

Exhibit D.
Transition Plan.

This exhibit presents a practical transition plan as required by 3 AAC 100.900. The transition plan includes all of the following elements:

- A) *As required under 3 AAC 100.900, does the petition include a practical transition plan:*
- *Per 3 AAC 110.900(a), demonstrating the municipality’s capacity to extend essential municipal services into the boundaries proposed for changes in the shortest practical time after the proposed change would take effect?*

Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
---	-----------------------------

The City of Soldotna proposes the following methods and timing for the provision of the below listed municipal services:

Roads: Constructed roads within the annexation territory are currently maintained either by the State of Alaska or the Kenai Peninsula Borough (KPB). The State maintains its own roads while the KPB uses private contractors via multi-year contracts. Upon annexation, there will be no change in the maintenance of State roads. For the Borough maintained roads, the City will coordinate with the KPB to ensure the timely transfer of road maintenance responsibilities to the city.

The Borough’s contracts are staggered on a rolling cycle with options to extend their length. Four contacts will be affected by annexation. The language in the Borough’s contracts with road maintenance providers include the KPB’s right to modify those contracts, allowing for some flexibility. The City will work with the

Borough to transfer maintenance responsibilities based on contract timing and their ability to modify the contracts. Memorandums of agreement will be utilized to define the transfer of responsibilities and any financial commitments.

Currently, the City maintains 30 miles of paved streets and 11 miles of gravel roads. With annexation, the City would assume maintenance of an additional 5.8 miles of Borough roads (5 miles gravel; 0.8 miles paved). At this time, no immediate road improvement expenses are anticipated based on an existing KPB inspection report that identifies any priority maintenance issues within the territory.

The level of service proposed for the annexation territory is the same as is provided to the existing city roads. Services include ditch mowing and clearing; regular grading (prior to freeze-up, in the spring, and as needed); snow removal triggered by 3-inches of accumulation; sanding of intersection and high traffic volume areas; culvert cleaning; and street signs and traffic signal maintenance.

The Borough currently provides the same maintenance services with the exception that snow is not plowed until 6-inches have accumulated, unless it's a heavy, wet snow, then crews are mobilized at 4-inches of accumulation.

The City's 2016 fiscal analysis looked at both administrative costs and maintenance costs of paved and gravel roads contained within each study area²³. Future road infrastructure and equipment needs were also projected and considered in the analysis of each study area. It was identified that an additional road grader would be necessary to service the additional roads in all nine study areas. Since that time, the City Council has reduced the size of the territory proposed for annexation and

²³ Northern Economics, *Analysis of the Fiscal Effects of Annexation for the City of Soldotna*, 21 (Page 266 of the Petition).

correspondingly the miles of road requiring maintenance from the City. With the reduction in territory, the City no longer anticipates an additional grader will need to be purchased. As noted in the fiscal analysis, all capital and operational costs of road maintenance can be covered through the increase in tax revenue resulting from the annexation.

Historically, the City and Borough have worked cooperatively to identify efficiencies that may result through maintenance agreements. In limited instances, the City maintains Borough roads where maintenance routes can be made more efficient in exchange for the Borough maintaining City roads where it makes sense for the Borough to provide the service. The City will continue to pursue this form of coordination after annexation.

Upon transfer of road maintenance responsibilities, the annexed properties will no longer be subject to the KPB Road Service Area tax levy (1.4 mils), but will be subject to the City's property tax levy (0.5 mils).

City staff consulted with Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities (ADOT) maintenance and planning staff to determine necessary coordination post-annexation. As noted above, the proposed annexation will not result in any change in the ownership or maintenance of existing State rights-of-way, and no conflicts, issues or objections were raised.

ADOT staff also reiterated their policy that restricts direct access to State highways; their efforts to restrict ATV use within State rights-of-ways; and the State process to modify speed limits should the city wish to propose a change.

The City has experienced employees and reliable equipment to conduct road maintenance operations. The extension of road maintenance services to the annexation areas can be completed in a timely and efficient manner.

