township, Precinct 1 needed to explain the
difference of 3 on the Ballot Summary sheet. The issue was resolved when it was found that the
difference was caused by rejected ballots that were not entered into the AV List correctly.

The Board of Canvassers noted for the record that Delhi Charter Township, AVCB Precinct 5 had
indicated that 432 ballots sent on the AV List of Voters, but 430 ballots sent on the handwritten sheet in
the Poll Book, and the AV Lists for Precincts 4, 5, and 6 had 100% of ballots returned. Clerk Hope sent
an email explaining the issue, which is included as Attachment G.

The Board of Canvassers noted for the record that East Lansing, Precinct 5 needed the end of day
signatures from the election inspectors. The issue was resolved when the inspectors came in to sign the
Poll Book.

The Board of Canvassers noted for the record that Luke Adams from East Lansing, Precinct 12 needed to
come in and sign that he was given the oath as he certified the close of polls. The issue was resolved when
The Board of Canvassers noted for the record that East Lansing City, Precinct 11 needed to have the Chairperson sign where noted instead of later on in the list of signatures in the future.

The Board of Canvassers noted for the record that East Lansing City, Precinct 16 had unused ballots they were not included in the ballot summary, and the count should be off by one because of a rejected ballot. The issue was resolved when East Lansing City Clerk Jennifer Shuster sent an email with an explanation, which is included as Attachment H.

The Board of Canvassers noted for the record that East Lansing City, Precinct 3 had a provisional ballot that was issued but not given at precinct. The issue was resolved when it was explained by Liz Noel and with an email from Clerk Shuster, which is included as Attachment I.

The Board of Canvassers noted for the record that East Lansing City, Precinct 7 was not sealed properly, and needed an explanation of ballots #200733 and #100975 not being on the voter list. Clerk Shuster sent an email and explained the errors, which is included as Attachment I.

The Board of Canvassers noted for the record that Trudy Bower from East Lansing City, Precinct 10 needed to come in and sign that she was given the oath as she certified the close of polls and the completion certificate. The issue was resolved when she came in and signed.

**Recess**

The meeting was recessed on March 12, 2020 at 4:00 p.m.
INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF CANVASSERS
MEETING OF MARCH 13, 2020
BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS ROOM
MASON HISTORICAL COURTHOUSE
MASON, MICHIGAN

CANVASSERS PRESENT: Rebecca Bahar-Cook
Joe Groff
Ted Lawson
Jude Wells

CANVASSERS ABSENT: None

OTHERS PRESENT: Liz Noel, Elections/Clerk Coordinator
Michael Tanis, Recording Secretary
Adrienne Monahan, Clerk’s Office Employee

Meeting Called to Order

Chairperson Groff called the March 13, 2020 meeting of the Board of Canvassers to order at 10:00 a.m.

Canvass of the March 10, 2020 Presidential Primary Election

The Board of Canvassers continued canvassing the March 10, 2020 Presidential Primary Election.

Chairperson Groff stated that 3 Poll Books were without error sheets, and that made the Board of Canvassers look foolish when people came in to fix errors and the Canvassers did not know. He further stated that the Canvassers should not push for speed over accuracy as people came before the Board of Canvassers the day before and found new errors.

Chairperson Groff discussed the communication between clerk’s office and Canvassers as with special precincts, the Canvassers were told only to two to certify. He further stated that the pink and green papers were not explained to the Canvassers.

Canvasser Bahar-Cook stated that she wanted a write-in column on the spreadsheet, as she had asked for many times.

Chairperson Groff stated that when the Clerk gave her statement, it would be helpful for the Canvassers to see her statement in writing. He further stated that the Canvassers needed to keep an error box in one spot, preferably the table by the door.

Chairperson Groff stated that Bunker Hill Township was fine and did not need to be retabulated.

Canvasser Bahar-Cook stated that she wanted to know for training if “spoiled” and “surrendered” could be distinctly defined and used properly by clerks. She further stated that many ballots were “spoiled” on Election Day and that hopefully meant “surrendered.”
Canvasser Lawson and Adrienne Monahan, Clerk's Office Employee, clarified that upon receipt of a signed, written statement, the voter shall surrender their absent voter ballot and the city or township clerk shall spoil the voter's absent voter ballot.

Canvasser Lawson moved to allow Ingham County Clerk's Office to seal the East Lansing City, Precinct 5 Poll Book upon being signed by the poll worker. Canvasser Wells supported the motion.

The motion carried unanimously.

Chairperson Groff stated that many Lansing City precinct envelopes were not sealed properly.

