Appendix G

STAPLEE Criteria Consideration Table



| STAPLEE Criteria Consideration Tables | Action Prioritization and Comparison | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|----------|
| STAPLEE C | Mitigation Actio | 110 8 011: | 100 Care |

| Jurisdiction: | 1000 | 60 | 7 | DIGO | | | | | | | | | page 1 |
|---|------|----|---------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------|---|---|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| Action | S | - | 4 | <u> </u> | | m | ш | Can action be easily implemented? | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | Can action be quickly implemented? | Level of action benefits | Level of action overall costs | Priority ranking |
| 1 | | | - A = + | enefit (fa | avorable |), -= cos | st (unfav | + = benefit (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | | | Levels = | Levels = high, medium, or low | r low |
| Promote sprinkler installation in housing by revising building codes | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Implement land use plans | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | V | + | [| Poly | med | 1000 |
| Implement/revise review board regulations and building/zoning codes | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | \ | + | 1 | Re | med | low |
| Review/implement County regulations and insurance regulations to construct in floodplains | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | A |
| Increase public awareness and education programs | + | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | ١ | + | ١ | Jan 7 | Bom | Pacu |
| Offer zoning training programs at local/County level | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | PA. |
| Educate public how to prepare for hazard events | + | + | 2 | + | + | 0 | 7 | 1 | + | 1 | high | med | ne |
| Provide training to emergency response personnel to handle hazard events | + | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | Q | + | 0 | MAN | Bow | power |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| 1 Tables | d Comparison |
|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Criteria Consideration | on Prioritization and |
| STAPLEE Criteria Co | Mitigation Acti |

Jurisdiction: Towns 1911010

| page 2 | Priority ranking | rlow | 1 | med | dow | low | med | med | med | A |
|--------|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| | Level of action overall costs | Levels = high, medium, or low | | med | low | 1ou | med | hah | yhy | |
| | Level of action Level of action benefits overall costs | Levels = | | Ber | Jones | Bou | 444 | med | med | The second second second second |
| | Can action be quickly implemented? | | | 1 | | ١ | 0 | 1 | \ | and the second of the second |
| | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | tral or N/A | | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | |
| | Can action be easily implemented? | + = benefit (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | | 1 | 1 | ١ | 0 | 1 | ١ | A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR |
| | ш | st (unfa | | † | O | 0 | + | + | 0 | |
| | ш | e), -= co | | (| 0 | 9 | + | 0 | 0 | |
| | J | favorabl | | + | + | + | + | | + | |
| | ۵ | benefit (| | + | + | + | + | T | + | |
| | A | + | | 1 | 1 | 1 | + | ١ | + | and the same of th |
| | - | | | + | + | 7 | + | + | + | |
| | S | | MA | + | 1 | + | + | + | + | YA |
| | Action | | Provide training on NY Alert system | Identify emergency shelter locations, establish if necessary - | Inventory emergency shelters and critical facilities that need generators - supply generated power | Determine supplies and volunteers needed at emergency shelters during hazard events | Alert community of locations of shelters and procedures during emergency events | Establish animal evacuation protocols and animal shelter locations for emergency events | Offer fire prevention education to community | Inventory County and municipal equipment availability |

| | | | | | | | | Can action be | Does action | Can action be | | | page 3 |
|--|----|---|---|------------|--------------------------|-------------|--------------|--|--------------|-------------------------|--|-------------------------------|-----------|
| Action | s | н | 4 | ۵. | _ | ш | ш | easily implemented? | ele s? | quickly implemented? | Level of action Level of action benefits overall costs | Level of action overall costs | Priority |
| | | | + | senefit (1 | + = benefit (favorable), | s), - = co: | st (unfav | - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | itral or N/A | | Levels = | Levels = high, medium, or low | rlow |
| Database of resources and locations of equipment | + | + | 0 | + | 7 | 0 | + | - 1 | + | (- | med | low | Jour Jour |
| Establish guidelines for interand intra-municipal use of equipment during emergencies | + | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | 1 | + | ١ | トゥん | ne | med |
| Review resources available for road closings | + | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | \(\) | 1 | + | 1 | 4914 | bu | 496 |
| Improve inter-municipal communications and awareness during hazard events | 7 | + | 0 | + | + | Ŏ. | + | 5 | + | - 1 | digh | low | 200 |
| Secure additional equipment needed to combat hazard events | + | + | 0 | + | 4 | 0 | 7 | 1 | + | ١ | med | med | med |
| Implement aid and liability agreements with local ATV clubs to assist during emergencies | \$ | | | | | | | | | | | | ^ |
| Implement aid and liability agreements with local HAM operators to assist during emergencies | MA | | | | | | | | | | | | A |
| Add additional emergency response trailers along I-81 corridor | NA | \ | | | | | | | | | | | 7 |
| | | | | Takes . | - | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | page 4 |
|--|-----|---|---|--------------------------|--|------------|-----------|---|---|--|--|--|---------------------|
| Action | S | - | ۷ | ۵ | ۔ | ш | ш | Can action be easily implemented? | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | Can action be quickly implemented? | Level of action Level of action benefits overall costs | Level of action overall costs | Priority ranking |
| • | | | + | + = benefit (favorable), | avorable | e), -= co: | st (unfav | | utral or N/A | | Levels = | Levels = high, medium, or low | r low |
| Review existing Village/Town/County codes, setbacks, and review processes | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 8 |
| Enforce zoning regulations in Town/Village of Mexico (Propane warehouse) | 2/8 | | | | | | | | | | The state of the s | | Δ |
| Implement response protocols to remove debris jams from waterways | + | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 1 | 464 | Due | Boa |
| Implement response protocols to remove ice jams from waterways | 1 | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 1 | 464 | One | med |
| Determine whether additional code enforcement officers are needed | 7 | + | 1 | + | + | 1 | + | 1 | + | \ | med | med | low |
| Establish preventative municipal tree removal programs | 7 | + | 0 | + | 1 | 2 | + | 1 | + | | med | low | low |
| Enhance current tree removal program executed by National Grid | 35 | | | | and the same of th | | | | | | | THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O | 4 |
| Enforce/promote smart development within communities | 0 | 0 | 9 | + | T | 0 | + | ١ | + | 1 | Del | Det. | Kie |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Tables | Comparison |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| STAPLEE Criteria Consideration Tables | n Prioritization and Comparison |
| LEE Criteria | Actio 1 |
| STAP | Mitigation |

| Jurisdiction: | | | | | | | | | | | | | page 5 |
|---|-----|---|------|------------|--|-----------|-----------|--|---|--|--|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Action | s | F | 4 | ۵ | - | ш | ш | Can action be easily implemented? | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | Can action be quickly implemented? | Level of action benefits | Level of action overall costs | Priority ranking |
| | | | 11 + | benefit (1 | avorable | a), -= co | st (unfav | + = benefit (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | utral or N/A | | Levels = | Levels = high, medium, or low | r low |
| Provide appropriate tree planting lists to residents - education programs | + | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | med | 900 | low |
| Maintain stormwater systems, including roadside drainages and culverts | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 4614 | med | 4,9,4 |
| Review current agreement for County to access/service private roads - enhance as needed | N | | | | | | | | | | Section of the sectio | | R |
| Inform private road residents of current access/service agreement within County | 1/2 | 4 | | | | | | | | | er illian manifest | 11 H | ^ |
| Establish a road watch program during hazard events | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 1,7% | low | high |
| Review security and hazard preparedness at water supply and sewer facilities within County | NA | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Enhance security measures and implement response plans at water supply and sewer facilities within County | P | | | | The state of the s | | | 1000000 | | | Contract to a service of the contract of the c | A | |
| Provide maintenance for historic lighthouse in Oswego - re-enforce break wall | MA | | | | | | | Activities the party of the par | | | | Production of the second | A |


| Jurisdiction: | | | | | | | | | | | | | page 6 |
|--|------|---|-------|-----------|--|---|----------|-----------------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Action | v | - | 4 | ۵ | _ | ш | ш | Can action be easily implemented? | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | Can action be quickly implemented? | Level of action benefits | Level of action overall costs | Priority ranking |
| | | | q = + | enefit (f | + = benefit (favorable), | " | st (unfa | 1 2 1 | itral or N/A | | Levels = | Levels = high, medium, o | or low |
| Determine feasibility/potential to extend existing public water supply and sewer services | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | ^ |
| Increase building setbacks along Lake Ontario to reduce erosion potential and impacts | E/A | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Examine and implement ways to reduce flooding issues on north shore of Oneida Lake | ~ | | | | | | | | | | | | A |
| Coordinate with NYS Flood Mitigation Group regarding flooding issue within Oswego County | NA | | | | | | | | | | | | 7 |
| Coordinate with US Army Corps regarding ways to reduce Oneida Lake flooding issues affected by Barge Canal system | V V | | | | Constitution of the Consti | | | | | a) "No. | | | |
| Provide for the flood-proofing of homes located within floodplains | + | + | ١ | + | + | 1 | + | 1 | 0 | ١ | Bow | 96.6 | ned |
| Establish programs and funds to purchase houses located within floodplains or with frequent flooding issues | | + |) | 1 | + | 1 | + | J | + | ١ | med | h: 9h | 1000 |
| Educate public on Hyper-reach capabilities and uses | 2 de | | | | | | | | | | | | A |

| Action | | | | | | | | | | | | | 200 |
|--|-----|---|-----|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| | S | - | 4 | ۵ | ب | ш | ш | Can action be easily implemented? | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | Can action be quickly implemented? | Level of action benefits | Level of action overall costs | Priority ranking |
| | | | q=+ | enefit (1 | + = benefit (favorable), | e), -= co | st (unfav | - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | utral or N/A | | Levels = | Levels = high, medium, or low | r low |
| Increase amount of automatic message boards along major routes within County | + | + | 1 | + | + | 1 | + | I | + | l | high | 46.4 | med |
| Continue work to increase availability of broadband throughout County | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | ^ |
| Continue to inventory aging transportation infrastructure within County | 4/8 | | | | | | | | | | | A | |
| Coordinate with NYS Thruway regarding ways to distribute hazard event information to Thruway travelers | Me | | | | | | | | | | | | A |
| Establish a monitoring program for railroad tracks | 118 | | | | | | | | | | | | \wedge |
| Coordinate with state and federal governments and track owners to rehabilitate or remove failing railroad infrastructure | N/A | | | | | | | | | | | A | 1 |
| Review response plan of communities located within Hancock flight paths - revise as needed | 44 | 1 | | | | | | | The second secon | | | | 0 |
| Continue EEE spraying program in County as needed - evaluate program effectiveness on annual basis | 1/4 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |

| ration Tables | Comparison |
|--------------------|------------------------------|
| onside | Prioritization and Compariso |
| STAPLEE Criteria (| Action |
| STAP | Mitigation |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | page 8 |
|--|----|---|-------|-----------------|------------|----------------|-----------|--|---|--|--|--|---------------------|
| Action | s | H | ٨ | ۵ | | В | ш | Can action be easily implemented? | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | Can action be quickly implemented? | Level of action benefits | Level of action overall costs | Priority ranking |
| | | | 4 = + | + = benefit (fa | avorable), |), - = cos | st (unfav | - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | utral or N/A | | Levels = | Levels = high, medium, or low | low |
| Improve cell phone reception and GPS function within County | MA | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 |
| Review emergency evacuation signage within I-81 corridor communities - establish such signage if needed | MA | | | | | | | | | | | | P |
| Review and update spill response plan protocol (land and water) and equipment availability and options | + | + |) | + | + | 1 | + | ١ | + | | 244 | hyp med | hy |
| Establish protocol for post-event assessments to determine extent of help required in community | + | 7 | \ | + | ナ | 1 | + | 1 | + | 1 | uhy | ned | med |
| Establish snow drift caution signage along NYS Route 104 - coordinate with NYS DOT | MA | | | | | | | | | | The state of the s | The second secon | 1 |
| Develop database of special needs and elderly individuals within County and a system to contact them in case of emergency - update database annually | MA | | | | | in the special | | | | | | | 1 |
| Conduct a comprehensive engineering assessment of the dam in Hannibal | MA | | | | | | | | | | extension description | And the second s | I. |
| Review SUNY Oswego response plan protocol regarding acts of terrorism, sporting events, and an epidemic event - update annually | Mo | | | | | | | | | | | | A |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | |
|--------|--|-------------------------------|--|------|---|--|--|
| page 9 | Priority ranking | rlow | 1 | | | | |
| | Level of action overall costs | Levels = high, medium, or low | | | | | |
| | Level of action Level of action benefits overall costs | Levels = | And An implicated by the first of the control of th | | | | |
| | Can action be quickly implemented? | | | | | | |
| | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | itral or N/A | | | | | |
| | Can action be easily implemented? | 121 | | | | | |
| | w | t (unfa | | | | | |
| | В | e), -= cos | | | | | |
| | ľ | avorable | | | | | |
| | ۵ | + = benefit (favor | | | | | |
| | A | 11 + | | | • | | |
| | H | | | | | | |
| | v | | NA | | | | |
| | Action | | Repair/replace retaining wall between private properties and Salmon River in Village of Pulaski | | | | |



| | | | | | | | | | S. Constitution of the con | | | === | |
|---|---------|-------------------|----|------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---|--|-------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| | | | | Mitig | STAPLI Mitigation / | EE Crit Action | eria Co Priorit | EE Criteria Consideration Tables Action Prioritization and Compa | EE Criteria Consideration Tables Action Prioritization and Comparison | | U APR 1 | 9 27.19 | ==1 |
| Jurisdiction: | Village | Village of Altmar | | | | | | | | | 0SWEGO COUNTY | | |
| | | | | | | | | Can action be | Does action | Can action be | Page Company | CINI ULTICE | page 1 |
| | s | - | A | ۵ | ٠ | ш | ш | easily implemented? | achieve multiple plan objectives? | quickly implemented? | Level of action benefits | Level of action overall costs | Priority ranking |
| | | | + | benefit (1 | favorabl | e), - = co | st (unfa | + = benefit (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | utral or N/A | | Levels = | Levels = high, medium, or low | r low |
| Promote sprinkler installation in | (| | L. | | | | | | | | | | 6 |
| nousing by revising building codes | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | 7 |
| Implement land use plans | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | ١ | + | 1 | × | ¥ | 7 |
| Implementrevise review board regulations and building/zoning codes | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | ١ | + | | K | Ę | 7 |
| Review/implement County regulations and insurance regulations to construct in floodplains | | 5 | 3 | 3 | , | 9 | 1 | 0 | 9 | ű | 9 | ä | |
| Increase public awareness and education programs | + | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | l | + |) | × | X | 7 |
| Offer zoning training programs at local/County level | Q | | | | 2 | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Educate public how to prepare for hazard events | + | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | 1 | + |) | ₹ | E | 7 |
| Provide training to emergency response personnel to handle hazard events | + | + | 0 | + | t | 0 | + | 1 | + | 1 | 1 | ₹ | 4 |