Public Safety: The City of Soldotna presently provides police and animal control services to city residents. Upon approval of the petition, these services would be expanded as soon as practicable to the annexation territory. Alaska State Troopers currently provide service to these areas. The City consulted with Maurice Hughes, Captain of “E” Detachment of the Alaska State Troopers (AST), and has agreed to provide the Troopers and dispatch personnel notification upon annexation approval. Captain Hughes shared information regarding areas of high call generation, but no additional issues requiring coordination were identified. Captain Hughes stated that the annexation would result in fewer AST responses within the annexation territory, and therefore more time for his officers to address other priority issues.

In Fiscal Year 2019, the City employed 9 full-time police officers, three sergeants, and one lieutenant in the Soldotna Police Department, in addition to the Police Chief and two full-time administrative support staff. The City also has a full-time Animal Control/Code Enforcement Officer within the department.

The Soldotna Police Department will monitor staffing needs, call volume and police responses during the transition period. Should an additional officer or support staff be necessary, the City has the financial resources to review and amend current staffing levels.

Between 2010 and 2014, the City’s cost for public safety averaged \$498 per capita. The fiscal study recognized that like general government, per capita

expenditures for public safety are expected to decrease marginally as population increases. Any additional expenses for public safety will be offset by additional tax revenues from the annexation territory.

Fire and ambulance services will continue to be provided by Central Emergency Services (CES) and will not be affected by the annexation, as their service area boundary already includes the annexation territory. The Kenai Peninsula Borough manages CES through an elected service area advisory board.

A centralized dispatch center is the E-911 call center for the greater Soldotna area, and dispatches for CES fire and ambulance services, Soldotna police services, and the Alaska State Troopers. Updated city boundary information will be provided to the Kenai Peninsula Borough Geographic Information System's division who in turn provide Dispatch their digital mapping and address services. In coordination meetings with Dispatch staff, they indicated they will provide personnel with training to ensure the new boundary information is incorporated into their procedures. Annexation will not create an increase in call volume handled by the Dispatch center (only an increase in calls being routed to the Soldotna Police Department, instead of the Alaska State Troopers).

When reviewing animal control statistics from recent years, the City found that in both 2015 and 2017 more animals were returned to owners from outside the city than inside the city. Considering that the City is already providing limited service to these adjoining areas, it does not anticipate a large increase in activity or expenses.

The provision of animal control services would go into effect immediately upon approval of the annexation petition. Operations requiring a city kennel license will

have one year to acquire the license. Kennels that receive the city license will be considered prior existing, lawful nonconforming uses and allowed to continue subject to the laws governing nonconforming uses and licensing requirements. All existing landowners within the annexation territory will be provided a summary of the City's animal control requirements.

Utilities: The City of Soldotna presently provides water service to 1,510 customers within city limits and 20 outside of city limits, and provides sewer service to 1,436 customers within city limits and 20 outside of city limits. A total of 23 residents living outside city limits benefit from these services, with some receiving both sewer and water, and some, one or the other.

In 2015, the City contracted with HDR Engineering to perform updates to the city's Water, Wastewater, and Drainage master plans. The *Wastewater Master Plan* specifically assessed the impacts of expanded city boundaries and concluded that "the existing sewer collection system has the capacity to serve projected growth in the City of Soldotna and excess capacity for extension of the system beyond its current extents."²⁴

The 2015 *Soldotna Water Master Plan*²⁵ also cited the capacity of the existing system to be expanded to annexation areas. Impacts to the water system were not identified at the time because specific annexation territories had not yet been identified. The plan suggested moderate expansion is possible but should include an evaluation of how an increase in the customer and distribution network would affect utility staff levels, water supply and systems maintenance.

²⁴ City of Soldotna 2015 *Soldotna Wastewater Master Plan*, 37

²⁵ City of Soldotna 2015 *Soldotna Water Master Plan*, 35

In several cases, the City already has water and/or sewer mains running adjacent to or through several of the annexation areas. These mains then have service lines that provide access to the infrastructure for both residents and non-residents. In the Funny River annexation area, water and sewer mains run through the entire area and provide service to 18 properties that are outside of city limits. Any property with frontage on Funny River Road can be served with city water and sewer.

In annexation area 3 (K-Beach South), city water and sewer mains are installed on the north side of K-Beach Road to Chugach Drive. These mains serve Cook Inlet Academy, located on the south side of K-Beach Road and outside of city limits. The water main in this location is also connected to the water storage tank located in annexation area 2 (Skyview). A large strip mall in annexation area 7 (Kenai Spur) is also served by city water mains that extend beyond the city limits.