Recess

Chairperson Gross motioned to adjourn the meeting. Canvasser Lawson supported the motion.

The motion carried unanimously.

The meeting was adjourned on March 13, 2020 at 1:27 p.m.

The next meeting will be March 19, 2020 at 2 p.m. in the First-Floor Conference Room of the Mason Historical Courthouse.

BARB BYRUM, CLERK OF THE BOARD
- Ingham County saw a huge increase in AV ballots with Proposal 3 of 2018. There were two and a half times more AVs turned in in 2020 than in 2016. 33,628 in 2020 vs 13,457 in 2016.

- Same Day Voter registration created opportunities, especially at East Lansing. I personally deployed 14 people to assist. Those individuals were deputized by Clerk Shuster and given state-approved access to the Qualified Voter File (QVF) to register voters and update voter registration addresses.

- East Lansing issued its last AV ballot at 10:15pm (they were in line by 8pm) but it was Meridian Township that was the last to report.

- Meridian Township Precinct 19 results card did not produce electronic results, so those were manually entered into results sheet. Had opportunities with issuing voter registrations properly leading up to Election Day. When asked for status, the clerk claimed they had been “swamped” with voter registrations, but that was not communicated to the County until much later.

- Meridian Township failed in many of the pre-election duties:
  - Timely mailing of absent voter ballots
  - Properly updating the QVF so voters can track their AV application and ballot
  - Appointment of Election Inspectors
  - Public Accuracy Testing (finally done on Sunday)

- City of Mason has requested to go first. City of Lansing and East Lansing are not available to attend today, so please save for later.

- Without the clerks being occupied with Same-Day Registration, some precincts were not given the same level of supervision that they have had in the past.
  - Photo ID requirements in precincts—were alerted to Williamston City and East Lansing issues with poll workers allegedly telling voters they must show photo ID (not given opportunity to sign affidavit). It was later determined that the event in Williamston City was the result of the voter refusing to show identification, although it was in their hand. State law requires that identification be provided if the voter has it on their person.
  - East Lansing Precinct 10 count may be off versus precinct list, as a ballot was found in a secrecy sleeve and not tabulated (per proper protocol), but it could not balance with the list of voters because a specific voter's ballot could not be rejected in the list. It is heavily remarked.
  - Delhi AVCL Pet 1 had 2 spoiled ballots that were counted. No way to rectify, as cannot identify which ballots should not have been counted.
  - Delhi AVCL Pet 3 had 618 voters and 517 ballots cast. They are looking into the issue today, and comparing envelopes and the AV list.
  - Bunker Hill Pet 3 will be 1 ballot off, as they think there was a ballot that counted twice. Check the remarks to see if they were able to determine that with a physical hand count of ballots, as they had been advised to do.
o Stockbridge Pct 1 will be off by one ballot, as they thought an AV voter had not been logged. They are comparing the envelopes returned to the list of voters and will report back.

o Meridian Pct 15 poll workers did not properly sign all certificates and totals tapes at the end of the night. They have already been instructed by Clark Dreyfus to visit the courthouse and complete the poll book and some have already come in to sign.

Questions or concerns?

ATTACHMENT B

From: carrie@bunkerhilltownship.org <carrie@bunkerhilltownship.org>
Sent: Friday, March 13, 2020 10:37 AM
To: Elizabeth Noel <enoe@ingham.org>
Subject: RE: # voters on list/scanned ballots

Good morning

Bunkerhill had 0 provisional ballots issued for the March 10, 2020 Election. The ballot marked provisional was done in error.

thank you
I found that it wasn’t an older version of a report, it’s that they were using the ballot summary report. The ballot summary report excludes the 2 rejected ballots showing 430 ballots issued.

Explanation: AV Precinct 5 used a ballot summary report to record that 430 ballots were issued to voters. The summary report does not include the 2 rejected ballots. The AV list includes the 2 rejected ballots showing 432 ballots being issued. They tabulated the correct number of ballots and that balances with both the final summary report and the final AV list. You have the final AV list. I’m attaching the final summary report for AV05 to show the difference.

Thanks,

Evan

==
Evan Hope, Certified Municipal Clerk
Delhi Charter Township Clerk
ATTACHMENT D

From: Deb Parmlee <debp@ingamertownship.org>
Sent: Friday, March 13, 2020 12:37 PM
To: Inghamclerk inghamclerk <inghamclerk@ingham.org>
Subject: BOARD OF CANVASSERS INFORMATION

Liz,

The attached A.V. applications and A.V. ballot envelopes are for the 7 missing voters on the A.V. list placed in the Poll Book for Leslie Township.