| | | | | Mitig | STAPL | EE Crit Action | eria Co | STAPLEE Criteria Consideration Tables ation Action Prioritization and Compa | STAPLEE Criteria Consideration Tables Mitigation Action Prioritization and Comparison | | | | |
|---|---------|-------------------|-----|--------------------------|----------|-------------------|-----------|---|---|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Jurisdiction: | Village | Village of Altmar | · · | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | page 2 |
| Action | s | L | 4 | ۵ | 1 | В | ш | Can action be easily implemented? | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | Can action be quickly implemented? | Level of action benefits | Level of action overall costs | Priority ranking |
| | | | 1=+ | + = benefit (favorable), | avorable | e), - = co | st (unfav | - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | | | Levels = | Levels = high, medium, or low | r low |
| Provide training on NY Alert system | | (i) | | E. C. | | | ¥ | · | şir | 8 | a | 91 | 3 |
| Identify emergency shelter locations, establish if necessary | + | + | I | + | + | ١ | + | \ | 0 | (| W | X | A |
| Inventory emergency shelters and critical facilities that need generators - supply generated power | + | + | 1 | + | + | 0 | 0 | \ | 0 | ١ | X | 7 | 7 |
| Determine supplies and volunteers needed at emergency shelters during hazard events | + | 1 | ١ | + | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ١ | ¥ | 7 | 7 |
| Alert community of locations of shelters and procedures during emergency events | 1 | 4.0 | 3 | | * . | • | i | | ī | | * | | |
| Establish animal evacuation protocols and animal shelter locations for emergency events | + | + |) | + | + | 0 | + | 1 | 0 | j | * | 7 | 7 |
| Offer fire prevention education to community | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | ₹ | I | € |
| Inventory County and municipal equipment availability | T. | | Ü | ě | r | í | 6 | i. | i | - | Ð | ę. | = <u>e</u> |

Jurisdiction:

| page 3 | Priority ranking | low | 7 | € | ¥ | H | Ś | ì. | ı | a |
|--------|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Level of action overall costs | Levels = high, medium, or low | 7 | < | 7 | 7 | ¥ | , | , | |
| | Level of action benefits | Levels = | W | H | Z | H | ₹ | i. | | , |
| | Can action be quickly implemented? | | 1 | |] |) | (| Ť | i | , |
| | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | tral or N/A | + | + | + | + | + | ï | ï | a. |
| | Can action be easily implemented? | - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | 1 | 1 | ١ |) | 1 | ĵ. | 8 | |
| | ш | st (unfav | + | + | + | + | + | r | | |
| | ш | e), - = co | Ø | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | Ÿ " | i |
| | L | favorabl | + | + | + | + | + | | ě | |
| | Ь | + = benefit (favorable), | + | + | + | + | + | , | | |
| | А | + | 0 | Ø | Ø | O | 0 | | 7 | 9 |
| | _ | | + | + | + | + | + | | | |
| | s . | | 4 | + | + | + | + | i | | |
| | Action | | Database of resources and locations of equipment | Establish guidelines for interand intra-municipal use of equipment during emergencies | Review resources available for road closings | Improve inter-municipal communications and awareness during hazard events | Secure additional equipment needed to combat hazard events | Implement aid and liability agreements with local ATV clubs to assist during emergencies | Implement aid and liability agreements with local HAM operators to assist during emergencies | Add additional emergency response trailers along I-81 corridor |

Jurisdiction: Vil

| page 4 | Level of action Level of action Priority benefits overall costs ranking | Levels = high, medium, or low | 9 | | T L | T 7 T | MM | N 2 L | | |
|--------|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Can action be quickly implemented? | | | ı |) | (| ١ | ١ | 5 | |
| | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | utral or N/A | | | + | + | + | + | = 34 | |
| | Can action be easily implemented? | + = benefit (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | | | 0 | 0 | | 1 | | |
| | ш | st (unfa | | E | + | + | + | + | | |
| | ш | le), - = co | | | 0 | O |) | 0 | 1 | |
| | _ | favorabl | | (i) | + | + | + | + | 10 | |
| | ۵ | benefit (| | Ē | + | + | + | + | 31 | |
| | Ą | + | | Ü | 0 | 0 |) | 0 | (4) | |
| | 1 | | l | • | + | + | + | + | ur. | |
| | s | | 0 | rs | + | + | + | + | rê G | |
| | Action | | Review existing Village/Town/County codes, setbacks, and review processes | Enforce zoning regulations in Town/Village of Mexico (Propane warehouse) | Implement response protocols to remove debris jams from waterways | Implement response protocols to remove ice jams from waterways | Determine whether additional code enforcement officers are needed | Establish preventative municipal tree removal programs | Enhance current tree removal program executed by National Grid | |

| Jurisdiction: | VIIIage | Village of Altmar | | | | | | | | | | | page 5 |
|---|---------|-------------------|-------|-----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------|--|---|--|--|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Action | S | - | 4 | ۵ | _ | ш | В | Can action be easily implemented? | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | Can action be quickly implemented? | Level of action Level of action benefits overall costs | Level of action overall costs | Priority ranking |
| | | | q = + | enefit (f | + = benefit (favorable), |), - = cos | st (unfav | - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | utral or N/A | | Levels = | Levels = high, medium, or low | r low |
| Provide appropriate tree planting lists to residents - education programs | + | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | Q | + | 0 | ¥ | ₹ | 7 |
| Maintain stormwater systems, including roadside drainages and culverts | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | I | \$ | Z |
| Review current agreement for County to access/service private roads - enhance as needed | | , | ï | 1 | | * | * - | | | ō | Ē. | ¥. | 100 |
| Inform private road residents of current access/service agreement within County | ı | 7 | ř | ï | 1 | £ | į. | | | • | , | 5 | 3 |
| Establish a road watch program during hazard events | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | # | 7 | 74 |
| Review security and hazard preparedness at water supply and sewer facilities within County | 0 | 1 | | | 10) | | | * | | | | | 0 |
| Enhance security measures and implement response plans at water supply and sewer facilities within County | 0 | J | | | | | | | | | | | 9 |
| Provide maintenance for historic lighthouse in Oswego - re- enforce break wall | T. | 3. | | | | | - 1 | , | | | = | ¥ | |

| Tables | Comparison |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| STAPLEE Criteria Consideration Tables | Mitigation Action Prioritization and Comparison |

Jurisdiction: Village of Altmar

ranking page 6 Priority \$ Levels = high, medium, or low Level of action Level of action overall costs benefits Can action be implemented? quickly achieve multiple implemented? | plan objectives? Does action + = benefit (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A Can action be easily ш ш ۵ A S Provide for the flood-proofing of homes located within floodplains Establish programs and funds to Increase building setbacks along Examine and implement ways to purchase houses located within Educate public on Hyper-reach Determine feasibility/potential to reduce flooding issues on north Lake Ontario to reduce erosion regarding ways to reduce Oneida Lake flooding issues affected by flooding issue within Oswego Coordinate with US Army Corps floodplains or with frequent extend existing public water Coordinate with NYS Flood supply and sewer services Mitigation Group regarding potential and impacts shore of Oneida Lake capabilities and uses Barge Canal system flooding issues County Action

Jurisdiction: Village of Altmar


| page 7 | Priority ranking | or low | 0 | 1.1 | ŕ | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | í |
|--------|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Level of action overall costs | Levels = high, medium, or low | | | Î | ŗ | | | | ¢. |
| | Level of action benefits | Levels = | | Œ | E. | Ü | | | | Ę |
| | Can action be quickly implemented? | | | | | | | | | i. |
| | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | utral or N/A | | r | | ř | | | | |
| | Can action be easily implemented? | - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | | i | e . | ï | | | | r |
| | ш | st (unfa | | 6 | ę. | ř | | | | ē |
| | 3 | e), - = co | | 1 | ı | ř | | | | r |
| | 1 | favorab | | Ĉ | î î | E | | | | E |
| | ۵ | + = benefit (favorable), | | G. | ī | ı | | | | Ē |
| | A | + | | t | ı | | | 7. | | t |
| | F | | - 1 |). | | 1 | 1 | / | \ | * |
| | s | | \emptyset | | 15 | τ | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Action | | Increase amount of automatic message boards along major routes within County | Continue work to increase availability of broadband throughout County | Continue to inventory aging transportation infrastructure within County | Coordinate with NYS Thruway regarding ways to distribute hazard event information to Thruway travelers | Establish a monitoring program for railroad tracks | Coordinate with state and federal governments and track owners to rehabilitate or remove failing railroad infrastructure | Review response plan of communities located within Hancock flight paths - revise as needed | Continue EEE spraying program in County as needed - evaluate program effectiveness on annual |

Jurisdiction:

| page 8 | Priority ranking | | i | 1.4 | I | 8 | | | | |
|--------|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|
| В | | or low | | | | | | | | |
| | Level of action overall costs | Levels = high, medium, or low | c | 1 | < | Ę | 5 | 7. | · 6 | 1 |
| | Level of action benefits | Levels = | Ĕ | | # | ユ | 2 | · | Ü | ï |
| | Can action be quickly implemented? | | ī. | ī |) | 1 | . 3 | | 5 | (14) |
| | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | tral or N/A | É | | + | + | a | | 6 | 8 |
| | Can action be easily implemented? | + = benefit (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | į. | 1 | 1 | (| 9 | Ç. | t., | X |
| | ш | st (unfa | Ē | i. | + | + | | ē. | | |
| | ш | e), - = co | 1 | <u>.</u> | 1 | 1 | 10 | 9 | | ý |
| | ت | favorable | r | 1 | + | + | (X) | е | r | |
| | ۵ | enefit (| , | | + | + | | ij | ĸ | |
| | A | += F | r | | 1 |) | r | r | r | ¥ |
| | H | | , | ï | + | + | • | Ÿ | ï | - 1 |
| | s | | | | + | + | Ü | Ē | | , |
| | Action | | Improve cell phone reception and GPS function within County | Review emergency evacuation signage within I-81 corridor communities - establish such signage if needed | Review and update spill response plan protocol (land and water) and equipment availability and options | Establish protocol for post-event assessments to determine extent of help required in community | Establish snow drift caution signage along NYS Route 104 - coordinate with NYS DOT | Develop database of special needs and elderly individuals within County and a system to contact them in case of emergency - update database annually | Conduct a comprehensive engineering assessment of the dam in Hannibal | Review SUNY Oswego response plan protocol regarding acts of terrorism, sporting events, and an epidemic event - update annually |

Jurisdiction:

| page 9 | Priority ranking | r low | | 9 | | | | |
|--------|---|---|----------|--|--|---|--|------|
| | Level of action overall costs | Levels = high, medium, or low | | 2.00 | | 3 | | |
| | Level of action benefits | Levels = | | 10 | | | | |
| | Can action be quickly implemented? | | | 4 | | | | ia i |
| 3 | Does action achieve multiple | itral or N/A | | į. | | | | |
| | Can action be easily implemented? | # = henefit (favorable) - = cost (unfavorable) 0 = neutral or N/A | - Common | ē _ | | | | |
| | ш | st (unfa | 2000 | | | | | |
| | ш | o) 10 | | i | | | | |
| | 1 | Favorabl | 25.0 | E | | | | |
| | а | nenefit (| | | | 7 | | |
| | Ą | + | | | | | | |
| | F | | | 1 | | | | |
| | s | | | i | | | | |
| | Action | | | Repair/replace retaining wall between private properties and Salmon River in Village of Pulaski | | | | |



| | | | | Mitig | TAPLE | E Crite | eria Col Prioriti | STAPLEE Criteria Consideration Tables sation Action Prioritization and Compa | STAPLEE Criteria Consideration Tables Mitigation Action Prioritization and Comparison | | | | |
|---|-----|---|----------|------------|---------|------------|----------------------|--|--|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | 1 | 8 | Pm! | 3 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 200 | - | | 1 | | | | | | | | | page 1 |
| Action | S | | ď | ۵ | | ш | ш | Can action be easily implemented? | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | Can action be quickly implemented? | Level of action benefits | Level of action overall costs | Priority ranking |
| | | | - Q + | enefit (fa | vorable |), - = cos | t (unfav | + = benefit (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | | | Levels = | Levels = high, medium, or low | low |
| Promote sprinkler installation in housing by revising building codes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1. | ٥ | 1 | 1 | 1 | Jour | high | 1000 |
| Implement land use plans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 4.95 | med | 2110 |
| Implement/revise review board regulations and building/zoning codes | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | C | hi96 | med | mil |
| Review/implement County regulations and insurance regulations to construct in floodplains | NA | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Increase public awareness and education programs | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | high | Prw. | 66.4 |
| Offer zoning training programs at local/County level | + | + | + | 7 | + | + | + | ١ | 0 | ١ | med | med | med |
| Educate public how to prepare for hazard events | + | + | 1 | + | 0 | 1 | 0 | + | + | Q | 200 | mel | 146 |
| Provide training to emergency response personnel to handle hazard events | + | + | 1 | + | 0 | 1. | 0 | + | + | + | 444 | med | 400 |

| STAPLEE Criteria Consideration Tables | Mitigation Action Prioritization and Comparison |
|---------------------------------------|---|