Beyond what is already available, the City does not have any immediate plan to extend water and sewer service mains into any of the annexation areas, and therefore this was not included in the City's fiscal analysis. "The extension of utilities is an independent decision based on the Utility Master Plan and on current infrastructure needs that would not necessarily be impacted by any annexation decisions, and therefore are not included in the analysis."²⁶

The City has received, and will consider in the future, individual requests for expansion of water and sewer services. In some cases, individual commercial developments or the development of a residential subdivision will trigger a request from the developer to provide such services.

²⁶ Northern Economics, *Analysis of the Fiscal Effects of Annexation for the City of Soldotna*, 14

Local Public Improvements: Soldotna Municipal Code allows for the installation of public improvements such as roads and utilities in public rights of way through the creation of a Special Assessment District. Special assessments are differentiated from other capital projects because they benefit a limited population and not the entire community, therefore the City shares the cost of the improvements with the landowners who benefit from the improvements.

The City's special assessment program allows the City to fund up to 75% of the cost of the improvement. The KPB has a similar program, but caps their financial participation at 50%.

Properties in the territory would immediately be eligible to initiate a petition for public improvements through special assessments, upon transfer of road maintenance responsibilities from the Borough Road Service Area to the City.

Property Assessment and Taxation: No changes are proposed; the Kenai Peninsula Borough will continue to provide property tax assessment and collection services as they are currently doing. City property taxes will be levied within the annexation areas starting January 1 of the year following approval of the annexation. This timeline was identified during coordination meetings with Borough staff from the Finance Department, to prevent a property or business having to split a calendar year between two separate taxing jurisdictions (the Borough does not have a working mechanism to pro-rate taxes, and also many filers pay taxes on an annual basis). The City will notify the Borough of the amount of the city levy no later than June 15th of the first year after annexation is approved.

Sales Tax: Businesses in the territory proposed for annexation would begin collecting the City's 3% general sales tax, and 1.5% additional sales tax on marijuana and marijuana products, starting January 1st of the year following approval of the annexation. Any business operating in the territory proposed for annexation is already required to register to collect sales tax with the Kenai Peninsula Borough, therefore there would not be an additional burden of registration following annexation. The City's sales taxes are presently collected by the Kenai Peninsula Borough and will continue to be collected by the Borough post-annexation. The City will coordinate with Borough Administration to ensure that all businesses are well informed of the changes to their applicable sales tax rates upon approval of annexation.

Library and Parks & Recreation: The City of Soldotna already provides these services to residents of the territory proposed for annexation on the same basis as City of Soldotna residents. No change in services are anticipated, however, city planning documents related to these services will be updated as soon as practicable. If planning documents show the need for additional amenities (such as parks or trails) in newly incorporated areas post-annexation, the City would consider those improvements as part of the regular (annual) capital budget process.

Planning Services: Land use planning and zoning are basic government services that will be extended to the territory immediately following approval of annexation. At this time, the City does not anticipate that additional personnel will be necessary to provide these functions. However, the City may seek interim assistance through

contracted services to address issues that require immediate attention (such as updating current policy documents and municipal codes).

For planning-related services, it is anticipated that the first year following the approval of annexation will be spent collecting information on existing land uses and development patterns. Through the public engagement process, the City was made aware of uses that many people have identified as important to their way of life. They included the use of ATVs, the keeping of farm animals, and the ability to target practice with their firearms. The City recognizes the diversity of land uses that presently exist, and will make every effort to accommodate those uses provided they do not compromise public health, safety or welfare. Amendments to the City's comprehensive plan will be initiated within the first year after approval of annexation, and zoning and development standards will be developed during the second year. Any new standards developed will take into consideration the unique attributes of each incorporated area.

Some annexation areas may warrant the development of new zoning districts with standards that recognize the existing style and pattern of development, while also promoting efficient and high quality growth. For example, there may be need for a "rural commercial" zoning district that acknowledges the style of development that has occurred in the Funny River annexation area, and allows it to continue. These areas may be appropriate for different paving and landscaping standards than currently exist in the City's commercial districts, to address their differences and a desire for a lower regulatory environment in areas further from the City's current 'downtown.'