I did not verify the total number of A.V. voters on the list against our total A.V.'s processed at the tabulator. That was my mistake and I sincerely apologize for it and any inconvenience this has caused the board of canvassers.

These voters were, however entered into QVF and the names on the list cross-checked on March 4 against the returned ballots & applications to be sure I did not miss entering any into the QVF. The returned dates for the ballots are all different dates so not sure if there was a glitch in QVF which caused this.

Well, guess I will be doing a cross-check the day before election as well in August and November!

Thank you for your patience and please let the Board of Canvassers know I am sorry for the inconvenience.

Deb Parmlee
Deputy Clerk &
Building Department Coordinator
Found it!!!

Apparently we have 2 Voter #210. I believe what may have happened is that the inspector didn’t hit the final OK before scanning the next voter. I’ve included the page of the voter list and a copy of the applications in question, and the neighboring applications for the canvassers review.

I hope this helps!!!

As always, call with any questions!!!

Mandy~

Mandy Urquhart - Deputy Clerk
Stockbridge Township MI
Good Morning Liz,

In regards to Voter #33 Patrice Weddon Johnson - here is the recap of what happened:

#33 Patrice Weddon Johnson was Issued Ballot #50708

#34 James Kenneth Johnson was Issued Ballot #50709

#33 Patrice Weddon Johnson came back to the EPB worker and told her that her ballot (#50708) was rejected because she marked Xs instead of coloring in the ovals.

#33 Patrice Weddon Johnson was then issued Ballot #50710

#33 Patrice Weddon Johnson then came back again to the EPB worker and showed her that Ballots #50710 and #50711 were stuck together. She voted on #50710 and placed it into the tabulator. The EPB worker then placed Ballot #50711 in the defective envelope and made a note in the remarks section of the EPB.

Since Ballot #50711 was never issued, it couldn't be "spoiled". And since the voter had marked the back, it rendered the ballot "defective" and couldn't be issued to another voter.

I hope this clears up any questions. If you need further help, please feel free to call me on my cell 248-308-6639

Thanks,

Mandy~
ATTACHMENT G

Hi Liz,

We figured out AV Precinct 3. On the AV list you’ll notice 618 voters but there is also 1 rejected ballot. A voter passed away after we received his ballot. We then rejected the ballot and pulled it out. He’s still on the list and in the 618 count. But his ballot was pulled (and listed as rejected) since he passed away. Thus, the Counting Board only tabulated 617 ballots.

Evan

==

Evan Hope, Certified Municipal Clerk
Delhi Charter Township Clerk

ATTACHMENT H

Please see below:

• Precinct 16 – Unused ballots were not included in the ballot summary. The count should also be off by 1 because of a rejected ballot, not 1319. It is accurate that the unused ballots were not indicated on Line J of the Ballot Summary and should have indicated a total count of 1,319 for Line J, which makes Line K 1,600. To address your second sentence, a rejected ballot is not tabulated, but it is still issued and is part of the total number of ballots indicated in the ballot summary on Line G. Therefore, Line L should have a difference of “0” and is balanced. Please let me know if you need further clarification.

Jennifer Shuster, CERA
City Clerk
City of East Lansing
She/Her/Hers
ATTACHMENT I

Please see below:

- Precinct 5 – missing end of day signatures (see attached image) All of these election inspectors have been contacted and given instruction that they must come in and sign immediately.
- Precinct 7 – need an explanation of why #200733 and #100975 (I assume ballot #’s) were not accounted for on the voter list I spoke with the Chairperson, Janet Fowler. Please refer to the second remarks page from the EPB report (8:26 pm on Election Day) that those two ballots were issued to voters and their voter numbers and names were listed in the remarks. Janet stated that the EPB would not lock those voters in, so she put the information in the remarks section. This will bring the total voters to 430 for the voter list to match the totals tape and ballot summary. Those voted ballots are also in the sealed ballot bag. Please know we will follow up on ensuring the voter history record is properly recorded in QVF, as well. Please let me know if you need further clarification.
- Precinct 3 – appears to have provisional ballot issued, but nothing is noted on voter list Precinct 3 did not issue any provisional ballots. Please refer to the third remarks page from the EPB report (8:34 pm) that this ballot entry was not actually a provisional ballot and the voter received a regular ballot. Please let me know if you need further clarification.
- Precinct 16 – Unused ballots were not included in the ballot summary. The count should also be off by 1 because of a rejected ballot, not 1319. Still working on this one.

Jennifer Shuster, CERA
City Clerk
City of East Lansing
She/Her/Hers