| Jurisdiction: | OWO | 8 | Ambox | 200 | | | | | | | | | page 1 |
|---|-----|---|-------|-----------------|----------|------------|----------|---|---|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Action | S | 1 | A | _ | 1 | ш | ш | Can action be easily implemented? | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | Can action be quickly implemented? | Level of action benefits | Level of action overall costs | Priority ranking |
| | | | + | + = benefit (fa | avorable |), - = cos | t (unfav | (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | utral or N/A | | Levels = | Levels = high, medium, or low | r low |
| Promote sprinkler installation in housing by revising building codes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ١ | 1 | ١ | Jour | high | 30 |
| Implement land use plans | С | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | Ó | + | 0 | 49.4 | med | 200 |
| Implement/revise review board regulations and building/zoning codes | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | 0 | 6196 | med | 35 |
| Review/implement County regulations and insurance regulations to construct in floodplains | ME | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Increase public awareness and education programs | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | + | 0 | 4.96 | Bru | 6619 |
| Offer zoning training programs at local/County level | + | + | + | T | + | + | + | 1 | 0 | ١ | ned | mag | med |
| Educate public how to prepare for hazard events | + | + | \ | + | 9 | 1 | 0 | + | + | Q | Spir. | mel | 1000 |
| Provide training to emergency response personnel to handle hazard events | + | 1 | (| + | 0 | i | 0 | + | 4 | + | 446 | med | 19.0 |

| Does action Can action dieve multiple Level of action dievel of action Level of action dievel dievel of action dievel dievel of action dievel diev | | | t o med med | + - med med med | t med med med | | + - 10w 10w 10w | + high med high | G |
|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| ction Level ts overa | vels = high, r | | | | | | | 6 | The state of the s |
| Level of a | Le | | Poles | 8 | ž | | 100 | 4 | |
| Can action be quickly implemented? | | | 0 | 1 | 1 | | ı | + | |
| Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | utral or N/A | | + | + | + | | + | + | |
| Can action be easily implemented? | + = benefit (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | | 0 | 1 | ١ | | 1 | + | |
| ш | st (unfa | | + | 0 | 0 | | 0 | + | |
| ш | e), -= cc | | ١ | 1 | 0 | | ١ | + | |
| | favorab | | T | 1 | a | | V | + | |
| ۵ | benefit (| | + | + | + | | 1 | + | |
| A | + | | 1 | 0 | 0 | | l | + | |
| F | | | + | + | + | | ,t | + | |
| S | | 2 | + | + | + | 2 | + | + | - |
| Action | | Provide training on NY Alert system | Identify emergency shelter locations, establish if necessary - | Inventory emergency shelters and critical facilities that need generators - supply generated power | Determine supplies and volunteers needed at emergency shelters during hazard events | Alert community of locations of shelters and procedures during emergency events | Establish animal evacuation protocols and animal shelter locations for emergency events | Offer fire prevention education to community | |

| STAPLEE Criteria Consideration Tables | Mitigation Action Prioritization and Comparison |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| | |

| Tall Sales Color | | + | 0 10 | | | | | | | | | | page 3 |
|---|-----|---|------|--------------------------|----------|------------|----------|--|---|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Action | s | F | A | ۵ | | ш | ш | Can action be easily implemented? | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | Can action be quickly implemented? | Level of action benefits | Level of action overall costs | Priority ranking |
| | | | 4 + | + = benefit (favorable), | avorable |), - = cos | st (unfa | - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | 11 | | Levels = | Levels = high, medium, or low | ır low |
| Database of resources and locations of equipment | + | + | 1 | 1 | + | 1 | ı | ١ | + | 9 | pow | med | 30 |
| Establish guidelines for inter- and intra-municipal use of equipment during emergencies | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | hyh | low | 5.90 |
| Review resources available for road closings | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 4.14 | Pall | med |
| Improve inter-municipal communications and awareness during hazard events | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | high | Bed | 764 |
| Secure additional equipment needed to combat hazard events | 7 | + | 1 | 1 | + | 1 | 1 | 1 | + | I | J. 3 | med | De of |
| Implement aid and liability agreements with local ATV clubs to assist during emergencies | N | | | | | | | | | | 25 | | |
| Implement aid and liability agreements with local HAM operators to assist during emergencies | 6/6 | | | | | | | | | | V. | | |
| Add additional emergency response trailers along I-81 corridor | MA | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | page 4 |
|--|------|---|---|-------------------|-------------|------------|-----------|--|---|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Action | v | - | ٨ | ۵ | ت | ш | ш | Can action be easily implemented? | Can action be Does action easily achieve multiple implemented? plan objectives? | Can action be quickly implemented? | Level of action benefits | Level of action overall costs | Priority ranking |
| | | | + | + = benefit (favo | favorable), | e), -= co: | st (unfav | - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | | | - revels | Levels = high, medium, or low | r low |
| Review existing Village/Town/County codes, setbacks, and review processes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | O | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | med | nes | 100 |
| Enforce zoning regulations in Town/Village of Mexico (Propane warehouse) | 17 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | 4 |
| Implement response protocols to remove debris jams from waterways | + | + | | + | + | 1 | 7 | 1 | + | 1 | med | med | med |
| Implement response protocols to remove ice jams from waterways | MA | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Determine whether additional code enforcement officers are needed | + | + | - | + | + | 1 | + | 9 | + | 0 | me | Del | ned |
| Establish preventative municipal tree removal programs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | low | low | low |
| Enhance current tree removal program executed by National Grid | 1/10 | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Enforce/promote smart development within communities | + | + | 0 | + | 7 | 1 | + | 0 | + | 0 | nel | mel | med |

| Tables | Comparison |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| STAPLEE Criteria Consideration Tables | Mitigation Action Prioritization and Comparison |

OFF

ranking Priority page 5 Levels = high, medium, or low Level of action | Level of action overall costs benefits plan objectives? | implemented? Can action be quickly achieve multiple Does action + = benefit (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A implemented? Can action be easily ш ш 0 6 0 0 V 0 S Provide maintenance for historic County to access/service private water supply and sewer facilities Enhance security measures and Provide appropriate tree planting Inform private road residents of Establish a road watch program preparedness at water supply implement response plans at Review current agreement for including roadside drainages Maintain stormwater systems, Review security and hazard lists to residents - education roads - enhance as needed lighthouse in Oswego - reand sewer facilities within agreement within County current access/service during hazard events enforce break wall within County and culverts programs County Action

| STAPLEE Criteria Consideration Tables | Mitigation Action Prioritization and Comparison | Sour Line |
|---------------------------------------|---|-----------|
| | | d |

| Jurisdiction: | 1000 | | | The same of the sa | 2 | | | | | | | | page 6 |
|--|------|---|---|--|----------|-------------|-----------|---|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Action | S | - | ٨ | ۵ | | ш | ш | Can action be easily implemented? | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | Can action be quickly implemented? | Level of action benefits | Level of action overall costs | Priority ranking |
| | | | + | benefit (1 | avorable | s), - = co: | st (unfav | + = benefit (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | utral or N/A | | Levels = | Levels = high, medium, or low | r low |
| Determine feasibility/potential to extend existing public water supply and sewer services | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Ø | Jou | low | 100 |
| Increase building setbacks along Lake Ontario to reduce erosion potential and impacts | M | + | | | | | | | | | | | A |
| Examine and implement ways to reduce flooding issues on north shore of Oneida Lake | MA | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Coordinate with NYS Flood Mitigation Group regarding flooding issue within Oswego County | MA | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Coordinate with US Army Corps regarding ways to reduce Oneida Lake flooding issues affected by Barge Canal system | MA | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Provide for the flood-proofing of homes located within floodplains | Q | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | \ | low | Jour | S. B. |
| Establish programs and funds to purchase houses located within floodplains or with frequent flooding issues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | i | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 120 | 200 | 1000 |
| Educate public on Hyper-reach capabilities and uses | MA | | | | | | | | | | | | \wedge |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Tables | Comparison |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| STAPLEE Criteria Consideration Table | Mitigation Action Prioritization and |
| | |

| page 7 | Priority ranking | r low | Δ | A | Į. | 1 | \wedge | A | nel | Î |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| | Level of action overall costs | Levels = high, medium, or low | | | | | | | eres | |
| | Level of action benefits | Levels = | | | | | | | me | |
| | Can action be quickly implemented? | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | itral or N/A | | | | | | | + | |
| | Can action be easily implemented? | -= cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | | | | | | | + | |
| | ш | st (unfa | | | | | | | + | |
| | ш | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| | L | favorable), | | | | | | | + | |
| | ۵ | + = benefit (| | | | | | | + | |
| | ٨ | + | | | | | | | l | |
| | - | | | 1 | | | | | + | |
| - | s | | MA | Mp | 8 | NA | MA | MA | + | MA |
| Commence of the Commence of th | Action | | Increase amount of automatic message boards along major routes within County | Continue work to increase availability of broadband throughout County | Continue to inventory aging transportation infrastructure within County | Coordinate with NYS Thruway regarding ways to distribute hazard event information to Thruway travelers | Establish a monitoring program for railroad tracks | Coordinate with state and federal governments and track owners to rehabilitate or remove failing railroad infrastructure | Review response plan of communities located within Hancock flight paths - revise as needed | Continue EEE spraying program in County as needed - evaluate program effectiveness on annual basis |

| Tables | ization and Comparison |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| STAPLEE Criteria Consideration Tables | Prioritization and |
| NATEE Criter | Action |
| STA | Mitigation |

000

Priority page 8 Levels = high, medium, or low Level of action overall costs Level of action benefits implemented? Can action be quickly implemented? plan objectives? achieve multiple Does action + = benefit (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A Can action be ш 0 ш Q 0 6 A S response plan protocol (land and water) and equipment availability and elderly individuals within County and GPS function within County Establish protocol for post-event signage along NYS Route 104 -Develop database of special needs engineering assessment of the Review emergency evacuation and a system to contact them in communities - establish such Improve cell phone reception Establish snow drift caution signage within I-81 corridor Conduct a comprehensive assessments to determine coordinate with NYS DOT case of emergency - update extent of help required in Review and update spill signage if needed dam in Hannibal database annually and options community Action


terrorism, sporting events, and an

epidemic event - update annually plan protocol regarding acts of

Review SUNY Oswego response

| | _ |
|--------------------|------------------------------|
| Tables | Comparisor |
| ia Consideration T | rioritization and Comparison |
| STAPLEE Criteria | on Action Prio |
| STA | Mitigatio |

| page 9 | Priority ranking | low | 1 | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------|---|----|--|--|---|--|
| | Level of action overall costs | Levels = high, medium, or low | | v | | | | |
| | Level of action benefits | Levels = | | | | | | |
| | Can action be quickly implemented? | | | _ | | | | |
| | Does action achieve multiple | tral or N/A | | | | | - | |
| | Can action be easily | | | | | | | |
| | ш | st (unfav | | | | | | |
| | ш | e), -= co: | | | | | | |
| SAR S | | favorable), | | | | | | |
| The state of the s | ۵ | + = benefit (| | | | | | |
| 2 | 4 | # | | | | | | |
| OWO | - | | 1 | | | | | |
| 10 | S | | dla | | | | | |
| Jurisdiction: | Action | | Repair/replace retaining wall between private properties and Salmon River in Village of Pulaski | E. | | | | |



| Mitigation Action Prioritization and Comparison | STAPLEE Criteria Consideration Tables |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| | Action Prioritization and Com |
| | |

| Jurisdiction: | Town of | Town of Boylston | u | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------|------------------|---|-----------|----------|------------|-----------|---|--|--|-----------------------------|--|---------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | page 1 |
| Action | s | - | A | ۵ | 1 | ш | В | Can action be easily implemented? | Can action be Does action Can action be easily achieve multiple quickly implemented? | Can action be quickly implemented? | Level of action benefits | Level of action benefits overall costs | Priority ranking |
| | | | + | benefit (| favorabl | e), - = co | ost (unfa | + = benefit (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | utral or N/A | | Levels = | Levels = high, medium, or low | rlow |
| di noitallatani valdaivaa atamaa | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | page 1 |
|--|---|---|---|-----------|-----------|------------|----------|---|--|--|--|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Action | S | - | ۷ | ۵ | _ | ш | ш | Can action be easily implemented? | Can action be Does action Can action be easily achieve multiple quickly implemented? plan objectives? implemented? | Can action be quickly implemented? | Level of action Level of action benefits overall costs | Level of action overall costs | Priority ranking |
| | | | + | benefit (| favorable | s), - = co | st (unfa | + = benefit (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | utral or N/A | | Levels = | Levels = high, medium, or low | r low |
| Promote sprinkler installation in housing by revising building codes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |) | 1 | hou | 1000 | low |
| Implement land use plans | 1 | 0 | 1 | \ | + | 1 | + | - | - | 1 | Myb. | ned | Low |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | page 1 |
|--|-----|---|---|-----------|----------|------------|----------|---|--|---|-----------------------------|--|---------------------|
| Action | s | - | ٨ | ۵ | - | В | ш | Can action be easily implemented? | Can action be Does action Can action be easily achieve multiple quickly implemented? plan objectives? implemented? | | Level of action benefits | Level of action Level of action benefits overall costs | Priority ranking |
| | | | + | benefit (| avorable | e), - = co | st (unfa | + = benefit (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | utral or N/A | | Levels = | Levels = high, medium, or low | r low |
| Promote sprinkler installation in housing by revising building codes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \ |) | 1 | low | 1000 | low |
| Implement land use plans | } | 0 | 1 | \ | 十 | 1 | + | | - | 1 | My6. | ned | Low |
| Implement/revise review board regulations and building/zoning codes | - 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | + | 1 | + | | 1 | ١ | hys | med | 10 se |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | impiementeur | Implemented: pian objectives: Implemented: | Implemented | | | The same of the sa |
|---|---|---|-------|-----------|----------|------------|-----------|---|--|-------------|----------|-------------------------------|--|
| | | | 4 = 4 | enefit (1 | avorable | e), - = co | st (unfav | + = benefit (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | utral or N/A | | Levels = | Levels = high, medium, or low | r low |
| Promote sprinkler installation in housing by revising building codes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 1 | | low | 1000 | low |
| Implement land use plans | } | 0 | l | \ | 十 | 1 | + | | - | 1 | My6. | ned | Low |
| Implement/revise review board regulations and building/zoning codes | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | + | 1 | + | | 1 | ١ | hys | med | 10 se |
| Review/implement County regulations and insurance regulations to construct in floodplains | , | | | | | | | | | 3 | 3 | 4 | |
| Increase public awareness and education programs | + | + | + | + | 1 | İ | 0 | | j | ١ | 10m | 1,90 10w | 10w |
| Offer zoning training programs at local/County level | + | + | \ | 1 | 1 | 1 | + | + | + | + | hijo | med | ne |
| Educate public how to prepare for hazard events | + | + | 1 | + | 1 | 1 | + | 1 | ¥ | + | med | med | ned |