Many of the proposed annexation areas will likely fit into the already established zoning districts. The Funny River East annexation area, for example, would be a likely candidate for the City's existing "rural residential" zoning district. This district allows low-density residential development on large lots, and even allows for agriculture and farm animals.

Similarly, the K-Beach South annexation area would be a candidate for the City's existing commercial zoning district. Much of the land in this area is vacant, and the land immediately across the road is already zoned as commercial. Sewer and water services are already available in this area, and new commercial development would be consistent with existing uses.

In all cases, public input will be gathered, land uses will be inventoried, and property information will be collected in the process to develop planning documents and to determine future land use and building site requirements. As noted above, if additional resources are necessary, the City will consider contracted services to assist in data collection, and plan and ordinance development. The formation of new development standards will commence upon completion of the above-mentioned inventory.

The Kenai Peninsula Borough recently enacted Ordinance 2019-021, amending KPB 21.02, establishing an Advisory Planning Commission in the Kalifornsky Area. The boundary identified for the commission includes, in part, some territory proposed for annexation. According to Borough code, Advisory Planning Commissions are advisory in nature and provide an opportunity for citizen input into land use planning activities proposed for their community. After annexation, the Advisory Planning

Commission would amend its boundaries to reflect (and exclude) those lands incorporated into the new city limits.

The KPB Planning Department is responsible for the regulation and permitting of gravel and other material extraction. They reported that no conditional land use permits were issued for gravel operations within the annexation territory. However, many gravel pits existed prior to the current KPB regulations, and at least eight parcels within the annexation territory are recognized as prior existing uses that have grandfathered operations. It is the intent of the City to allow these uses to continue according to any existing permit conditions unless safety, health and welfare concerns cause the City to re-examine the operations.

Building and Fire Code Inspection: The City of Soldotna will extend its commercial and residential building code services to the annexation areas immediately upon approval of annexation. Soldotna employs a full-time Building Official with a current International Code Council certificate.

All structures built, under construction, or for which plans have been completed prior to January 1 of the first year following approval of the annexation will be considered ‘legally non-conforming’ and allowed to continue without review under applicable city building codes, unless and until a major alteration is made, and then only to the extent required by the alteration.

The City has a deferment from the State so that it may provide fire and life safety plan review, inspections, and the permitting and enforcement of State fire safety regulations. To fulfill the obligations of the deferment, the City signed a memorandum of agreement in 1999 with Central Emergency Services (CES) to

provide comprehensive building safety services to the community. The CES Fire Marshal is certified as an International Code Council Fire Inspector 1, and as an International Code Council Plans Examiner.

At present, the State Fire Marshal provides fire and life safety plan review and permitting and enforcement of State fire safety regulations and inspections in the annexation territory. Upon annexation, the City will provide these services through its memorandum of agreement with CES. This will result in a workload decrease for the State fire marshal, and a workload increase for the CES fire marshal. The City will coordinate with CES to ensure that the increase does not negatively impact the current level of service. If necessary, the city will work cooperatively with the Borough to amend and update the existing agreement with CES.

The CES Fire Marshal and City of Soldotna Building Official have a history of providing timely plan reviews and inspections, usually within one to two weeks of application for new commercial development in the City. In contrast, the State's Plan Review Bureau on their website notifies applicants wishing to develop new commercial construction (including commercial construction in the territory proposed for annexation) that applications require an "eight to twelve-week processing time." Residents and new businesses within the annexation territory will benefit by the faster service offered by the City and CES.

Voting and Elections: Voters within the annexation territory will continue to be eligible to vote for their designated KPB assembly district representative, as well as any borough-wide issues. If annexation is approved, residents will also become eligible to vote for Soldotna candidates for elected office and ballot issues. Voters

within the annexation territory will be provided with information and instruction regarding their eligibility to vote and participate in city elections.

The city and borough Clerks will continue to coordinate during elections to ensure that voters have access to both city and borough ballots during regular elections. The new city boundaries will result in voters being placed in multiple precinct locations. Election workers at these locations will receive training regarding ballot types and voter eligibility requirements to receive both the city and borough ballots.