Provide training to emergency response personnel to handle hazard events

| Tables | Comparison |
|------------------|----------------------|
| ia Consideration | ioritization and |
| STAPLEE Criteri | Mitigation Action Pr |

| Jurisdiction: | Town of Boylston | Boylsto | _ | | | | | | | | | | page 2 |
|---|------------------|---------|----------|--------------------------|------------------|-----|-----------|--|---|--|------------------------------|--|---------------------|
| Action | s | - 1 | ٨ | ۵ | _ | ш | ш | Can action be easily implemented? | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | Can action be quickly implemented? | Level of action benefits | Level of action overall costs | Priority ranking |
| | | | + q=+ | + = benefit (favorable), | avorable | | st (unfav | - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | | | Levels = | Levels = high, medium, or low | low |
| Provide training on NY Alert system | 1 | | | | | - | | | Personal designation of the second | · Committee of the comm | and many martin, we have now | and the second s | |
| Identify emergency shelter locations, establish if necessary | + | + | + | + | + | 900 | 0 | + | + | + | high | ned | 47 |
| Inventory emergency shelters and critical facilities that need generators - supply generated power | + | + | + | + | + | 1 | 0 | 1 | + | + | hys | high | ose o |
| Determine supplies and volunteers needed at emergency shelters during hazard events | + | + | 5 | ١ | Name of the last | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | med | med | me |
| Alert community of locations of shelters and procedures during emergency events | 2. . | | | | ŀ | | • | | | | | 9 | |
| Establish animal evacuation protocols and animal shelter locations for emergency events | l | \ | 1 | 1 | (| 1 | ţ | 1 | | 1 | low | Lish | Jow |
| Offer fire prevention education to community | + | + | + | . + | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | Ligh | hij | hyen |
| Inventory County and municipal equipment availability | | | , | | 7 | | | • | | | | r | |

Jurisdiction: Town of Boylston

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | page 3 |
|---|---|---|-------|------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|--|---|--|--|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Action | s | - | 4 | ۵ | ר | Ш | В | Can action be easily implemented? | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | Can action be quickly implemented? | Level of action Level of action benefits overall costs | Level of action overall costs | Priority ranking |
| | | | 4 = t | venefit (1 | + = benefit (favorable) | - | st (unfav | - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | 1 | | Levels = | Levels = high, medium, or low | rlow |
| Database of resources and locations of equipment | + | 1 | (| 1 | ï | 1 | 1 | - (| 4 | 1 | low | 444 | 100 |
| Establish guidelines for inter- and intra-municipal use of equipment during emergencies | + | + | +. | + | + | + | + | + | + | t | high | med | 191 |
| Review resources available for road closings | 4 | + | + | + | + | . + | + | 0 | + | + | 4614 | med | 434 |
| Improve inter-municipal communications and awareness during hazard events | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | high | high | hy |
| Secure additional equipment needed to combat hazard events | J | Ţ | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | .1 | low | lm | low |
| Implement aid and liability agreements with local ATV clubs to assist during emergencies | ļ | | | | | | | | | | | , | |
| Implement aid and liability agreements with local HAM operators to assist during emergencies | 1 | | | 1 | | and the second second | | | | t | | | - |
| Add additional emergency response trailers along I-81 corridor | | | | | | • | | | | | | | |

Jurisdiction:

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | page 4 |
|--|-----|---|-----|-------------------------|----------|----|----------|--|---|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Action | s | _ | ٩ | а | 7 | ш | ш | Can action be easily implemented? | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | Can action be quickly implemented? | Level of action benefits | Level of action overall costs | Priority ranking |
| | | | +=+ | + = benefit (favorable) | avorable | '. | st (unfa | = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | utral or N/A | | Levels = | Levels = high, medium, or low | r low |
| Review existing Village/Town/County codes, setbacks, and review processes | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | † | + | mid | med | med |
| Enforce zoning regulations in Town/Village of Mexico (Propane warehouse) | | | | | - | | | | 6 | | · | | |
| Implement response protocols to remove debris jams from waterways | . \ | | | | | | | | • | | | 5 | 1 |
| Implement response protocols to remove ice jams from waterwäys | | 1 | | • | 1. | | | | , | and the same of th | | | |
| Determine whether additional code enforcement officers are needed | l. | \ | 1 | l. | l | l | 1 | - | ١ | 1 |)out | low. | lose |
| Establish preventative municipal tree removal programs | ١ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | Jour | Sou | low |
| Enhance current tree removal program executed by National Grid | .) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Enforce/promote smart development within communities | 0 | 0 | 0 | ٥ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | med | med | pm |

| STAPLEE Criteria Consideration Tables | Mitigation Action Prioritization and Comparison |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| | |

Jurisdiction:

| Jurisdiction: | Town o | Town of Boylston | _ | | | | | | | | | | page 5 |
|---|--------|------------------|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|---|---|--|--|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Action | v | F | A | ۵ | ı | ш | ш | Can action be easily implemented? | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | Can action be quickly implemented? | Level of action Level of action benefits overall costs | Level of action overall costs | Priority ranking |
| | | | + | benefit (| favorable | e), -= co | st (unfa | + = benefit (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | utral or N/A | | Levels = | Levels = high, medium, or low | rlow |
| Provide appropriate tree planting lists to residents - education programs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | I | low | Jose | low |
| Maintain stormwater systems, including roadside drainages and culverts | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 7 | + | 1 | 140 | hyo hyh | hyp |
| Review current agreement for County to access/service private roads - enhance as needed | .] | - | • | | | | i | | | ć. | | | |
| Inform private road residents of current access/service agreement within County |) | | | , | | * | | | | Section 1 | | | |
| Establish a road watch program during hazard events | + | ٥ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | } | 1 | + | Jour | low | low |
| Review security and hazard preparedness at water supply and sewer facilities within County | Me | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Enhance security measures and implement response plans at water supply and sewer facilities within County | 10/10 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Provide maintenance for historic lighthouse in Oswego - reenforce break wall | ٧. | 1 | 1 | | | | and the same of th | | | | The state of the s | | |

Jurisdiction:

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | page 6 |
|--|----|------------|---|--------------------------|----------|----------------|----------|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| Action | s | 1 | ۷ | ۵ | ı | ш | ш | Can action be easily implemented? | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | Can action be quickly implemented? | Level of action benefits | Level of action overall costs | Priority ranking |
| | | | + | + = benefit (favorable), | (favorab | le), -= cc | st (unfa | - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | utral or N/A | | Levels = | Levels = high, medium, or low | rlow |
| Determine feasibility/potential to extend existing public water supply and sewer services | Sh | | | | | | V | | | | | | |
| Increase building setbacks along Lake Ontario to reduce erosion potential and impacts |) | i | | | | - constitution | | | | | | | |
| Examine and implement ways to reduce flooding issues on north shore of Oneida Lake | }. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Coordinate with NYS Flood Mitigation Group regarding flooding issue within Oswego County | | | | r | | | | | | The responsibility of the factor and | The state of the s | | · |
| Coordinate with US Army Corps regarding ways to reduce Oneida Lake flooding issues affected by Barge Canal system | | | | | | | | | | | A Party John States | | 1 |
| Provide for the flood-proofing of homes located within floodplains | ١ | 1 | ١ | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | Jon | low | low |
| Establish programs and funds to purchase houses located within floodplains or with frequent flooding issues | Ī | " <u>k</u> | 1 | 1 | 1 | l l | à | 1 | 1, | | Ion | low | low |
| Educate public on Hyper-reach capabilities and uses | | | | | | | | | 3. | | | - 1000 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Jurisdiction:

| | O I MO | I OWII OI BOYISTOII | = | | | | | | | | | | page 7 |
|--|--------|---------------------|---|---------|----------------------------|----|----------|---|---|--|--|--|---------------------|
| Action | s | - | 4 | ۵ | - | ш | В | Can action be easily implemented? | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | Can action be quickly implemented? | Level of action benefits | Level of action overall costs | Priority ranking |
| | | | + | benefit | + = benefit (favorable), - | 11 | st (unfa | cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | utral or N/A | | Levels = | Levels = high, medium, or low | ır low |
| Increase amount of automatic message boards along major routes within County | M | 4 | | | | | | | | Annual William Profession Selecteristics | and the second s | Committee of the State of the S | William III |
| Continue work to increase availability of broadband throughout County | • | | | | | | | • | | | 1 | | |
| Continue to inventory aging transportation infrastructure within County | | • | | | | | | | | | | | ./ |
| Coordinate with NYS Thruway regarding ways to distribute hazard event information to Thruway travelers | .] | | | E. | | • | | Parties of the second | | | Mary Comments of the Comments | | |
| Establish a monitoring program for railroad tracks | MA | | | | | | | | Principles of the second | | | Will be the state of the state | |
| Coordinate with state and federal governments and track owners to rehabilitate or remove failing railroad infrastructure | Z | | | | | | | | | | And the comment of th | | |
| Review response plan of communities located within Hancock flight paths - revise as needed | WA | | | | | | | | | | No. of the control of | | |
| Continue EEE spraying program in County as needed - evaluate program effectiveness on annual basis | | | | } | ı | | | | | • | | | ļ |

Jurisdiction:

| Priority ranking | ır Iow | , | , | | pre | | . / | . / | |
|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| Level of action overall costs | Levels = high, medium, or low | | | | low | | · On the second | The state of the s | |
| Level of action benefits | Levels = | | | | Joe | | | Herriconnected for southern chinal since | |
| Can action be quickly implemented? | | | • | | | | · Completed | Committee for the factor of th | |
| Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | itral or N/A | | | | | | | | |
| Can action be easily implemented? | cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | | | | | | | • | |
| ш | st (unfa | - | | | 1 | | | | |
| ш | 11 | | | | 1 | Ċ | | 1 | |
| - | avorabl | | | | . 1 | | 1 | 1. | |
| ۵ | + = benefit (favorable), | | | | | | - | | |
| ٩ | += 1 | | | | 1 | | | | |
| ۰ | | | - | 4 | 1 | | | | |
| s | | ,) | 1 | N | 1 | ,) | 1, | a de la companya de l | |
| Action | | Improve cell phone reception and GPS function within County | Review emergency evacuation signage within I-81 corridor communities - establish such signage if needed | Review and update spill response plan protocol (land and water) and equipment availability and options | Establish protocol for post-event assessments to determine extent of help required in community | Establish snow drift caution signage along NYS Route 104 - coordinate with NYS DOT | Develop database of special needs and elderly individuals within County and a system to contact them in case of emergency - update database annually | Conduct a comprehensive engineering assessment of the dam in Hannibal | |

| STAPLEE Criteria Consideration Tables | Mitigation Action Prioritization and Comparison |
|---------------------------------------|---|


Jurisdiction:

| nedium, or low | redium, or low | redium, or low | redium, or low | redium, or low | redium, or low | redium, or low | redium, or low |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| Levels = high, medium, or low | Levels = high, me | Levels = high, me | Levels = high, me | Levels = high, me | Levels = high, me | Levels = high, me | Levels = high, me |
| | | | | | | | |
| eutral or N/A | utral or N/A | utral or N/A | utral or N/A | utral or N/A | utral or N/A | utral or N/A | utral or N/A |
| + = benefit (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | avorable), 0 = neu | avorable), 0 = neu | avorable), 0 = neu | avorable), 0 = neu | avorable), 0 = neu | avorable), 0 = neu | avorable), 0 = neu |
| ost (unia | ost (unia | Pin) so | Pin No | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | Pin 180 | The second secon | The state of the s |
| 100 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Repair/replace retaining wal between private properties ar Salmon River in Village of Pulaski | Repair/replace retaining wal between private properties ar Salmon River in Village of Pulaski | Repair/replace retaining wal between private properties ar Salmon River in Village of Pulaski | Repair/replace retaining wal between private properties ar Salmon River in Village of Pulaski | Repair/replace retaining wal between private properties ar Salmon River in Village of Pulaski | Repair/replace retaining wal between private properties ar Salmon River in Village of Pulaski | Repair/replace retaining wal between private properties ar Salmon River in Village of Pulaski |
| | pu | | | | | | |

National Flood Insurance Program - STAPLEE Criteria Consideration Tables Flood Mitigation Actions

Jurisdiction:

| page 1 | Priority ranking | r low | low | low | - | low | low | | |
|--------|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| | Level of action overall costs | Levels = high, medium, or low | low | low | | low | low | | |
| | Level of action Level of action benefits overall costs | Levels = | 10w | low. | |) our | low | | |
| | Can action be quickly implemented? | | lament . | superceptures. | | 1 | - | | |
| | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | tral or N/A | | / | | | CONTRACT | | |
| | Can action be easily implemented? | + = benefit (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | *************************************** | 1 | | 1 | - | | |
| | Е | st (unfa | | 1 | | | | | |
| | ш | e), - = co | | ı | | | | | |
| | _ | favorabl | 1 | 1 | | i | 19 | | |
| | ۵ | benefit (| + | 1 | | + | ١ | | |
| | Ą | + | + | l l | | + | 1 | | |
| | 1 | | + | ı | | + | 1 | | |
| | S | | + | ı | | + | j | | |
| | Action | | Update/revise floodplain management ordinances to comply with FEMA regulations | Designate/install a Floodplain Management Administrator | Install/train staff members to adequately enforce NFIP regulations and floodplain ordinances | Update/revise floodplain ordinances to comply with current and future FEMA FIRMs | Require staff involved with the management of floodplains and enforce of ordinances to become Certified Floodplain Managers | Join the Community Rating System (CRS) | |



| les | mparison |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| Consideration Tables | n and Col |
| Criteria Consi | n Action Prioritizatio |
| STAPLEE Cr | litigation Actio |
| | Ξ |

Jurisdiction:

| | Village | Village of Central Square | 2 dags | | | | | | | | | | page 1 |
|---|---------|---------------------------|--------|----------------------|-----|----------|----------|--|---|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Action | S | F | 4 | ۵. | | ш | ш | Can action be easily implemented? | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | Can action be quickly implemented? | Level of action benefits | Level of action overall costs | Priority ranking |
| | | | += k | + = benefit (favorab | | 0) = - (| st (unfa | e), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | utral or N/A | | Levels = | Levels = high, medium, or low | r low |
| Promote sprinkler installation in housing by revising building codes | Φ | ಎ | 0 | + | + | ł | 0 | + | + | ١ | # | Ì | 7 |
| Implement land use plans | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 4 | Ħ |
| Implement/revise review board regulations and building/zoning codes | - | + | + | + | , + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 4 | H |
| Review/implement County regulations and insurance regulations to construct in floodplains | , | • | , | , | 1 | • | , | | , | , | • | , | ı |
| Increase public awareness and education programs | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | * | | # |
| Offer zoning training programs at local/County level | 0 | 0 | 9 | Q | 0 | Q | 0 | 0 | 0 | Ø | # | 4 | # |
| Educate public how to prepare for hazard events | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | # | 1 | # * |
| Provide training to emergency response personnel to handle hazard events | Ď | Q | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Q | Q | 0 | # | 7 | # |

Jurisdiction:

| • | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | page 2 |
|---|---|---|----------|----------------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--|---|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Action | s | ⊢ | 4 | a. | | ш | ш | Can action be easily implemented? | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | Can action be quickly implemented? | Level of action benefits | Level of action overall costs | Priority ranking |
| | | | 1 = t | + = benefit (favoral | avorable | ble), - = cos | st (unfav | = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | | | Levels = | Levels = high, medium, or low | ır low |
| Provide training on NY Alert system | , | • | . | 1 | • | • 2 | • | , | | | a • | | • |
| Identify emergency shelter locations, establish if necessary | + | + | + | 4 | + | + | + | + | + | * | # | 1 | 1 |
| Inventory emergency shelters and critical facilities that need generators - supply generated power | + | - | Ĭ | + | + | 1 offer | + | 1 | + | 1 | # | + | H |
| Determine supplies and volunteers needed at emergency shelters during hazard events | + | + | + | 4 | + | + | + | + | + | + | H | 1 | # |
| Alert community of locations of shelters and procedures during emergency events | , | , | 1 | , | ı | ı | , | | • | • | , | ı | 1 |
| Establish animal evacuation protocols and animal shelter locations for emergency events | + | 1 | J | + | + | 1 | + | ١ | Q | . 1 | M | W | \mathcal{M} |
| Offer fire prevention education to community | + | + | + | + | + | 1 | 7 | + | + | + | 7 | | # |
| Inventory County and municipal equipment availability | ı | • | , | • | , | , | • | 1 | , | • | • | • | • |

Jurisdiction:

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | page 3 |
|---|---|---|-------|---------------------|------------|-----|-----------|---|---|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Action | s | ⊢ | 4 | G. | | ш | ш | Can action be easily implemented? | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | Can action be quickly implemented? | Level of action benefits | Level of action overall costs | Priority ranking |
| | | | + = b | + = benefit (favora | avorable), | H | st (unfav | cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | | | Levels = | Levels = high, medium, or low | r low |
| Database of resources and locations of equipment | + | + | + | + | + | - + | + | + | + | + | + | 7 th | # |
| Establish guidelines for inter- and intra-municipal use of equipment during emergencies | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | <i>H</i> | 7 | # |
| Review resources available for road closings | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | # | M | H |
| Improve inter-municipal communications and awareness during hazard events | + | 1 | + | + | + | 7 | + | + | + | + | # | 7 | # |
| Secure additional equipment needed to combat hazard events | + | 1 | + | + | + | + | + | 7 | + | + | # | 7 | # |
| Implement aid and liability agreements with local ATV clubs to assist during emergencies | | , | ı | ı | ı | , | | • | , | , | ı | • « | - |
| Implement aid and liability agreements with local HAM operators to assist during emergencies | | • | £) | 1 | ı | , | ı | • | | | • | | • |
| Add additional emergency response trailers along I-81 corridor | • | 1 | • | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | , | | • | • | |

| E Criteria Consider Action Prioritizatior | Tables | and Comparison |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | STAPLEE Criteria Consideration | Mitigation Action Prioritization and |

Jurisdiction:

| | | | - | | ļ | | | | | | | | page 4 |
|---|----|---|------|--------------------------|----------|---|-----------|---|---|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Action | v | ⊢ | ⋖ | <u>a</u> . | | ш | ш | Can action be easily implemented? | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | Can action be quickly implemented? | Level of action benefits | Level of action overall costs | Priority ranking |
| | | | += h | + = benefit (favorable), | avorable | | st (unfav | | utral or N/A | | Levels = | Levels = high, medium, or low | r low |
| Review existing Village/Town/County codes, setbacks, and review processes | 7 | + | + | + | + | 7 | + | + | + | +. | 4 | | 7 |
| Enforce zoning regulations in Town/Village of Mexico (Propane warehouse) | 1 | , | , | , | • | , | ı | • | • | , | • | • | |
| Implement response protocols to remove debris jams from waterways | 1 | | ı | ı | , | , | , | • | • | | • | • | , |
| Implement response protocols to remove ice jams from waterways | ı | • | • | , | - | , | _ | | • | • | • | • | , |
| Determine whether additional code enforcement officers are needed | NA | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Establish preventative municipal tree removal programs | + | + | + | + | + |) | + | + | 7 | + | + | + | # |
| Enhance current tree removal program executed by National Grid | ı | ı | | • | • | | • | • | æ ' | , | | • | • |
| Enforce/promote smart development within communities | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 4 | 1 | # |

Jurisdiction:

| Action | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--------------|---------------------|-------------|------------|-----------|--|---|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| | S | - | 4 | ď | - | ш | ш | Can action be easily implemented? | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | Can action be quickly implemented? | Level of action benefits | Level of action overall costs | Priority ranking |
| | | | 1 = + | + = benefit (favora | favorable), |), - = cos | it (unfav | - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | itral or N/A | | Levels = | Levels = high, medium, or low | low |
| Provide appropriate tree planting lists to residents - education programs | + | 7 | + | + | + | + | + | 1 | + | + | # | 1 | 1 |
| Maintain stormwater systems, including roadside drainages and culverts | 7 | + | 7 | + | + | 7 | 7 | + | + | + | + | # | ZON |
| Review current agreement for County to access/service private roads - enhance as needed | ı | 1 | ı | ı | • | | • | | | 1 | ı | ı | , |
| Inform private road residents of current access/service agreement within County | | ı | 1 | ı | • | , | • | | | , | | , | ٠ |
| Establish a road watch program during hazard events | + | 7 | + | 7 | + | 7 | 1 | + | + | + | 4 | | JA S |
| Review security and hazard preparedness at water supply and sewer facilities within County | # | = | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Enhance security measures and implement response plans at water supply and sewer facilities within County | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Provide maintenance for historic lighthouse in Oswego - re- enforce break wall | ı | ı | , | , | ı | , | , | | , | ' | 1 | , | ' |

Jurisdiction:

| page b | Priority ranking | ow | A | | | | | | |
|--------|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| - | Level of action overall costs | Levels = high, medium, or low | 7 | • | | | | | 1 |
| | uo | Levels = higi | + | | | | | | |
| | | | + | | ' | | | * | |
| | Can action be quickly implemented? | | 7 | , | | | | | |
| | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | | + | , | , | | 1 1 | | |
| | | = neutral o | 9,445 | | | | | + | |
| | Can action be easily implemented? | - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | 7 | | | 1 | 1 1 | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | |
| | ш | ost (unfav | + | 3 | ı | | | | |
| | ш | ble), - = c | + | , | , | | 1 1 5 | | |
| | | (favoral | | , | , | | | | |
| | ۵ | + = benefit (favora | + | t | • | | | | |
| | 4 | # | 4 | , | | 1 1 | | | |
| | ⊢ | | + | 1 | , | , , | 1 1 | | l V |
| | S | | 4.5 | - | , | , , | 1 1 | · · · + | |
| | Action | | Determine feasibility/potential to extend existing public water supply and sewer services | Increase building setbacks along Lake Ontario to reduce erosion potential and impacts | Examine and implement ways to reduce flooding issues on north shore of Oneida Lake | Examine and implement ways to reduce flooding issues on north shore of Oneida Lake Coordinate with NYS Flood Mitigation Group regarding flooding issue within Oswego County | Examine and implement ways to reduce flooding issues on north shore of Oneida Lake Coordinate with NYS Flood Mitigation Group regarding flooding issue within Oswego County Coordinate with US Army Corps regarding ways to reduce Oneida Lake flooding issues affected by Barge Canal system | Examine and implement ways to reduce flooding issues on north shore of Oneida Lake Coordinate with NYS Flood Mitigation Group regarding flooding issue within Oswego County Coordinate with US Army Corps regarding ways to reduce Oneida Lake flooding issues affected by Barge Canal system Provide for the flood-proofing of homes located within floodplains | Examine and implement ways to reduce flooding issues on north shore of Oneida Lake Coordinate with NYS Flood Mitigation Group regarding flooding issue within Oswego County Coordinate with US Army Corps regarding ways to reduce Oneida Lake flooding issues affected by Barge Canal system Provide for the flood-proofing of homes located within floodplains Establish programs and funds to purchase houses located within floodplains floodplains or with frequent floodplains or with frequent |

Jurisdiction:

| | | | | | | | | Can action be | Does action | Can action be | ave of artion | ocitical of section | page 7 |
|-----|----------|-----|--------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|-----------|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| L S | - | | 4 | Ь | 7 | ш | ш | easily implemented? | achieve multiple plan objectives? | quickly implemented? | Level or action benefits | overall costs | ranking |
| | | | - | + = benefit (favora | avorable), | i), - = co; | st (unfav | - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | utral or N/A | | Levels = | Levels = high, medium, or low | r low |
| ++ | + | | + | + | 7 | 7 | 7 | + | 7 | + | H | M | M |
| | • | | • | • | , | , | ı | • | | ı | • | | |
| , | | | 1 1 | | | 1 | • | , | • | , | × | | 1 |
| | ı | | , | , | ı | ı | ı | , | | , | • | , | ı |
| # | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| XA- | | . \ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MA | | \ i | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | • | | 1 | 1 | • | • | • | • | • | - |

Jurisdiction:

| | 200 | | | | | | | | | | | | page 8 |
|--|-----|---|-----|----------------------|----------|--------------|----------|--|---|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Action | S | F | ۵ | a. | _ | ш | ш | Can action be easily implemented? | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | Can action be quickly implemented? | Level of action benefits | Level of action overall costs | Priority ranking |
| | | | +=+ | + = benefit (favoral | favorabl | ble), - = co | st (unfa | = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | utral or N/A | | Levels = | Levels = high, medium, or low | ır low |
| Improve cell phone reception and GPS function within County | ı | | ı | | , | | ı | • | | | • | | , |
| Review emergency evacuation signage within I-81 corridor communities - establish such signage if needed | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | # | M | A |
| Review and update spill response plan protocol (land and water) and equipment availability | #N | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Establish protocol for post-event assessments to determine extent of help required in community | . + | 7 | 1 | 7 | + | + | + | + | + | + | # | 7 | <i>H</i> |
| Establish snow drift caution signage along NYS Route 104 - coordinate with NYS DOT | 1 | 1 | • | 1 | , | • | | , | ı | | • | · | • |
| Develop database of special needs and elderly individuals within County and a system to contact them in case of emergency - update database annually | • | 1 | 1 | ı | 1 | 1 | , | , | 1 | ı | , | , | , |
| Conduct a comprehensive engineering assessment of the dam in Hannibal | | 1 | 1 | 1 | = | | 1 | , | , | • | , | 1 | |
| Review SUNY Oswego response plan protocol regarding acts of terrorism, sporting events, and an epidemic event - update annually | ı | ı | • | • | , | • | ı | • | • | • | 1 | • | , |

Jurisdiction:

| _ | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|-------------------------------|---|------|------|------|---|
| page 9 | Priority ranking | rlow | ı | | | | |
| | Level of action overall costs | Levels = high, medium, or low | ı | | | | 3 |
| | Level of action benefits | Levels = ! | • | | | 13 | |
| | Can action be quickly implemented? | | , | SS. | | | |
| | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | | • | | | | |
| | Can action be easily implemented? | 1 2 1 | , | | | | |
| | ш | st (unfa | , | | | | |
| | ш | e), -= co | ı | | | | |
| | - | avorabl | | | | | |
| | ۵ | enefit (| 1 | | | | |
| | A | += | , | | | | |
| | 1 | | 1 | | | | |
| | S | | • | | | | |
| | Action | | Repair/replace retaining wall between private properties and Salmon River in Village of Pulaski | | | | |



| | | | 1 | Z Z | gation | Action | Priorit | STAPLEE Criteria Consideration Tables gation Action Prioritization and Compa | Mitigation Action Prioritization and Comparison | | | | |
|---|---|---------|-------|---------------|--------|-----------|-----------|--|---|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Jurisdiction: | 7 | 1111966 | 96 | B | | G Ve | CLBVELNAM | 3 | | | | | page 1 |
| Action | S | - | æ | ۵ | 1 | ш | щ | Can action be easily implemented? | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | Can action be quickly implemented? | Level of action benefits | Level of action overall costs | Priority ranking |
| | | | + | + = benefit (| | e), -= co | ıst (unfa | favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | | | = revels = | Levels = high, medium, or low | or low |
| Promote sprinkler installation in housing by revising building codes | ١ | 1 | 1 | ١ | 0 | ١ | 0 | esdisa | + | ij | hin | W16H | MED |
| Implement land use plans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | ব | 7 | 0 | H 15H | 700 | MED |
| Implement/revise review board regulations and building/zoning codes | 1 | - | دومام | 4 | + | + | + | * | 4 | + | HIGH | MED | KIGH |
| Review/implement County regulations and insurance regulations to construct in floodplains | + | + | 1 | + | 4) | + | 9 | 41 | + | 3.9 | A.P. | ¥16# | 700 |
| Increase public awareness and education programs | 4 | 4 | + | + | + | 4 | * | 0 | + | 0 | HIGH | 700 | HIGH |
| Offer zoning training programs at tocal/County level | + | * | 4 | 4 | Ť | to | 4×€ | J | 0 |) | N SO N | 700 | A. |
| Educate public how to prepare for hazard events | + | Ac | * | + | + | 7 | + | * | * | 4 | H16# | 4000 | MED |
| Provide training to emergency response personnel to handle hazard events | 3 | 4 | ı | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | + | + | HIGH | MED | Med |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Jurisdiction:

VILLAGE OF CLOVELAUD

| | | | | | | | 1 | | |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| Priority ranking | ır law | 1 | Него | HIGH | HED | | 400 | MED | |
| Level of artion overall costs | Levels = high, medium, ar law | ı | НЕБ | Него | HED | | 200 | HED | |
| Level of action benefits | Levels = | , | MED | KIGH | MED | | 7007 | MED | |
| Can action be quickly implemented? | | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | + | |
| Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | | =1.4 | 4 | + | 4 | | ſ | * | |
| Can action be easily implemented? | + = benefit (favorable), -= cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | 1 | . 0 | A | III A | | ١ | 4 | |
| ш | st (unfa | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | | 9 | 4 | |
| ш | e), -= co | ę | ŧ | Í | ſ | | 1 | + | |
| - | favorabl | arte: | . * | 0 | 5 | | | + | |
| ۵ | benefit (| Į. | + | -} | + | | News . | + | |
| 4 | + | Stea | I | 0 | \$52 | | à | 4 | |
| - | | 1 | + | + | + | | A | + | |
| v | | 1 | 4 | + | of so | | 4 | + | |
| Action | | Provide training on NY Alert system | identify emergency shelter locations, establish if necessary | Inventory emergency shelters and critical facilities that need generators - supply generated power | Determine supplies and volunteers needed at emergency shelters during hazard events | Alert community of locations of shelters and procedures during emergency events | Establish animal evacuation protocols and animal shelter locations for emergency events | Offer fire prevention education to community | Inventory County and municipal equipment availability |

Jurisdiction:

VILLAGE OF CLOVERAND

| - | | | | | | - Maria | · | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| Priority ranking | r low | HEP | HED | Medi | HIGH | MED | | HED | 1 |
| Level of action overall costs | Levels = high, medium, or low | Mes | MED | HED | HIGH | HIGH | 10 | HEP | ā |
| Level of action Level of action benefits overall costs | Levels = | H21 H | Hep | Nen | HIGH | NIGH | | НЕР | % |
| - | implementeo: | 4 | 7 | + | à |) | 8 | 4 | ÿ. |
| | vest | 4 | + | * | + | + | | 7 | ħ |
| Can action be easily | + = benefit (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | 1 | + | + | -9- | đ | | une. | n |
| ш | st (unfar | + | + | + | . 7 | + | | Q | ħ |
| ш | e), -= co | 1 | 4 | + | (| B | | 1 | ß |
| _ | favorab | + | + | + | * | 4 | | 1 | t. |
| 4 | benefit (| + | + | * | -i- | + | | + | |
| * | + | + | ٩ | * | 3 | 1 | | 9 | 71 |
| - | | + | + | * | * | + | | + | f |
| s | | + | + | + | * | + | | + | 1 |
| Action | | Database of resources and localions of equipment | Establish guidelines for inter- and infra-municipal use of equipment during emergencies | Review resources available for road closings | Improve inter-municipal communications and awareness during hazard events | Secure additional equipment needed to combat hazard events | Implement aid and liability agreements with focal ATV dubs to assist during emergencies | Implement aid and liability agreements with local HAM operators to assist during emergencies | Add additional emergency response trailers along I-81 corridor |

Jurisdiction:

VILLAGE OF OLOVERAND

| V | _ | 4.000 | | | | | - Marian | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|---|
| Priority ranking | rlow | НЕВ | Single | 1 | 1 | HED | mon | | HED |
| Level of action overall costs | Levels = high, medium, or law | MED | de la companya de la | (| | Heb | rapy | ŗ | MED |
| Level of action Level of action benefits overall costs | Levels= | Men | | * Andrew | €. | Heto | HED | * | 6314 |
| Can action be quickly implemented? | | 7 | t-control | 1 | 144 | 9 | 4 | * | ~ |
| Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | | 0 | , service | : | 55% | 4 | 4 | r, | |
| Can action be easily implemented? | + = benefit (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | 9 | а | ă | : | agelo | 4 | le de la companya de | - |
| ш | st (unfa | Ą | 1 | I | J | + | D | 3 | |
| ш | e), -= co | 0 | i | (| 7 | ı | 1. | 1 | 4 |
| | favorab | 0 | į | 1 | a a | ğ | 1 | 1 | + |
| _ | benefit (| ٥ | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | + |
| ¥ | + | ۵ | pane. | 1 | 1 | Santa | q | 1 | 4 |
| F | | 0 | 3. | 1 | 3 | ÷ | + | 1 | + |
| S | | O | 4500 | ١ | ₹. | 4 | Ø | 1 | ÷ |
| Action | | Review existing Village/Town/County codes, setbacks, and review processes | Enforce zoning regulations in Town/Village of Mexico (Propane warehouse) | Implement response protocots to remove debris jams from waterways | Implement response protocols to remove ice jams from waterways | Determine whether additional code enforcement officers are needed | Establish preventative municipal tree removal programs | Enhance current free removal program executed by National Grid | Enforce/promote smart develapment within communities |

Jurisdiction:

VILLAGE OF CLEVERALD

| | | | | T | T | T | 7 | T | | |
|--------|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| page 5 | Priority ranking | ar low | (2019) | Med | | | NGP | H 16H | N16H | Q |
| | Level of action overall costs | Levels = high, medium, ar low | 700 | 1460 | | | HEP | MED | HANH | 3 |
| | Level of action benefits | levels = | m07 | НЕЭ | | | Мер | НІВН | 149171 | 0 |
| | Can action be quickly implemented? | | + | 1 | | | + | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | tral or N/A | eyand. | 7 | | | 9 | + | + | 0 |
| | Can action be easily implemented? | + = benefit (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | + | Į | | | Ą | -}- | 1 | ٥ |
| | E | st (unfa | * | ø | | | 76- | 0 | 9 | v |
| | ш | le}, -= co | 1 | ı | ! | | 8 | * | Patrice | 0 |
| | - | (favorab | 9 | 9 | | | + | 0 | ~ | v |
| | <u> </u> | benefit | + | * | | | + | + | 4 | υ |
| | 4 | + | 0 | 100 | | | + | + | 1 | v |
| | ۲ | | * | + | | | + | 4 | 4 | 9 |
| | S | | 7 | + | | | + | 4 | + | 9 |
| | Action | | Provide appropriate tree planting lists to residents - education programs | Maintain stormwater systems, including roadside drainages and culverts | Review current agreement for County to access/service private roads - enhance as needed | Inform private road residents of current access/service agreement within County | Establish a road watch program during hazard events | Review security and hazard preparedness at water supply and sewer facilities within County | Enhance security measures and implement response plans at water supply and sewer facilities within County | Provide maintenance for historic lighthouse in Oswego - re- enforce break wall |

Jurisdiction:

VILLAGE OF CLEVELAND

| | _ | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|
| Priority ranking | rlow | W154 | | MON | HED | åε | 100 | 600) | 8. |
| Level of action overall costs | Levels = high, medium, or low | HIGH | | HIGH MIGH | HED | ar | Loas | 336 | ۸. |
| Level of action Level of action benefits overall costs | Levels = | H 1614 | | HIGH | HED | • | 7 000 | £ 62.53 | ١ |
| Can action be quickly Implemented? | | ١ | 3 | 1 | 1 | , | 1 | Į. | ` |
| Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | tral or N/A | + | • | + | + | t | 0 | 0 | |
| Can action be easily implemented? | + = benefit (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | amen. | 4 | 1 | همدن | , | 1 | Ä | , |
| В | st (unfav | 0 | | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 3 | * |
| ш | e}, -= co | 9 | | 1 | 1 | v | Į | 1 | • |
| 1 | favorable | ı | | ā | 1 | , | 1 | 97 | L |
| В | benefit (| + | (14) | + | + | , | 1 | 1 | ٠ |
| 4 | += | + | | Į | - 1 | | 0 | จ | į. |
| Т | | * | | + | + | : * 3 | 0 | 0 | • |
| S | | + | `` | + | * | ٠. | 0 | 9 | |
| Action | | Determine feasibility/potential to extend existing public water supply and sewer services | Increase building setbacks along Lake Ontario to reduce erosion potential and impacts | Examine and implement ways to reduce flooding issues on north shore of Oneida Lake | Coordinate with NYS Flood Mitigation Group regarding flooding issue within Oswego County | Coordinate with US Army Corps regarding ways to reduce Oneida Lake flooding issues affected by Barge Canal system | Provide for the flood-praofing of homes located within floodplains | Establish programs and funds to purchase houses tocated within floodplains or with frequent flooding issues | Educate public on Hyper-reach capabilities and uses |

Jurisdiction:

VILLAGE OF QUEYEDAND

| חווופשובנושע: | | 5 | 1000 | 3 | 5 | T) | J out in | S C West | | | | | page 7 |
|--|----|---|------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|---|--|--|--|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Action | r. | H | 4 | ۵ | _ | ш | ш | Can artion be easily implemented? | Does action Can action be achieve multiple quickly plan objectives? implemented? | A COUNTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PA | Level of action Level of action benefits overall costs | Level of action overall costs | Priority ranking |
| | | | =+ | benefit (| favorabl | e), -= co | st (unfa | + = benefit (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | itral or N/A | | Levels = | Levels = high, meďium, or low | rlaw |
| Increase amount of automatic message boards along major routes within County | + | + | 0 | + | . + | Г | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | mon mo7 | | COW |
| Continue work to increase availability of broadband throughout County | • | ١ | 1 | 1 | 1 | ŧ | ¥, |) |) | ı | 3 | X | |
| Continue to inventory aging transportation infrastructure within County | | | | (#1) | | | 20 | × | | | • | 2 | |
| Coordinate with NYS Thruway regarding ways to distribute hazard event information to Thruway travelers | 0 | 0 | Q | 3 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 0 | ٦ | રુ | 1 | | r |
| Establish a monitoring program for railroad tracks | V | 9 | ٠ | 0 | o | ş | ٥ | ٥ | Ò | J | 100 | رەمما | 000 |
| Coordinate with state and federal governments and track owners to rehabilitate or remove failing railroad infrastructure | 0 | 0 | ৩ | 0 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 5 | จ | o | 10cm | 600 | LOW |
| Review response plan of communities located within Hancock flight paths - revise as needed | + | + | ı | 1 | 0 | ł | D | 1 | γ. | 0 | MIGH | N/6H | 4116/4 |
| Courty as needed - evaluate program effectiveness on annual basis | 1 | (| \ | ı | 1 | ı | 1 | ı | ı | (| ţ | f | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |


Jurisdiction:

99W

| page 3 | Priority ranking | | | | | #9) _N | 3 3 | \$ B | 35 36 | *************************************** |
|------------------|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| - | | , or low | | | | * | | | | |
| | Level of action overall costs | Levels = high, medium, or low | | | KIGH | KICH HIGH | | | | |
| | Level of action Level of action benefits overall costs | levels = | | | KIGK | HWH | | | | 1 |
| Total Section In | quickly implemented? | | 41.0800 | | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| Done | achieve multiple plan objectives? | tral or N/A | | | + | + | | | | |
| Canadian ba | easily implemented? | - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | | | 1 | ł | | | | |
| | ш | st (unfa | | | 4 | + | | | W. | |
| | щ | e), - = cc | | | 1 | t | | | | |
| | L | + = benefit (favorable), | | | + | + | | | | |
| | ۵ | enefit (| | | + | + | | | | |
| Ī | 4 | + | | | 1 | 1 | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | + | + | | | | RESTANDA - ALE |
| | S | | | | + | + | | | | |
| | Action | | Improve cell phone reception and GPS function within County | Review emergency evacuation signage within I-81 corridor communities - establish such signage if needed | Review and update spill response plan protocol (land and water) and equipment availability and options | Establish protocol for post-event assessments to determine extent of help required in community | Establish snow drift caution signage along NYS Route 104 - coordinate with NYS DOT | Develop database of special needs and elderly individuals within County and a system to contact them in case of emergency - update database annually | Conduct a comprehensive engineering assessment of the dam in Hannibal | Review SUNY Oswego response plan protocol regarding acts of terroism, sporting events, and an epidemix event - update annually |

| Willigation Action Prioritization and Compariso |
|---|

| | | Page 9 | Priority ranking | or low | | HOK | H16# | high | 46.4 | med | med | |
|---|---------------|--------|--|-------------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|---|---|------|
| | | | Level of action Level of action benefits overall costs | Levels = high, medium, or low | | HOIM | H16H | /ow | high | high | 46.4 | |
| | | | Level of action benefits | Levels = | | HIGH | WEH | Иви | high | med | med | 110- |
| | | | Can action be guickly implemented? | | | (| + | + | 1 | ١ | ١ | |
| Comparison | | | Boes action achieve multiple plan objectives? | tral or N/A | | * | + | + | +. | + | + | |
| Witigation Action Prioritization and Comparison | BVELAUD | | Can action be easify implemented? | 4 -21 | | * | + | + | 1 - | 1 | ١ | |
| Priori | 316 | | m | ost (unfa | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ١ | ١ | |
| Action | 64 | | ш | le), -= co | | 1 | ı | 1 | 1 | \ | ١ | |
| gation | k | | T | favorab | | 1 | ı | 1 | 1 | ١ | ١ | |
| Mit | ln l | | Δ. | benefit | | 4 | + | f | + | + | + | |
| | 14MGE | | 4 | + | | 1 | ŧ | 1 | | 1 | 1 | |
| | 757 | | - | | | * | + | + | + | + | + | |
| | _ | | W | | | * | 7 | 1 | + 2 | iest + | + 6 | |
| | Jurisdiction: | | Action | | Repair/replace retaining wall between private properties and Salmon River in Village of Pulaski | estoblish break wall or breida Pake for watery or the | toekup ansatoro for Kielag Hall swalu buat nych | Post hazard awalenss + preparedness into to village website | prichase postable Rodis to a voluntese Laid worker use | Purchage anti-icing equipment on millage to the des (plows) to invent effectory during strong | acquire Install dry nydrants in o populated areas who | |



tex salande por sex

STAPLEE Criteria Consideration Tables Mitigation Action Prioritization and Comparison