State Licensing for Alcohol and Marijuana. The Alaska Alcohol and Marijuana Control Office (AMCO) will continue to oversee the licensing of alcohol and marijuana businesses. There is currently a single business within the annexation territory that holds two (2) alcohol licenses issued by AMCO which will be permitted to continue operating consistent with their license and any existing restrictions or conditions that were placed on the license at their approval or last renewal. Renewal of licenses post-annexation will be reviewed according to Soldotna Municipal Code. Upon approval of annexation, the new city boundaries will be provided to the AMCO office to assist in future licensing and renewals.

- *Per 3 AAC 110.900(b), to assume all relevant and appropriate powers, duties, rights, and functions presently exercised by an existing borough, city, unorganized borough service area, or other appropriate entity located within the boundaries proposed for change?*

Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
---	-----------------------------

The preceding section provides a thorough description of the services and functions to be assumed and provided by the City. To summarize, changes in the delivery of services that will be experienced by residents in the proposed annexation areas are roads, utilities, law enforcement, planning/zoning, and building/fire code plan review and inspection.

Participation in the KPB's Roads Service Area will terminate for landowners in the annexation areas, both tax-wise and service-wise upon annexation. The City will provide the same or better maintenance on annexed roads (for State-maintained roads, there will be no change as they will continue to be maintained by the State of Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities). The City anticipates working with the Borough and their road contractors to develop an agreement that specifies the transition of road maintenance responsibilities from the Borough to the City.

In addition, the City will be the principal provider of law enforcement within the proposed territories. Consultations with the State Trooper Area Commander confirmed the City's primary role.

Likewise, consultations with the State Fire Marshal confirmed the City's assumption of commercial building plan review and inspections.

The KPB will continue to exercise the following powers post-annexation: Property assessment and tax collection, ambulance and fire service, platting authority, and education.

- *Per 3 AAC 110.900(c), to transfer and integrate all relevant and appropriate assets and liabilities of an existing borough, city, unorganized borough service area, and other entities located within the boundaries proposed for change?*

Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
---	-----------------------------

There are no fixed assets or liabilities which will be assumed by the City due to annexation. The assumption of road maintenance powers should not result in the transfer of any fixed assets. However, a City-KPB memorandum of agreement will include, if necessary, a provision that addresses any rights-of-way or easements which may be required to be transferred from the KPB to the City (though none have been identified at this time). The only asset transfer will be the taxes collected by the Borough for the road service area.

- *Per 3 AAC 110.900(c), that specifically addresses procedures ensuring that the transfer and integration of assets and liabilities occurs without loss of asset value or credit reputation, or a reduced bond rating for liabilities?*

Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
---	-----------------------------

As noted above, apart from potential road easements (if any), there are no assets or liabilities which will be assumed by the City due to annexation.

B) *Per 3 AAC 110.900(b) and (c), is the plan designed to affect an orderly, efficient, and economical transfer within the shortest practicable time (not exceeding two years) after the proposed change would take effect?*

Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
---	-----------------------------

The preceding section provides a thorough description of the transfer of services in the shortest practicable time period. To summarize, the assumption of road maintenance by the City will be as established within a memorandum of agreement that considers the existing contracts between the borough and the road maintenance providers. Unless otherwise noted, all other services and responsibilities will be assumed immediately or as soon as practicable.

C) *Per 3 AAC 110.900 (b) and (c), was the plan prepared in consultation with officials of each existing borough, city, and unorganized borough service area?*

Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
---	-----------------------------

The City consulted with officials from the KPB mayor's office and several borough departments, as well as the CES Fire Marshal, Alaska State Troopers, Central Peninsula Hospital, Central Emergency Services, and many community stakeholders. A list of individual contacts the City made in finalizing the Transition Plan and this Petition is presented in the section below.