Jurisdiction:

Town of Constantia

| page 1 | Priority ranking | WC | ma7 | H16H | #10-# | | せんかれ | MED | MED | MED |
|--------|--|--|--|--------------------------|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| | | Levels = high, medium, or low | HIGH | | | | | | N G O | MCD M |
| | Level | = high, r | Ŧ | かのつ | MED | | MED | MED | ź | M |
| | kevel of action Level of action benefits overall costs | Levels | 1,000 | HIGH | #16-64 | L | 4/6# | MED | MED | MED |
| | Can action be quickly implemented? | | | 0 | 0 | ī | 0 | | + | + |
| | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | | 1 | B | +- | ı | + | 0 | + | 0 |
| | Can action be easily implemented? | - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | (| ٥ | + | Ē | 0 | ı | + | 0 |
| | 9 | st (unfa | 0 | 0 | + | | + | + | 0 | 0 |
| | 3 | e), - = co | 1 | 0 | Ö | | + | + |) |) |
| | _ | (favorable), | 0 | 0 | 4 | | + | + | 0 | 0 |
| | ۵ | + = benefit (| 1 | 0 | + | (4) | + | + | + | + |
| | A | + | 1 | 0 | + | | + | + | 1 | ١ |
| | _ | | ١ | 0 | + | 1 | + | 4 | + | + |
| | s | | 1 | 0 | +- | | + | -1- | + | + |
| | Action | | Promote sprinkler installation in housing by revising building codes | Implement land use plans | Implement/revise review board regulations and building/zoning codes | Review/implement County regulations and insurance regulations to construct in floodplains | Increase public awareness and education programs | Offer zoning training programs at local/County level | Educate public how to prepare for hazard events | Provide training to emergency response personnel to handle hazard events |

Jurisdiction:

Town of Constantia

| rity | | | 0 | S | 0 | | 2 | C. | |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| Priority | or low | | 9 = | 3 | OS | · | 3 | Mapl | |
| Level of action overall costs | Levels = high, medium, or low | | - 3 mg | Nec. | Post | i | (ma) | Me D | |
| Level of action Level of action benefits overall costs | Levels = | | D'A.D | 3 | Med Med | | Low | Plea | |
| Can action be quickly implemented? | | | 9 | } | - | | ١ | + | , |
| Can action be Does action easily achieve multiple implemented? plan objectives? | itral or N/A | | + | + | + | ć. | ١ | + | ı |
| Can action be easily implemented? | fit (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | (4) | 0 |) | ١ | 6 | 1 | +- | £ |
| ш | st (unfa | | + | 0 | 0 | E) | 0 | + | 1 |
| ш | e), -= co | 1.0 | ١ | 1 | i | | } | + | |
| _ | favorabl | | + | 1 |) | | 1 | + | |
| <u> </u> | + = benefit (| (1 4 (3) | + | + | + | 6 | 1 | + | Ē |
| 4 | + | 100.1 | ſ | 0 | 1 | E | ١ | + | , |
| ۰ | | • | 4 | + | + | E . | + | + | ï |
| S | | • | + | + | - | • | + | + | |
| Action | | Provide training on NY Alert system | Identify emergency shelter locations, establish if necessary | Inventory emergency shelters and critical facilities that need generators - supply generated power | Determine supplies and volunteers needed at emergency shelters during hazard events | Alert community of locations of shelters and procedures during emergency events | Establish animal evacuation protocols and animal shelter locations for emergency events | Offer fire prevention education to community | Inventory County and municipal equipment availability |

| es | nd Comparison |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Tab | Com |
| STAPLEE Criteria Consideration Table: | Action Prioritization and |
| TAPLEE CL | itigation Actio |
| S | Mitiga |
| | |

Town of Constantia

Jurisdiction:

ranking bage 3 Priority Levels = high, medium, or low Level of action Level of action overall costs benefits implemented? Can action be quickly plan objectives? achieve multiple Does action + = benefit (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A + implemented? Can action be easily + ш + -) ш + 4 + 4 + + ۵ 1 1 4 7 S needed to combat hazard events agreements with local ATV clubs communications and awareness equipment during emergencies Review resources available for Secure additional equipment to assist during emergencies Establish guidelines for inter-Database of resources and agreements with local HAM and intra-municipal use of Implement aid and liability Implement aid and liability Improve inter-municipal locations of equipment during hazard events road closings Action

response trailers along I-81

Add additional emergency

operators to assist during

emergencies

Jurisdiction: Town of Constantia

| 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| page 4 | Priority ranking | rlow | | E = | (* | | M.c.d | ma | 4) | Mad |
| | Level of action overall costs | Levels = high, medium, or low | ======================================= | | | | Ne. | wa | ı | Med |
| | Level of action benefits | Levels = | HA | , | ı | , | Med | 98) | 9 | Thed |
| | Can action be quickly implemented? | | 0 | , | | 1 | } | + | 9 | 0 |
| | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | tral or N/A | 0 | | | | + | + | (*) | + |
| | Can action be easily implemented? | + = benefit (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | 9 | €. | 9. | 4 | 1 | + | 100 | 0 |
| | Е | st (unfa | 9 | ı | 0.0 | | + | 0 | | + |
| | ш | e), - = co | 0 | | • | | ١ | Ç | 365 | ı |
| | r | favorabl | 0 - | | | | 1 | Q | 17 | + |
| | ۵ | benefit (| 0 | | • | | + | a | | + |
| | A | 11 + | Ø · · | ~ ! | | ٠ |) | 0 | • | Q |
| | - | | 0 | | (1) | | + | ٥ | ı | + |
| | S | | 0 | | | | + | 0 | 6 - | 7 |
| | Action | | Review existing Village/Town/County codes, setbacks, and review processes | Enforce zoning regulations in Town/Village of Mexico (Propane warehouse) | Implement response protocols to remove debris jams from waterways | Implement response protocols to remove ice jams from waterways | Determine whether additional code enforcement officers are needed | Establish preventative municipal tree removal programs | Enhance current tree removal program executed by National Grid | Enforce/promote smart development within communities |

| Tables | Comparison |
|--------------------|----------------------|
| a Consideration | Prioritization and (|
| STAPLEE Criteria (| Action Prior |
| STAPI | Mitigation |

| 7,0000 | Priority ranking | rlow | (sew) | Med | | į | Jes J | | - L | |
|--------------------|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| | Level of action overall costs | Levels = high, medium, or low | 2 | Med | | | The of | Fr | 7 | , |
| | Level of action benefits | = revels = | 3 | Need | r | | Med | The | Hagh | |
| | Can action be quickly implemented? | | 0 |) | r | , | + | ٥ | 0 | · |
| | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | tral or N/A | ١ | + | | , | 0 | ٥ | \$ | |
| | Can action be easily implemented? | (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | ١ | 1 | | Э | + | Ø | 0 | 1_ |
| | ш | st (unfav | + | + | | | + | ۵ | 0 | 1 |
| | ш | e), -= co | 1 | 1 | | 1 |) | ۵ | 9 | |
| | _ | avorable | +- | 0 | | | + | 0 | 0 | |
| | ۵ | + = benefit (| + | 0 | | 1 | + | 0 | 0 | • |
| ıţia | 4 | += | 0 | -1. | | | 0 | Q | ٥ | |
| Town of Constantia | - | | + | -+ | | | + | 0 | 9 | 1 |
| Town of | v | | + | + | | | + | 0 | 0 | , |
| Jurisdiction: | Action | | Provide appropriate tree planting lists to residents - education programs | Maintain stormwater systems, including roadside drainages and culverts | Review current agreement for County to access/service private roads - enhance as needed | Inform private road residents of current access/service agreement within County | Establish a road watch program during hazard events | Review security and hazard preparedness at water supply and sewer facilities within County | Enhance security measures and implement response plans at water supply and sewer facilities within County | Provide maintenance for historic lighthouse in Oswego - reenforce break wall |

Jurisdiction: Town of Constantia

| Action | N | - | 4 | ۵ | 1 | ш | ш | Can action be easily implemented? | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | Can action be quickly implemented? | Level of action benefits | Level of action overall costs | Priority ranking |
|--|----|----|---|------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|---|---|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| | | | + | benefit (| favorable | e), - = co: | st (unfav | + = benefit (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | utral or N/A | | Levels = | Levels = high, medium, or low | rlow |
| Determine feasibility/potential to extend existing public water supply and sewer services | + | + | 1 | + | 1 | 1 | + | 1 | + | 1 | My | hey le | Region |
| Increase building setbacks along Lake Ontario to reduce erosion potential and impacts | 91 | | | • | | | , | · | | | | Ē | 5. |
| Examine and implement ways to reduce flooding issues on north shore of Oneida Lake | , | | 1 | | , | ï | ř | ï | i. | ı | | ij | , |
| Coordinate with NYS Flood Mitigation Group regarding flooding issue within Oswego County | 1 | i. | ŕ | 3 3 | Ü | | | T) | • | , | ı | 1 | |
| Coordinate with US Army Corps regarding ways to reduce Oneida Lake flooding issues affected by Barge Canal system | , | | • | • | | | , | - 1 | , | 1 | | | |
| Provide for the flood-proofing of homes located within floodplains | Q | 0 | 0 | 1 | ١ | J | 0 |) | 0 |) | (2) | | 3 |
| Establish programs and funds to purchase houses located within floodplains or with frequent flooding issues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | ì | / | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 30 | E |
| Educate public on Hyper-reach capabilities and uses | ı | | ř | | 0 | | 1 | 1. | 1 | , | , | ı | , |


| Table | 3 |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| STAPLEE Criteria Consideration Tables | |
| STAP | 1 |

| 7 9050 | Level of action Priority overall costs ranking | Levels = high, medium, or low | ma) (ma) | | , | | Low Low | Low Low | High High | |
|--------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| 11 | Level of action benefits | Levels = h | ~ | | | | 7 | الم | H141 | • |
| | Can action be quickly implemented? | | 9 | , | | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | ŗ. |
| | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | itral or N/A | + | 1 | ř | 1 | 0 | ବ ୁ | + | 6 |
| | Can action be easily implemented? | | 0 | • | | p 340 | D | 0 | 1 | , |
| | ш | st (unfa | 0 | | | | 0 | 0 | Q | , |
| | ш | e), - = co | } | | i | ï | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| | | favorabl | + | | 1 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | • | + = benefit (f | + | | | , | 0 | 0 | + | 1_ |
| ıtia | 4 | + | 0 | | | | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| Constar | - | | + | | , | ¥. | 0 | 0 | + | |
| Town of Constantia | S | | + | | | | 0. | 9 | 1 | |
| Jurisdiction: | Action | | Increase amount of automatic message boards along major routes within County | Continue work to increase availability of broadband throughout County | Continue to inventory aging transportation infrastructure within County | Coordinate with NYS Thruway regarding ways to distribute hazard event information to Thruway travelers | Establish a monitoring program for railroad tracks | Coordinate with state and federal governments and track owners to rehabilitate or remove failing ralicad infrastructure | Review response plan of communities located within Hancock flight paths - revise as needed | Continue EEE spraying program in County as needed - evaluate program effectiveness on annual basis |

エルア ranking Priority page 8 Levels = high, medium, or low Level of action Level of action overall costs 2 七三十 benefits 主土 implemented? Can action be quickly 0 P plan objectives? achieve multiple Does action + = benefit (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A 7 implemented? Can action be easily + + ш 1 + + 4 4 Town of Constantia + + + + response plan protocol (land and water) and equipment availability and elderly individuals within County Establish protocol for post-event Develop database of special needs engineering assessment of the and GPS function within County terrorism, sporting events, and an Review SUNY Oswego responso Review emergency evacuation signage along NYS Route 104 epidemic event - update annually and a system to contact them in Improve cell phone reception communities - establish such plan protocol regarding acts of Establish snow drift caution signage within I-81 corridor Conduct a comprehensive assessments to determine coordinate with NYS DOT extent of help required in case of emergency - update Review and update spill signage if needed dam in Hannibal database annually and options **Jurisdiction**: community Action

Mitigation Action Prioritization and Comparison STAPLEE Criteria Consideration Tables

| page 9 | Priority | or low | Ü | 7 | | | | |
|--------------------|---|---|--|-------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | Level of action overall costs | Levels = high, medium, or low | | Hat though though | | | | |
| | Level of action benefits | = slevels | , | 14 | | | | |
| | Can action be quickly implemented? | | | | | | | |
| | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | utral or N/A | | 0 | | | | |
| | Can action be easily implemented? | + = benefit (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | | | | | | |
| | ш | st (unfa | i | 1 | | | | |
| | ш | a), - = co | | ١ | * | | | |
| | 1 | avorabl | 10 | 1 | | | | |
| | ۵ | enefit (| i | 0 | | | | |
| tia | ⋖ | +=+ | | 1 | | | | |
| Constar | - | | , | + 21 | | | | |
| Town of Constantia | s | | Ľ | + 2 | | | | |
| Jurisdiction: | Action | | Repair/replace retaining wall between private properties and Salmon River in Village of Pulaski | Lee jams from waterways | | | | |



| ables | and Comparison |
|-------------------|----------------|
| - | Ę |
| ation | pue u |
| ria Consideration | ation |
| Süg | Prioritization |
| teria | |
| E C | Action |
| STAPLEE Criteria | A no |
| ST | Aitigation A |
| | ŧ |

到 SE SE ると いっつ た。土 全 Priority ranking Levels = high, medium, or low Level of action | Level of action 2°7 overall costs 西 RE) # # MAN DE Z CO を ₹ | | まご# MED NA NA #S# Red MED Low benefits implemented? Can action be X KE YES L Z quickly 70 X 202 achieve multiple plan objectives? Does action 75 J. N \cs + = benefit (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A XX 202 YE implemented? Can action be 165 XXX × 23 Z Z X easily R No P **P** P ш 0 FUCTON (0 + 0 O ١ \bigcirc + + + ۵ 40 7 ⋖ ١ 4 0 + + + S 1 + Offer zoning training programs at local/County level Promote sprinkler installation in housing by revising building Implement/revise review board regulations and building/zoning Increase public awareness and Educate public how to prepare Provide training to emergency response personnel to handle Review/implement County regulations and insurance regulations to construct in Implement land use plans education programs for hazard events hazard events Jurisdiction: floodplains Action codes

Jurisdiction: (1ty of FULTON

| | | | | | \top | | | | T | |
|--------|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|---|
| page 2 | Priority ranking | or low | S N | NED | 15 | MED | #3# | * The state of the | Hi.H | H3# |
| | Level of action Level of action benefits overall costs | Levels = high, medium, or low | H3.H | MED | NED HSH GOW | H:34 MED | Mes High | MED HIST WED | MED High | Low |
| | Level of action benefits | Levels = | Yes High High | No WED WED NED | NED | #5.# | #3.# | MED | #17# | Hit 600 Hit |
| | Can action be quickly implemented? | | 57/ | No | No | 2 | S | りマ | B | 卫 |
| | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | utral or N/A | SZ |) Cal | No | Š | S | ZZ ZZ | Ž Ž | 艾 |
| | Can action be easily implemented? | avorable), $- = cost (unfavorable)$, $0 = neutral or N/A$ | <u>S</u> | 5 | 1 0 No | - 0 No | 0 + 0 49 | | 0 - 0 1/15 | 0 + 0 VES |
| | w — | ost (unfa | D | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 1 0 % | 0 | ٥ |
| | ш | le), -= co | | (| 1 | | + | l | 1 | + |
| | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ٥ |
| | a | = benefit (| + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | ۷ | # | { | 1 |) | 1 | + | 1 | + | + |
| | - | | + | 4 | + | + | + | 0 | + | + |
| | s | | 4 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | Action | | Provide training on NY Alert system | Identify emergency shelter locations, establish if necessary - | Inventory emergency shelters and critical facilities that need generators - supply generated power | Determine supplies and volunteers needed at emergency shelters during hazard events | Alert community of locations of shelters and procedures during emergency events | Establish animal evacuation protocols and animal shelter locations for emergency events | Offer fire prevention education to community | Inventory County and municipal equipment availability |

| | | | | Mitig | STAPL ration | EE Crit | eria Co Priorit | STAPLEE Criteria Consideration Tables sation Action Prioritization and Compa | STAPLEE Criteria Consideration Tables Mitigation Action Prioritization and Comparison | | | | |
|---|-----------|----------|-------|-----------|-----------------|-------------|--------------------|--|---|--|--|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Jurisdiction: | \bigcap | र्रु | to | LT | MOTI | Ž | | | | | | | |
| | | - | | | | | | | | | | | page 3 |
| Action | s | – | 4 | ۵ | _ | ш | В | Can action be easily implemented? | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | Can action be quickly impiemented? | Level of action Level of action benefits overall costs | Level of action overall costs | Priority ranking |
| | | | + = [| enefit (f | avorable | ;), - = co; | st (unfav | + = benefit (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | | | Levels = | Levels = high, medium, or low | ır low |
| Database of resources and locations of equipment | + | + |) | + | 0 | (| 0 | 10 | Xes | 70 | Hist | mo7 | High |
| Establish guidelines for inter- and intra-municipal use of equipment during emergencies | + | - | + | + | + | + | 0 | Ycs | No | X | 404 | High Low High | 柏井 |
| Review resources available for road closings | + | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | SOA | x-x | YCS | 4154 | ma) | HisH |
| Improve inter-municipal communications and awareness during hazard events | + | + | 7 | + | + | + | 0 | 57) | Yes | Yes | Hist | High Cow High | 424 |
| Secure additional equipment needed to combat hazard events | + | + | 1 | + | + | 1 | 0 | oΝ | אכז | 07 | (C)M | TOW HOT | Mer |
| Implement aid and liability agreements with local ATV clubs to assist during emergencies | + | 4 | | 1/+ | + | 0 | 9 | ST. | 755 | No | Key. | C) M | Mery |
| Implement aid and liability agreements with local HAM operators to assist during emergencies | 7 | 7 | 1 | + | 1 | Q | 0 | Yes | YCT | NO | MUCS | MUSS WED MED | MED |

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

0

 \bigcirc

O

0

0

0

 \mathcal{O}

0

0

0

Add additional emergency response trailers along I-81 corridor

| າ Tables | nd Comparison |
|--------------------|--------------------------|
| ia Consideration T | ıtion a |
| STAPLEE Criteria (| Action Prioritiza |
| STAPL | Mitigation / |

Jurisdiction: City of FUCTON

| page 4 | Priority ranking | r fow | Low | 0 | MLD | He | Low | Men | C M | Low |
|--------|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| | Level of action overall costs | Levels = high, medium, or low | Con) | 0 | Hist | Mes Aist NO | سم | 44 | 0 | 0 |
| | Level of action benefits | Levels = | Con | 0 | MED | Q7774 | 22 | SE SE | 0 | لمم |
| | Can action be quickly implemented? | | ορ | 0 | No | 202 | No | ZZ ZZ | 0 | 202 |
| | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | tral or N/A | 7-63 | 0 | No | λo | × C | 夏 | yes | \$ |
| | Can action be easily implemented? | favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | No | 0 | c No | No | 0 7 0 | o yes | ٥ | o No |
| | ш | st (unfa | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ٥ | 0 |
| | ш | le), - = cc | (| 0 | 1 | 1 | | | + | ١ |
| | ſ | favorab | \ | 0 | 0 | 9 | O | Ö | + | + |
| | ۵ | + = benefit (| 7 | 0 | + | + | 1 | + | + | + |
| | ۷ | + | \ | 0 | - | | 1 | 1 | + | |
| | F | | 0 | 9 | + | + | 9 | + | + | + |
| | s | | 1 | 9 | ## | + | 1 | + | + | + |
| | Action | | Review existing Village/Town/County codes, setbacks, and review processes | Enforce zoning regulations in Town/Village of Mexico (Propane warehouse) | Implement response protocols to remove debris jams from waterways | Implement response protocols to remove ice jams from waterways | Determine whether additional code enforcement officers are needed | Establish preventative municipal tree removal programs | Enhance current tree removal program executed by National Grid | Enforce/promote smart development within communities |

| | | page 5 | evel of action Priority overall costs ranking | Levels = high, medium, or low | 454 wos | Med Med | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20w H3H | Mes Hot | <i>U</i> 6 |
|---|---------------|--------|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|--|---|---|
| | | | Level of action Level of action benefits overall costs | Levels = high, m | 97 COM | MC> ME | 0 | 0 | <i>C</i> | HJ.H 60 | H13# W | 0 |
| | | | Can action be Le quickly implemented? | |) D | S) | O | 0 | J | Yes | Yes | Q |
| STAPLEE Criteria Consideration Tables Mitigation Action Prioritization and Comparison | | | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | utral or N/A | sa-l | YCS | 0 | 0 | 0 | Ycs | Y=-5 | 0 |
| STAPLEE Criteria Consideration Tables sation Action Prioritization and Compa | | | Can action be easily implemented? | favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A | 521 | Z Z | 0 | 0 | 0 | \ \ \ | 17 | 0 |
| teria C | | | E | st (unfa | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| EE Crit Action | FUTON | | Е | e), - = co | 7 | + | 0 | \mathcal{C} | 0 | 1 | 1 | C |
| STAPI gation | 15 | | 1 | favorabi | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 |
| Miti | 4 | | Ь | + = benefit ((| + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | + | 0 |
| | 0 | | A | += | + | + | ٥ | ٥ | 0 | + | + | E |
| | 1 | | _ | | + | + | 0 | ٥ | 2 | + | 7 | |
| | | | S | | + | + | Q | 9 | 0 | + | 1 | E |
| | Jurisdiction: | | Action | | Provide appropriate tree planting lists to residents - education programs | Maintain stormwater systems, including roadside drainages and culverts | Review current agreement for County to access/service private roads - enhance as needed | Inform private road residents of current access/service agreement within County | Establish a road watch program during hazard events | Review security and hazard preparedness at water supply and sewer facilities within County | Enhance security measures and implement response plans at water supply and sewer facilities within County | Provide maintenance for historic lighthouse in Oswego - re- |

| STAPLEE Criteria Consideration Tables | Mitigation Action Prioritization and Comparison | Jurisdiction: (17) of FUCTON |
|---------------------------------------|---|------------------------------|
|---------------------------------------|---|------------------------------|

| | | | | | Miti | STAPI | LEE Cri Action | teria C n Priori | STAPLEE Criteria Consideration Tables sation Action Prioritization and Compa | STAPLEE Criteria Consideration Tables Mitigation Action Prioritization and Comparison | | | | |
|---|---|---|---------------|---|-----------|----------|-------------------|---------------------|--|---|--|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| L E E En action be easily achieve multiple quicky Decension Prior Prior | • | | 主 | 4 | | 12/2 | No | | | | | | | |
| T A P L E Canadito he action and the action of action level of action | I | ſ | $\cdot \Big[$ | | | | | | | | | | | page 6 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 8 | | _ | 4 | ۵ | י | ш | ш | Can action be easily implemented? | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | Can action be quickly implemented? | Level of action benefits | | Priority ranking |
| + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + | ١ | ſ | | + | benefit (| favorabi | e), - = co | ost (unfa | vorable), 0 = ne | utral or N/A | | Levels = | high, medium, | or low |
| 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | + | 1 | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | YES | s=k | X | #1.7# | mo7 | Hist |
| 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |) | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | O | 0 | 0 | 0 | ٥ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 00000000000000000000000000000000000000 |) | 2 | 0 | O | 0 | 0 | 0 | ٥ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 00000000000000000000000000000000000000 | 7 | | + | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | ۵ | 0 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| 0 NO NO NO COW #57 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | O | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - 10 NO NO Cow High | + | (| 1 | 1 | 4 | (| 1 | ٥ | 20 | 2 | ×o | | #34 | Lan |
| | 1 | | + | 1 | (| 1 | 1 | 0 | No | No | | 6 W | #j# | Con |
| | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Jurisdiction: (1.4 Of FULTON

| page 7 | Priority ranking | riow | ma7 | Mei | 43# | 0 | MEIN | MOJ | 0 | NOW NO |
|--------|--|--|-----|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| | Level of action Level of action benefits overall costs | Levels = high, medium. or low | CON | WED | St. | O | 1 | l | C | 0 |
| | Level of action benefits | Levels = | MED | Mes | #;# | 6 | RE REP | MED | 0 | (GN |
| | Can action be quickly implemented? | | YES | | No | 0 | | (| 0 | |
| | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | tral or N/A | Ž, | 0 | YES | 0 | (| 1 | 0 | |
| | Can action be easily implemented? | | s=h | 0 12 | | 0 | \ | \ | 0 | |
| | w — | ost (unfa | Q | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | ш | le), - = c(| l | ١ | \ | 0 | 1 | \ | 0 | 1 |
| | | favorab | ٥ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Ö |
| | ۵. | + = benefit | + | 4 | + | ٥ | + | + | 0 | + |
| | ∢ | # | \ | \ | + | 0 | \ | 1 | 0 | + - |
| | - | | + | P | + | 2 | + | + | | |
| | v | \downarrow | + | + | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | + |
| | Action | Increase amount of automatic message boards along major routes within County | | Continue work to increase availability of broadband throughout County | Continue to inventory aging transportation infrastructure within County | Coordinate with NYS Thruway regarding ways to distribute hazard event information to Thruway travelers | Establish a monitoring program for railroad tracks | Coordinate with state and federal governments and track owners to rehabilitate or remove failing railroad infrastructure | Review response plan of communities located within Hancock flight paths - revise as needed | Continue EEE spraying program in County as needed - evaiuate program effectiveness on annual basis |

| Tables | Prioritization and Comparison |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| tion | pue |
| Consideration Tables | itization |
| eria (| Prior |
| STAPLEE Criteria (| Action |
| STAPL | Mitigation / |
| | Σ |

として Oranking Priority page 8 Ø Levels = high, medium, or low Level of action overall costs \mathcal{O} SED SED 0 THE STATE OF THE S \mathcal{O} O O0 Level of action 1265 CZ benefits K C \mathcal{O} 0 0 \bigcirc implemented? Can action be quickly 0 0 0 0 plan objectives? achieve multiple Does action \mathcal{Z} + = benefit (favorable), - = cost (unfavorable), 0 = neutral or N/A 0 0 0 implemented? Can action be 0 easily \mathcal{O} \mathcal{O} O0 0 0 0 0 0 2 O \mathcal{O} 0 0 0 ш 0 0 0 0 0 _ 0 0 \mathcal{O} 0 ۵ \bigcirc 0 0 ⋖ \mathcal{O} 0 0 -0 0 0 S 0 water) and equipment availability response plan protocol (land and Develop database of special needs and eiderly individuals within County and GPS function within County Establish protocol for post-event signage along NYS Route 104 engineering assessment of the terrorism, sporting events, and an Review emergency evacuation epidemic event - update annually Review SUNY Oswego response and a system to contact them in Improve cell phone reception communities - establish such plan protocol regarding acts of Establish snow drift caution signage within I-81 corridor Conduct a comprehensive assessments to determine extent of help required in coordinate with NYS DOT case of emergency - update Review and update spill signage if needed dam in Hannibal database annually and options **Jurisdiction:** community Action

| | | page 9 | Priority ranking | wo | 0 | | | | | |
|---|---------------|--------|---|-------------------------------|---|--|---|--|------|-------------|
| | | | Level of action overall costs | Levels = high, medium, or low | 0 | | | | | |
| | | | Level of action benefits | Levels = | 0 | | | | | |
| | | | Can action be quickly implemented? | | 0 | | | | | |
| Tables | | i | Does action achieve multiple plan objectives? | | S | | | | | |
| STAPLEE Criteria Consideration Tables Mitigation Action Prioritization and Comparison | | | Can action be easily implemented? | - 3 | 0 | | | | .,,, | |
| eria Co Priorit | | | ш | st (unfav | 2 | | | | | |
| EE Crit Action | (40) | | Ε | e), - = co | 2 | | | | | . <u></u> : |
| STAPI | 12 | | ٦ | favorabl | 0 | | | | | |
| Σ | | | d | benefit (| 9 | | | | | |
| | to | | A | + | 0 | | | | | |
| | ,ty | | 1 | | 0 | | | | | |
| (| | | S | | 0 | | = | | | |
| | Jurisdiction: | | Action | | Repair/replace retaining wall between private properties and Salmon River in Village of Pulaski | | | | | |