- *Per 3 AAC 110.900(e), does the plan state the names and titles of all those officials who were consulted by the petitioner, and the consultation subjects and dates?*

Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
---	-----------------------------

The following officials were consulted in the development of the transition plan:

Officials consulted for the transition plan			
Name	Title & organization	Dates(s) consulted	Subject(s) discussed
Bruce Richards	Government & External Affairs Manager, Central Peninsula Hospital	May 9, 2019	Hospital services; service area; coordination
Carl High	Maintenance Superintendent, AK DOT&PFF	May 14, 2019	Ownership and maintenance of State roads/infrastructure; ADOT policies; coordination
Brian Gabriel	Foreman, AK DOT&PF	May 14, 2019	Ownership and maintenance of State roads/infrastructure; ADOT policies; coordination
Joselyn Biloon	Planner III, AK DOT&PF	May 14, 2019	Ownership and maintenance of State roads/infrastructure; ADOT policies; coordination
Dave Jones	Assistant Kenai Peninsula School District Superintendent	May 14, 2019	Police response; coordination
Jedediah Smith	Local Government Specialist IV, AMCO	May 16, 2019	Current and pending marijuana and alcohol licenses; license types; conditions of approval; coordination
Maurice Hughes	Captain, AK State Troopers, E Detachment	June 5, 2019	Impact to Trooper work load; problem areas; dispatch; staffing levels; coordination
Charlie Pierce	Mayor, Kenai Peninsula Borough	June 17, 2019	Status of petition; coordination with KPB departments
James Baisden	Chief of Staff, Kenai Peninsula Borough	June 17, 2019	Status of petition; coordination with KPB departments
Johni Blankenship	Clerk, Kenai Peninsula Borough	June 25, 2019	Voting districts; alcohol and marijuana licensing; coordination
Michele Turner	Deputy Clerk, Kenai Peninsula Borough	June 25, 2019	Voting districts; alcohol and marijuana licensing; coordination
Dan Nelson	Emergency Manager, Kenai Peninsula Borough	June 26, 2019	Emergency & hazard plans

Dil Uhlin	Roads Director, Kenai Peninsula Borough	June 26, 2019	KPB road contracts, existing maintenance and capital projects, service levels
Jed Painter	Roads Inspector, Kenai Peninsula Borough	June 26, 2019	KPB road contracts, existing maintenance and capital projects, service levels
John Hedges	Director of Purchasing & Contracting, Kenai Peninsula Borough	June 26, 2019	KPB road contracts, existing maintenance and capital projects, service levels
Brandi Harbaugh	Finance Director, Kenai Peninsula Borough	June 27, 2019	Tax receipts; timing of tax collection/effective dates; improvement districts; bond repayment
Melanie Aeschliman	Assessor, Kenai Peninsula Borough	June 27, 2019	Tax receipts; timing of tax collection/effective dates; improvement districts; bond repayment
Chris Tilly	Administration Manager, Kenai Peninsula Borough	June 27, 2019	Tax receipts; timing of tax collection/effective dates; improvement districts; bond repayment
Max Best	Planning Director, Kenai Peninsula Borough	June 27, 2019	Advisory Planning Commissions; gravel pits; land development; platting procedures; GIS mapping
Chris Clough	Geographic Information Systems Manager, Kenai Peninsula Borough	June 27, 2019	Advisory Planning Commissions; gravel pits; land development; platting procedures; GIS mapping
Bruce Wall	Planner, Kenai Peninsula Borough	June 27, 2019	Advisory Planning Commissions; gravel pits; land development; platting procedures; GIS mapping
Marcus Mueller	Land Management Officer, Kenai Peninsula Borough	June 27, 2019	Advisory Planning Commissions; gravel pits; land development; platting procedures; GIS mapping
Lisa Kosto	911 Senior Manager, Central Emergency Services	July 9, 2019	Addressing; dispatching; staffing levels; State deferment; plan review and inspections
Brooke Dobson	Fire Marshal, Central Emergency Services	July 9, 2019	Addressing; dispatching; staffing levels; State deferment; plan review and inspections
Roy Browning	Fire Chief, Central Emergency Services	July 9, 2019	Addressing; dispatching; staffing levels; State deferment; plan review and inspections

- *Per 3 AAC 110.900(f), was the prospective petitioner unable to consult with those officials because they chose not to consult with the petitioner, or were unavailable during reasonable times to consult?*

Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
------------------------------	--

- *If yes, the petitioner may request that the commission waive the consultation requirement. If so, the petitioner must document all attempts it made to consult with those officials. Is the petitioner submitting such a request, and is it documenting all its attempts to consult with those officials?*

Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
------------------------------	--